National

Senator Robert F. Kennedy scored his second primary triumph in a week when he secured 53% of the vote Tuesday in Nebraska. Minnesota Senator Eugene McCarthy was runner-up with 30%. Percentage points higher than his managers had hoped for.

As expected, former Vice President Richard M. Nixon won the Republican Primary with 70% of the vote, but California Senator Ronald Reagan made his most impressive showing in any primary to date with 25%. Governor Nelson A. Rockefeller of New York secured 20%.

Claiming victory for the Kennedy camp with only 5% of the vote in, press director Pierre Salinger stated that the Nebraska results mean McCarthy is through as a "credible candidate" for the Democratic nomination. The Minnesota Senator, however, reaffirmed his deter¬mination to go on to the Oregon and California primaries. McCarthy's 30% was a slightly greater percentage than he received in the Indiana Primary May 7.

Vice President Hubert H. Humphrey, a write-in candidate on the Nebraska ballot, gained 10% of the Democratic vote.

The first round of Congressional hearings on pro¬posals to lower the voting age to 18 were held yesterday in Washington.

The nation-wide student demon¬strations have as their major objective complete educational reform. Senator McCarthy spoke of a "credible candidate" for the Democratic nomination. The Minnesota Senator, however, reaffirmed his deter¬mination to go on to the Oregon and California primaries. McCarthy's 30% was a slightly greater percentage than he received in the Indiana Primary May 7.

July 4th, 1968

Yesterday's Dow Jones Industrial Averages closed at 998.64, down 1.90 from Monday. Rails closed up .48 at 244.34, and Utilities fell .12 to 123.15.

International

Rioting continued yesterday at the Sorbonne, with leftists burning down a building. An estimated 200 people were injured.

Another dramatic turn yesterday was the new Faculty Manual prescribing that the ASP senators make a recommendation by the end of the year. The Associate Dean remarked that the final decision would be reached some time this summer. Plunkett re¬marked "It's just a guess as to when Hesburgh will make the appointment. It will depend on whom Fr. Hesburgh will have time to consult with possible appointees. He may have other engagements over the course of the summer."

Council chairman Fitzsimmons maintained that his committee considered seeking student opinion on the appointment. However, he stated "There is no Senate Censures Protestors

Amid the confusion of Mon¬
evening's final Senate meeting, a provocateur bill attacking the Presidential for¬
testation was raised and passed. The bill was forwarded by Junior John Rank and read in part: "The Student Senate condemns this action as a deliberate en¬
fringement upon the rights of students. It further directs this action as a high-handed at¬
tempt to stifle peaceful assembly on campus. It represents an incredible discourtesy to a large number of the student body."

Rank mentioned the past ap¬
pearance of Richard Char¬
iej Brown. He also stressed the point that those participating in the Presidential Review were physically obstructed by the pro¬
testors from expressing their be¬
iefs. Rank felt that it was time for the Senate to make a state¬
ment in response to the action. The ASP senators immediate¬
ly began a filibuster to prevent the body from coming to a vote on the bill. The Senate will not meet again this year. Senior Ed Kibbey said "We have the right to be free from facing an unjust war." He also felt the role of the Senate at this point was highly dubious one. Kibbey further claimed that the Presidential Re¬
view demonstration was non¬
violent, and that it did not actually disturb the parade.

At this point a call was mad¬
within Senator Jack Connolly to move for closure required a two-thirds vote of the body present. Although the ASP Rep¬resentatives attempted to further¬
fully support the motion, the vote was taken and debate ended. The ASP senators then left the meeting, hoping that a quorum would not be present to vote on the bill but enough senators remained. Sherry noted that the time had reached midnight and that "according to house policy the senate must adjourn." Stu¬
dent Body President Rich Rossie reminded Sherry that "rules were in suspension."

Rank's bill passed with a 16 to 7 backing. The Senate then adjourned.

Seniors Jim Polk and Tom Chema are heading a group of students circulating a petition condemning the actions of stu¬
dents who interfere with the rights of others through their demonstrations. The group has approximately 50 students seek¬
ing signatures in the dining halls and door-to-door.

Chema is a former wing com¬
mander in Air Force ROTC and Polk is a five-year program stu¬
dent and student commander of Navy ROTC.

Senators are in state, in "We believe that all students have the right and/or duty to make known their opinion to the appropriate authority regard¬ing various public issues... However, we believe that the right to protest is limited. No one has the right to interfere with the rights of others when partici¬
pating in forms of protest."

It continues, "To block the doors to the Center for Conti¬
uing Education or to sit in front to the route of march at the ROTC Presidential Review are forms of protest which deny freedom to other individuals.

The petition concluded, "In the future we believe that this basic disregard of human free¬
dom should be tolerated by the Student Government and/or the Administration of the University of NDove Dame should take ap¬propriate steps to prevent this disregard of the rights of others on campus."

Dean Selection Explained

Devere T. Plunkett, Associate Dean of Students, in a letter to students, wrote that the procedure can make one recommendation or seve¬
ral. Fr. Hesburgh alone makes the appointment and he need not accept our recommendation. The matter is entirely in his hands." Fitzsimmons repeated that the council sent letters to all faculty members asking for their suggestions.
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The petition states in part, "We believe that all students have the right and/or duty to make known their opinion to the appropriate authority regard¬ing various public issues... However, we believe that the right to protest is limited. No one has the right to interfere with the rights of others when partici¬
pating in forms of protest."

It continues, "To block the doors to the Center for Conti¬
uing Education or to sit in front to the route of march at the ROTC Presidential Review are forms of protest which deny freedom to other individuals.

The petition concluded, "In the future we believe that this basic disregard of human free¬
dom should be tolerated by the Student Government and/or the Administration of the University of NDove Dame should take ap¬propriate steps to prevent this disregard of the rights of others on campus."

Junior Dave Fralish last week got nearly 400 signatures in a three-hour period in a similar petition in the form of an apol¬
log to the ROTC students and Father Hesburgh.

Polk emphasized that his pet¬
ition was aimed at future demon¬
strations, and did not seek to take action against previous demon¬
strations.

The statement is non-specific about what it termed "appro¬
priate steps." Polk thought that these should be determined by Student Government to prevent future disruptions. However, he did add that others in his group thought that the Administration should take action.

He noted that SSB Richard Rossie made an appeal to the demonstrators before the PR, but suggested that this was a last ditch effort. He thought that it should be left up to Student Government, as a demonstration of student responsibility, to ini¬
tiate actions to prevent inter¬
course with campus functions. Polk said that by Tues. afternoon, his group had received over 1000 signatures with about one-third due to be tallied. Polk estimated that he would receive nearly 3000 be¬
fore Thursday.
Financially Speaking

Monday night the Student Senate approved a six dollar a year raise in the Student Activity Fee. We had the same action, for it will enable Student Government to increase its services by expanding such programs as the Sophomore Literary Festival and the Student Government Academic Sub-committee speakers program. The increase, however, places an additional responsibility with Student Government—a responsibility which was suspended whenever it is presently capable of handling.

For a number of reasons, as of yet undisclosed, Student Government lost more than $15,000 this year. The rate in the activities fee will add a projected $36,000 to the already enormous budget for next year. Unless necessary changes are implemented in the organization of Student Government we feel that the deficit next year will greatly surpass this year's loss.

The burden of responsibility for improvement lies with the Student Senate, the Student Body Treasurer and the Student Government Financial Committee. All three were lax in fulfilling their responsibilities this year. According to the Student Government Constitution, Senate must approve all expenditures. The Senate does this by approving a budget early in the school year. The Constitution also requires that the Treasurer report to the Senate every other meeting on the condition of Student Government finances. Any anticipated expenditures in excess of the original budget must be approved by the Senate before they are made.

This year the Student Body Treasurer appeared before the Senate only twice, once in October when the original budget was approved, and again in late January when he told the Senate that although the Student Government had anticipated financial troubles, he did not anticipate exceeding the approved budget. Thereafter, the treasurer failed to report to the Senate again. Persistent pleas by a few Senators for a financial report were ignored. Then in April it was announced that Student Government had lost more than $12,000.

At the meeting Monday, the Senate approved expenditures for the summer months. There were arguments over the necessity of expenditures such as fifteen dollars for ash trays required by the State Fire Marshall. We were glad to see that the Senators questioned the need for such appropriations. But in doing so they neglected their primary obligation. No one questioned extensively, how for what reasons, and with what authority the Student Government had overspent the budget by $12,000. We consider this gross neglect on the part of the Senate.

We demand that Student Government report on its expenditures; that all expenditures be made available to campus press. We ask, too, that the Student Body Treasurer fulfill his Constitutional responsibilities by reporting to the Senate every other meeting on expenditures. We ask that the Senate consider requests for expenditures more carefully.

If the Treasurer or any other member of the Student Government Financial Committee fails in the future to fulfill his obligations as outlined in the Constitution, he should be removed from office immediately.

Shutout

Once again an important decision is to be made at the University of Notre Dame. Once again the students are not even considered in the making of its resolution. The issue this time is the appointment of a new Arts and Letters Dean.

While Father Hesburgh has reserved the final authority for himself, an elaborate procedure of recommendation has been set up to advise him who to appoint. Comments have been heard, however, which would indicate that the Administration has pretty much narrowed down the selection on its own with the recommendation being recommendations merely serving as window dressing.

The student voice is not to be heard even in this recommendation procedure. The College Council of top Administration officials has appointed a faculty committee which will make suggestions to Father Walsh, Vice President for Academic Affairs. Father Walsh, in turn, will submit a report to President Hesburgh. No students are being heard by the faculty committee. No student reports are being submitted to Father Walsh.

Now, we should think it quite easy for Father Walsh simply to write a letter to the Arts or Arts & Letters Advisory Board asking for a recommendation. As Richard Rossi suggested, the students in these bodies are concerned with the future of the Arts & Letters College and with the appointment. The Senate, Advisory Council, or the Cabinet could easily append a recommendation committee to the appointment question and make a recommendation. After all, the faculty voice is being heard at least formally. Why not consider the opinions of those who actually attend this University?

In a larger sense, why should faculty and student participation be simply limited to the window dressing? When a new chancellor was selected at the University of Minnesota not long ago, the board making the selection contained two students. Now with the appointment of a dean here at Notre Dame, the students, or anyone else for that matter, are denied participation of any kind. The students are not even allowed to appoint a committee of recommendation.

We believe President Hesburgh to be a man of excellent judgement, but his decision will still subject question. Also, there are more than 500 faculty members and 6500 students here at Notre Dame. To deny either group any say and to preclude the students from even making a recommendation cannot be justified. Notre Dame is simply not a monarchy.

The goal of every left-wing student is to build a better life for all men. They look around themselves and are appalled at the imperfections which exist. Perhaps they are sensitive and logical enough to diagnose real problems in society and prescribe viable changes; all of this is in the name of making the world a better place for all human life. Their expressed for in their sometimes worthwhile task is THE ESTABLISHMENT. Those who have the authority, those in power, are viewed as basically negative factors in the scheme of things. Those who exercise power primarily serve to hinder the spreading of truth and justice.

The major complaint which should exist between liberals and conservatives is that in the direction in question. Both are conscientious in their concern for man, then a dialogue should be possible. Too often the radicals accuse the establishment of being anti-modern and what the establishment has perceived enough, this was exactly the opposite in the much-publicized uprising at Columbia University.

What happened, the Administration was more than willing to sit down and discuss possible changes with the concerned student leaders. The administration stated that change could very well be in order; however, rational change involves agreement on both sides. The students, on the other hand, refused to discuss any issues until all of their major demands were guaranteed. In effect, the students stated that they would discuss possible changes only after these changes had already been accepted. This expresses an obvious lack of honest commitment to achieve a workable solution; the students' position expresses the epitome of close-mindedness by demanding "my own way or not at all." I only wonder how just the Administration would appear to the activists had the Administration been as selfish as the students; for example, what if the Administration required on-campus, no beards, coats and ties, plus "a unilateral cessation of all demonstrations before negotiations could begin." The fact is that the Administration appeared to be more easily convinced as and was in fact successful. The French Revolution is the best historical example of the revolutionary situation which is propounded, by contemporary radicals, to be existing throughout the world. In 1789 the established order was outmoded and oppressive; young people with new ideas sought change through the overthrow of that order; in the name of a better world, Robespierre defined his Republic of Virtue. However, a problem arose under Robespierre when many people did not agree with his definition of virtue; this problem was solved by the Reign of Terror. And I cannot fail to make the comparison between Robespierre and contemporary radicals; I cannot help but fear the probable outcome of a radical acquisition of power.

On May 1, 1968, Rome saw young radicals demonstrate for their causes of better universities, more freedom, etc. The placards which they carried did not contain words, but rather they were pictures of Stalin and Mao. These same students use the term "fascist" when referring to the actions of police and compare police brutality to the actions of a Hitler. How readily they overlook the behavior of the police in the pursuit of their "goals". 

Doug Marvin

Parting Shots
Union Polygraph Tests Postponed

Security Director Arthur Pears said yesterday that because of a breakdown in the polygraph machines, lie-detector tests were not administered this week to six Student Union officers and Social Commission members in relation to the $3000 Student Union robbery of April 1.

Pears said that he is not certain how long the examinations will be delayed. He said that the Elkhart Chief of Police, who had arranged to provide the polygraph tests, told him that the machines had to be taken to Chicago to be repaired. "But," he said, "we have contacted two or three other firms who administer the tests and if the original machines remain unavailable we will definitely find someone else. This is what the boys want and I hope to begin testing by the end of the week or by the beginning of next."

Mr. Pears said that he has followed up every possible clue he had to the robbery and that the only avenue left open to him was to conduct an investigation into the personal background of everyone concerned with the loss. "However," he said, "I am reluctant to do that for two reasons. First, I don't think it would be fair to those innocent parties to have their private lives investigated, and secondly, a job like that would require a lot of time and manpower." Pears said that he preferred to wait until after the polygraph tests were administered before he took any further action.

In other developments concerning the robbery, Student Union President Rick Rembusch said that a Senate Investigating Committee has been formed to interview all parties connected with the Social Commission or with the funds which were allegedly deposited in the Social Commission safe immediately following a Henry Mancini concert during Junior Parents Weekend. Rembusch said, "I am very happy that the Senate Committee, headed by Bob Ripkey (Walsh Hall), is going to help Mr. Pears and the Student Union in the investigation."

Rembusch said that a meeting is scheduled over the weekend between the members of the Committee and the student union personnel involved.
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Chairman Ryan Explains Judicial Board's Role

Dove Ryan, chairman of the Campus Judicial Board, said yester­day that the moral of the judicial system is "a sense of justice" that is a dis­ciplinary" that is a dupli­cation of services. However, it is designed to be another service of Student Government so that the students will have another source of help when they go before the Judicial Board. He said "lawyers" would also be provided by the Legal Aid Committee to those brought before the Honor Coun­cil.

Ryan said that as yet the Legal Aid Committee is set up as to how it will work. It will be set up during the summer and the details ironed out then.

The Irish Eye

Engulfed

BY TOM CONDON

He was once a promising college student. He was well-read, articulate and people valued his opinions. Everyone in his little home town knew him, or knew of him. He was their paragon, the apex of what industry and good living could produce. When the little tons mended, their mother told them that he wouldn't have been a success if he hadn't been like this. They couldn't even envy him—he was much too nice a fellow despite his "pronouncing talents."

One day, toward the end of his senior year at college, a few friends gathered together (he was among them because he got along with everyone) and decided, for a lark, to play miniature golf. Unfortunately, they couldn't find a lark, but settled for a slightly worn and worn-out set and played anyway. They went to an establish­ment run by the Putt-and-Putt corporation. Green Youth itself has looked half as wholesome!

But a strange thing happened as they entered the establish­ment. There was an ancient, crumpled fellow on one of the greens near the entrance. A few silken strands failed to hide a weathered pate as he stood over a little blue ball. He saw the lads enter and cried, in a voice barely audible, "Get out! Get away before its too late!" The clocking supplications brought forth much mirth from the college lads. One of the fellows, in a frazzening mood, said: "What's your name?" "Craze," I stated, I be a State Supreme Court justice in New York." "I know you."

Craze mouthed shape. One lad em­itted a low "Holy Cow." This drew a rather cynical glance from a cow that happened to be passing by. "Don't see you," implored the old man, "if you start you will never be able to stop. I had a lowening feeling after that, and not a bit of it."

I asked our protagonist in a fit of middle class sympathy, "how do you support yourself?" "I take in ironing on rainy days," he responded. He then twisted in twelve foot putt and hobbled off to the next hole. He carried the club across his shoulders; it reminded one of the mariner's albatross.

Sensibility was the verdict. It simply couldn't be true. It's just a nice little game. And so they commenced.

All of the holes on this particular links were par two's. The lads played their first eighteen. All were a few strokes under par. God, this was fun. "I'll bet we can break the course record," said one. They played again, and again (the course record, it might here be stated, was set in 1925 by a retired homing pigeon) and again. By closing time, they had played 260 holes. They argued vehemently with the manager, but they had to wait until the next morning to tee off once more.

At nine sharp our boy was there. He hadn't slept the night before. He was, however, alone. One of the other chaps had an exam, another had an interview and the third, as it turned out, married a wife and gone to be with. That did not deter him. He played that day, and the next, etc.

He is now a total discredit to his family and friends. He lives in a three by seven flat above the tool shed, which he is allowed to keep in return for vacuum-cleaning the greens. On rainy days, he goes to a thrift store run by a middle-aged man, he learns about antiquated New York Statutes.

The Mail

Editor:

It should be brought to your attention that in 1965, the Notre Dame Young Republicans circu­lated a petition supporting our de­fense of freedom; we are not ashamed by the patriotism that Lenny received his induction notice two months and that I have been au­thorized to say that he is not meekly submitting but rather choosing his own course of action.

I, therefore, urge every­body who says he supports the war yet retires his 2S defer­ment. As for myself the only re­sponse I have is a letter con­cerning the ad­visory Review stem from the fact that I matched in it last year.

PEACE

Sam Boyle
244 Fairley Hall

Dear Editor:

Someone has finally said the one thing that has needed saying over since we first waded into this morass of a political year. That is Don Hickey, who cut­ting away some of the sopho­morie b.s. that has been spouted in frothing streamings from every pore of this university and from all its inhabitants. We are all guilty, from the reflexions Hes­burgh - Rielle - McCarragher mob to the murky editorial pages of campus publications. . . But now, with all our disputes dis­solve ing into a thought of sober, a world where for, some, 'Hairbreadth', is not the measure of a man, where men and women can share something besides the sadder, where labels are put on tin cans and not on people, may­be, now, as Don rightly suggests, we can realize that we are not all so different, so far from one another. From "peace-freaks" to "rightist" is not so very long a way. It is with an open mind and a good world, if one looks beyond the hair-line and clothes--we're much alike and hung-up together-let's now begin to live the similarities rather than the differences.

With peace & hopes for better things.

G. Qirit
248 Zahm