Father Hesburgh with Student Body President Phil McMenemy before the start of the Senate meeting

Dave Krushna retained the floor to introduce the budget for the Students against Racism. In the actual presentation, Jim pointed out that the group still has bills outstanding from last year’s movies and White Racism Conference.

For the present year, he envisioned a weekly publication with a circulation of about 500 dealing with various aspects of the topic of race relations in addition to more films.

Among the objections brought to this proposal were those that the money might better be spent in helping to integrate members of minority groups. This it was explained would only deal with the immediate problem. Fred Dedrick questioned the value of extensive recruitment that would be directed to a hostile atmosphere.

Tom Thrasher took the floor and moved that the entire thing be deleted. He reiterated the idea that the money should be effectively bought with $1,000 and also brought up a point questioning the very nature of the Senate’s activities for the evening.

Other opposition took the form of questioning the motivation of support. The Senate split into two camps. One maintained that in general the student body was apathetic to the issue and that it did not see their money spent for concerts and the like. On the other hand were those who were of the opinion that it was the role of the Government to set priorities.

McMenemy conceded that a poll would not doubt result in a victory for the poll, but added that he felt he had a mandate from last year’s election.

"It seems to be a pretty crystallized commitment to anti­racism," he said. He told the other representatives that this was political and "have to take a stand," after which the issue was finally brought to a vote, the first motion for $1,500 was defeated 24-22. However, the motion for $1,000 was passed by a roll call vote (continues on page 3).

SCLC debacles, adopts student judicial code

by Jim Prisby

In a lengthy meeting yesterday afternoon, the Student Life Council adopted the Judicial Code.

After nearly two hours of debate over various amendments proposed to the Code, the Council moved to adopt it and it was passed easily. The final vote showed opposition from only four student representatives, while the other two students and all the faculty and administration were in favor of the proposal.

After the roll call vote, taken, Student Body President Phil McMenemy, who voted no, expressed himself on the Code’s passage.

"Now that the Code is passed, I’ll support it in its entirety. However, in good conscience, I couldn’t endorse a Code that allowed students in only one class to be declared guilty until innocence was proven."

After the adoption, Philip A. Faccenda, special assistant to Fr. Hesburgh, recommended at the Judicial code be amended for the beginning of the 1970-71 school term, and this passed unanimously.

Before the Code was finalized, however, McMenemy did succeed in having the Code amended on various points.

In his first amendment concern­ed parietal hours, McMenemy pointed out that one of the rules of the Code stated that the Hall Judicial Board would deal with all violations of hall rules and vio­lation hours. McMenemy felt that separating violation hours from the other rules was unnecessary. After much discussion, the amendment passed.

Mcmenemy’s next success in amending the Code concerned the time limit placed on the commencement of all hearings of the Hall Judiciary. The proposed Code called for the judicial proceedings must begin within “72 hours” after they were brought before the Code.

McMenemy felt that this rule showed no confidence in the Hall Judicial Board and moved that a time limit be removed entirely. After much debate the Council moved to change the “72 hours” to three school days. McMenemy also succeeded in persuading the Council to elimi­nate the limit of members allow­able on the Hall Judicial Board. The present limit was from five to ten members.

Fr. James Riehle, Dean of Students, strongly opposed this measure, and later combined with Fr. James Shilts, rector of (continued on page 3)
Social action committee happy with turnout

by Bill Carter

About 20 of the Notre Dame -SMC and South Bend Social action organizations participated in a social action night Tuesday in LaFortune Center. Under the leadership of the newly-formed Kennedy Institute, the affair brought together all those groups interested in initiating their programs for the coming year.

The turnout of approximately 300 new people anxious to become involved in the community social projects more than satis­fied the expectations of Kennedy Institute Chairman John Mroz and the others respon­sible for the organization of the night.

Institute board member John Kries said that everyone associa­ted with the social action group was encouraged by the number and the attitudes of the students who came to the meeting.

"Everyone there was really impressed with the people who showed up. They were so enthu­siastic and full of ideas that it was great just to talk with them," said Kries. Kries explained that the night was put together out of the mutual desire on the part of all social action groups affiliated with Notre Dame to become more organized in order to handle some of the common practi­cal administrative problems of all the groups more easily.

Earlier in the year the Kennedy Institute asked each of the groups if they would like to become associated with the Institute more formally for the purpose of facilitating fund rais­ing and recruiting programs.

"The Institute thought it might be easier if just one group, acting as a representative of the entire body of social organiza­tions, went to the Foundations to make requests for grants."

The recent budget cuts mean that money will be short all over, of course, so it seems like it would be a good idea if the groups got together and more or less pooled their resources," he said.

Kries said that most, if not all, the groups had agreed to the idea. The Kennedy Institute will probably later open its organiza­tional structure so that the lead­er of each respective social group will be a member of the board of the Institute, and development groups and plans will be formulated more or less in common.

The Institute's plans for the future include a National Lead­ership Conference next month and a Community Relations Conference sometime early next year. The Leadership Conference will be held sometime around Nov. 13.

The Institute has invited every major high school in the country to send a representative to the conference. It has also agreed to pay for the expenses of any school representative who can­not finance the trip by any other means.

Sophornaners near merging

by John DiCola

The sophomore classes of Notre Dame and St. Mary's took another step toward a merger of their class governments in an Assembly meeting Tuesday night. The merger proposal was ratified by the Assembly and now awaits approval by the respective sophomore classes.

The forty Notre Dame and St. Mary's sophomores who make up the Assembly are trying to contact their classmates within the next two weeks to obtain some sort of consensus of opin­ion. There will be no referendum to allow sophomores to vote on the merger. The members of the Assembly will represent their classes and attempt to reflect their opinions. The Assembly will meet at the next meeting, scheduled for November 11, either to merge class govern­ments, or to remain separate.

The Assembly is made up of those sophomores who were chosen by the respective governments to draw up the merger plans. The Notre Dame sopho­more in the Assembly were selected from a group who an­swned the ad in The Observer. The St. Mary's sophomores in the Assembly were selected from a list of seventy-five girls who had signed a petition for a merger by the respective classes. It is one twenty Notre Dame and twenty St. Mary's sophomores who will make the final decision regarding a merger.

Joe Stankus, President of the Notre Dame Sophomore Class, admitted that many details of the merger still have not been worked out. Present plans pro­vide for seven class officers. All present officers of each school will share duties. However, he said there is no vice-president in the Notre Dame sophomore class, thus this post will be filled by the St. Mary's vice-president.

Stankus also said that he could not say if a merger agreement would be reached with opposition by Notre Dame and SMC Student Governments. He believes that there is a possi­bility of opposition by the St. Mary's Student Government, but that they are somewhat over­whelmed by the merger proposal. Stankus, when asked about opposition at Notre Dame, replied, "Since the Student Government and class govern­ments are separated, I think we can do this thing without their approval."

Sadie is coming!

Last March the Student Union Social Commission asked the Ticket Manager to hold 1,500 Navy tickets for Homecoming Tuesday night, October 28, the Social Commission returned 1,090 of these tickets to the Ticket Manager.

The general admission seating plan passed in the Spring referendum, and inaugurated this fall, includes St. Mary's College students only. Female students from other schools will not, WE REPEAT NOT, be admitted to the student section without tickets, for Homecoming, or any other football game.

DONALD E. BOUFFARD, JR. TICKET MANAGER

ROBERT CAHILL BUSINESS MANAGER

TICKET OFFICE   2549
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One of the most enjoyable pastimes imaginable must be to watch political figures closely, and at appropriate moments remind them of old promises. For this age-old tradition the temptation to remind Phil McKenna of certain things is overwhelming.

The Senate will consider the 1969-70 budget tonight, and that brings me to two pronouncements made by the Senate Finance Committee yesterday. The Senate Finance Committee is the year to year body that looks at the budget by paying off all student government debts. Oh! the vows made beforehand to the people of fiscal responsibility! Ah! the promised courage to face the absolutely necessary cuts in expenditure! Oooh! the concern for proper use of the students' sacred funds! Well, look back to the real world now, with the promised responsibility, courage, and concern zoning away in the face of all sorts of idealistic purposes. Many student senators have already given up in the face of pressure for an unbalanced budget.

Now there are plenty of arguments for a balanced budget; for instance, the student government has a duty to manage funds competently for student purposes. (Student government cannot afford the federal government's luxury of spending beyond mean in order to force constituents who prove that we're just borrowing it from ourselves anyway!)

The biggest point though is that a healthy sort of cynicism is necessary for the financial handling of student government. That is the only attitude possible in view of the last two years' spectacles. Two years ago, in the reign of SBF Chris Murphy, the total budget was scheduled to produce a $2,440 contingency fund (that's a surplus). Those of us who have not had our brains turned off, this was slightly off, as the end result was a debt of $24,793.92.

Such budgetary bungling was a dazzling display of financial finesse, however, compared to the Rossie administration of last year. The total budget planned to produce a healthy $14,458 surplus, and was only off by a thousand dollars off - a deficit of $32,681.58. So Rootholdf's we don't have a financial director, huh?

The total debt now, folks, is a fairly significant figure: like around $57,474.50. Now Phil and his cabinet are making very sincere, and probably partly effective, efforts to insure tighter control over purse strings - and his cabinet is making very sincere, and probably partly effective, efforts to insure tighter control over purse strings. Apparently it is, or so we are going to be told.

One can only hope that this year's student Senate, which has already taken significant steps to eliminate its former sandbox image, will exercise its legitimate authority to insist on evidence in student government's desire to manage its funds fairly and competently for the students.
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ND baseball means 9-month season

by John Counsell

A native of Oconomowoc, Wisconsin, John Counsell is in his second year as assistant varsity baseball coach, under Jake Kline. John was captain of the 1964 Irish and later spent four years in the farm system of the Minnesota Twins. In 1966, he batted .254 and had 51 RBIs for Wisconsin Rapids of the Midwest League.

With fall practice ending this week, it brings to a close quite a long season for many of the varsity players. Beginning back in February, the balance of the regular season, summer semi-pro play, and culminating with fall workouts, many of the lettermen put in a nine-month season. As is evident, there is more involved in Notre Dame baseball than just working out on the batting field and playing games. Summer baseball plays a key role in the development and success of Irish baseball players. Experience and over­

Round the country and many in the league’s all-star catcher.

Jim Phelps, a senior left-hander who has been granted another year of eligibility because of an injury sophomore, compiled a 6-1 record for a Minneapolis semi-pro team. Jim’s ERA was the best in the league and his team’s record of 38 and 4 was second best in the season.

Ron Schmitz, a junior right-handed pitcher who was 1-2 last year, had his best year, compiled a 6-7 mark, and was second in the team’s overall ERA.

The annual Red Cross life-saving course toward an instructor’s certificate will begin this week in his second 40 PM in the Rockne Memorial Pool.

Pont says State should go

COLUMBUS, Ind. (UPI) - Indiana football coach John Pont said Wednesday Ohio State should be dropped out of the Rose Bowl game this Jan. 1 if the Buckeyes win the Big Ten championship.

Pont, here to speak to IU alumni organizations, said he agreed with Ohio State coach Woody Hayes who said Tuesday he would be opposed to the Big Ten’s no repeat rule which prevents the Buckeyes from returning to the Rose Bowl a second consecutive year. "I think Ohio State should go," Pont said. "There’s no reason why the best shouldn’t represent the conference in the Rose Bowl."

Pont’s Hoosiers are among teams in the running for a Rose Bowl berth from the Big Ten. Indiana played in Rose Bowl two seasons ago. Under terms of the conference’s no-repeat rule, the team made that trip was declared "too Beautiful!"

small college ratings

Red Cross course

The annual Red Cross life-saving course toward an instructor’s certificate will begin this week in his second 40 PM in the Rockne Memorial Pool.

Team and individual six-game stats

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TEAM STATISTICS</th>
<th>ND</th>
<th>OPP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL OFFENSE</td>
<td>2,478</td>
<td>1,437</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total yards</td>
<td>487</td>
<td>410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yards Per Play</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yards Return</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NET YARDS RUSHING</td>
<td>1,470</td>
<td>365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rushing Plays</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yards Per Rush</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yards Per Game</td>
<td>245,0</td>
<td>104.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NET KICK RETURNING</td>
<td>1,008</td>
<td>64.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attempt</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completion</td>
<td>77.7</td>
<td>81.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completion Percent</td>
<td>58.8</td>
<td>93.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kick Interception</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Touchdowns Recovered</td>
<td>16,0</td>
<td>123.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yards Per Game</td>
<td>168.0</td>
<td>160.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INTERCEPTIONS MADE</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yards Returned</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUNT RETURN YARDS</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Returns</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Return</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL RETURN YARDS</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVGS/PUNT RETURN</td>
<td>34.6</td>
<td>35.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yards Punt</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Punts</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kickoff Return</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Kicks</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PENALTIES AGAINST</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FUMBLING-LOST</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL FIRST DOWNS</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PASS RECEIVING</th>
<th>Ave TD Long</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Opp</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gatewood</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baughman</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gipson</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allen</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monawi</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Furlong</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayman</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hult</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crayton</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

JIM MURRAY

What’s in a name?

Ever since he came into the game, I’ve been waiting for the headline. There it was Monday morning: “Spray Wins Golf Tournament.”

You have to understand what “Spray” means to a golfer. About a month ago, a jockey named “Puller” won a race. It was a big day in racing, a good start for the season, and the people at the track decided that “Spray” means 9-month season with a loss this season for his semi-pro team in Cleveland. Ron was also a member of his league’s all-star team.

With this kind of talent back, and all these players were con­

cepted to be the best, the Without a doubt, the best shouldn’t should

If ever there is a headline like that, it’s worth $2,000 in cash and a signed golf club.

analogous situation would be to have a fighter named “Swift “ “Fleet “ “Blocker”

An analogous situation would be to have a fighter named “Swift “ “Fleet “ “Blocker”

A player who kept missing the cut.

What they were worried about was whether the thousands of dollars’ statement was accurate. Hogan’s eyes slid right through this. He pointed to the word “spray.” “What’s that?” he demanded suspiciously. “It just means you hit balls in all directions,” I told him. Hogan stared a hole through me. “I don’t hit balls in all directions,” he said bitingly. “I hit balls where I want to hit them. And I don’t ‘spray.’ You spray paint, not golf balls.”

“Steve Spray” would give you the name you give to a comic strip character who keeps missing the cut. “Slaney Shank” would be the only better name I could think of. “Shank Wins Open” would be the only better headline you could imagine.

An analogous situation would be to have a fighter named “Noel (Kid) Coward,” and see the banner, “Coward Wins Heavyweight Title.”

Would you like to see your case tried by a judge named “Rooke”? You would have to be a judge named “Rooke.”

Analogous situation would be to have a fighter named “Swift “ “Fleet “ “Blocker”

A golfer named “Ma Fioso”’s?” You would have to be a golfer named “Ma Fioso”’s?”
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“Herbie Hooker” was the most felicitously named golfer in history. About a month ago, a jockey named “Puller” won a race. It was a big day in racing, a good start for the season, and the people at the track decided that “Spray” means 9-month season with a loss this season for his semi-pro team in Cleveland. Ron was also a member of his league’s all-star team.
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“Steve Spray” would give you the name you give to a comic strip character who keeps missing the cut. “Slaney Shank” would be the only better name I could think of. “Shank Wins Open” would be the only better headline you could imagine.
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So would you like to see your case tried by a judge named “Rooke”?

“Rooke” sounds like the name you give to a comic strip character who keeps missing the cut. “Slaney Shank” would be the only better name I could think of. “Shank Wins Open” would be the only better headline you could imagine.

An analogous situation would be to have a fighter named “Noel (Kid) Coward,” and see the banner, “Coward Wins Heavyweight Title.”

Would you like to see your case tried by a judge named “Rooke”?