De Bell opens Earth Day, notes ecological problems

by Steve Effler

Starting from the hypothesis that America is in a "state of ecological crisis," Mr. De Bell, one of the leaders in the fight against pollution, detailed many of the problems facing this country in the realm of ecology.

De Bell emphasized that the pollution problem has been growing for quite a while but it is only recently that people have been aware of it. He cites as the three main factors of "the three main factors of the new awakening" the problems of oil, pesticides and smog, and air pollution. De Bell said that most of the "problems with oil, pesticides and smog, and air pollution." In the last couple years there have been major spills off the coast of Britain and Santa Barbara. Along with this, he said, "the destruction of wildlife and recreational facilities of beaches caused by the spilling has brought wide attention to the environment of the sea." Due to its propensity for destruction in food chains, De Bell said, "DDT has been causing extensive damage." As an example, De Bell said, "a few years ago it was found that the milk of nursing mothers in California was contaminated to the extent that it..."
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North quad candidates emphasize tutoring, security, communication
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outside source" during Monday's SLC meeting. Collins backed the HPC's statement which rejected the trustee's statement on parietals. He said the role of the trustees is to "implement and bring about the things the SLC passes."

Collins expressed a belief that, "ideally" the SLC should not concern itself with issues such as parietals and car privileges. He said the council should be concerned with issues concerning the campus that students cannot determine individually. Steve Flavin continued his campaign to "bring the SLC back to the students." He recommends increased communication between students and their student representatives to accomplish this end. Flavin said that since a large amount of SLC work is done during the summer, letters that explain the SLC functions should be sent to incoming freshmen and progress letters should be sent to all returning students. He also suggested monthly questionnaires, and guest SLC speakers in the Student Forum, HPC meetings and other student oriented functions.

The fourth north quad candidate, John Huxley, emphasized the need for a three-way communication centered around the SLC. Hurley says that there is a need for communication between the SLC and the trustees and among the SLC members. He also said that the SLC must develop an "internal attitude of determination, unity and mutual trust to promote a creative atmosphere."

With yesterday's action by the Student Life Council supporting the Trustee's report in mind, the S.L.C. candidates of The Flower-Grace Tower district discussed what action could now be taken.

James O'Gorman announced that he and David Krashna should stand on the issue, and that, "with a little persistence and perseverance on our part, we can get that bill (S.L.C. Parietal) by the Trustees. Explaining further, he said that he felt that the majority of the students are in basic agreement with the S.L.C. Parital bill, and that it should definitely be passed.

Glen Corso expressed support for the S.L.C.'s action, defending the move to reject the Trustee's Parietals Statement. However, he qualified this by saying that he "does not agree with the Trustee's action," as he feels that it was too restrictive. "I feel that a flat out rejection of their statement would however, impede whatever further dealings the S.L.C. has with them," explained Corso.

We hope this will be a step further towards recognizing and organizing the rights of the students. It should be clear, however, that what we demand, what we request, what we try to change is done in the interest of the community as a whole. If the student is ever to realize his position as a free individual he must be willing to assert his power. If the Notre Dame student community is ever to realize its position as an integral part of the university, the university will truly become an open, democratic institution.

Regarding the change that the Trustees might take reprimals against the hall, Johnson said he thought it was "unlikely" because the Board would have to make an example out of one student. He said that the idea that Lyons' students would "stick-up for a bastud student" was implicit in the referendum. "Basically, I'm trying to throw it (student power declaration) out to the students and see what happens," Johnson noted.

Friday April 24
An Tostal Weekend

IRISH WAKE
Buses leave from Circle 8:30 PM
5:00 PM $1.00
9:10 PM $1.00
9:30 PM $1.00

What's the difference between an Irish Wake and an Irish Wedding? One less drunk . . .

Irish wakes traditionally have been a festive occasion and this one will be no exception. A party like this could well wake up the dead and last until mourning. We promise the Spirits will rise freely, as they never have before at Notre Dame, with the exception of George Gipp at Washington Hall. What could be a more Erich place than the National Guard Armory?

Get there early, because the crowd will always be Dublin . . . Only costs one (sham) rock (that's $1.00) per couple to enter. Only $1.10 for a draught of O'BUD Irish Mst.

All-Irish sound sensation W.T. Christy from Chicago will provide the rocking vibrations.

Price Collins
Ray Connell

Lyons Hall president sets forth declaration of full hall autonomy

The complete text of the statement, calling for an assertion of student power by the Notre Dame community, read:

The Board of Trustees made their decision limiting parietal hours on the basis of preserving the authority of the traditions, the academic atmosphere, and the common purpose of the university. The traditions of Notre Dame continue to serve our students, its academic atmosphere stands to mystify individuals and suicide, its common purpose is still linked with militarism, big business, and the status quo.

No one truly doubts that Notre Dame can ever be a public educational institution. The question has gone beyond the desire for more interaction between men and women on this coeducational campus. In their decision the Board of Trustees, a body for removed from life in the halls, denied every hall the autonomy to make the decisions which most reflect individual student opinion and need. Only through hall autonomy can we obtain the responsibility and the community we all desire. While Lyons Hall recognizes the necessity of common direction in university life, we cannot accept the excessively repressive decision of the Board of Trustees.

On March 9 the Lyons Hall council voted on new parietal regulations. The council decided to implement complete, open visitation hours accompanied by a strong emphasis on individual responsibility and goodwill. On March 16 in referendum the hall students pledged almost 3 to 1 to support the decision of their representatives. This decision affirm the belief that the purpose of the university is to educate the individual not to preserve its image.

We hope this will be a step further towards recognizing and organizing the rights of the students. It should be clear, however, that what we demand, what we request, what we try to change is done in the interest of the community as a whole. If the student is ever to realize his position as a free individual he must be willing to assert his power. If the Notre Dame student community is ever to realize its position as an integral part of the university, the university will truly become a free community it must assert its power.

Lyons Hall hopes that it is its duty to ask you to support your fellow students and make Notre Dame a bastion of student power and responsibility.

Love,
Bruce T. Johnson
Lyons Hall President

Ray Connell is a student at the University of Notre Dame. He is a member of the SLC and a member of the student body.
Candidates relate problems facing SLC
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education between the body and the Board of Trustees.”

John Mateja, the third candidate, felt that the basic problem the SLC has had in the past was not its structure, rather it was its student representatives. He stressed communication between the student representatives and the students.

“You’ve got to go to the students,” he said, “the students are not going to come to you.”

One of the major problems he felt that the SLC should handle is the university policy of transferring students out of their dorms to make room for incoming freshmen. He proposed that students in residence halls be given the option to go off campus, as a possible solution. More people, then, would want to move off campus.

“The university could use this group of people as a variable to compensate for the increase or decrease in the number of freshmen they expect,” he said.

Mark Zimmerman, a candidate from Dillon Hall, said the emphasis of his campaign was on the future of the SLC. He hoped to have greater student representation on the Board of Trustees.

Zimmerman said also that he would like to see the SLC have a closer relationship with Fr. Hesburgh. Although he did not come out and endorse the Nutting for President campaign, he said that an in-depth study should be undertaken of the Chancellor-President structure.

George Holt, a candidate from Alumni Hall, said that so far the SLC has been ineffectual. As a solution he proposed that student representation be increased to fifty per cent.

“I feel that the present structure, having two-thirds administration and faculty representatives, tends to overlook student opinion,” said Holt. The present structure, he felt, shows a “lack of faith” in both the student representatives and the student body.

Holt referred to the recent letter from the Board of Trustees and said that its treatment of parietal hours and hall autonomy clearly shows this lack of faith in the students.

Holt said that if the SLC were to have greater student representation it could move more effectively in resolving campus problems. He said that a lack of communication was at the root of many campus problems, including racial problems. Better communication could be effected, he said, with greater representation.

In an interview held yesterday the two candidates for the Off-Campus position on the Student Life Council, Dick Tarrier and Frank Healy, expressed their views on what part the SLC should play in the University community and the causes they hoped to serve if elected.

Tarrier said that he felt the Life Council was at a critical point and must decide on the coming year what direction it will take. He argued that the present and past Councils have spent too much time on issues in which they had no power. He continued that, on the other side of the coin, many types of incidents which the SLC was formed to deal with were ignored. He cited the Carroll Hall situation as one example.

“The SLC talked about Carroll Hall but didn't formulate any action on it. When eighty guys get thrown out of their hall, that constitutes Student life.”

Frank Healy said that he had reached three decisions concerning the SLC after talking about the situation with administrators on campus. When queried on why the administrators opposed the proposed increase of student representation on the Council to fifty per cent, Healy said that he received the reply that some of the administrators felt that in the past the students have tended to vote in a “power bloc” thus hindering the actions of the body.

The SLC candidate said that the only remedy for such a situation was for the student...

The Ombudsman Service

Mon.-Fri. 1-3
Mon.-Thurs. 6:30-7:30
The Observer endorses

From the North Quad, The Observer endorses John Hurley and Tom Schoaf; from the South Quad, Ray Connell and John Mateja; and from the Towers, Glen Corso. From off-campus and the outlying halls, The Observer was evenly split and has decided not to endorse either Dick Terrier or Frank Healy.

All of these candidates should make excellent representatives. They have expressed the desire to foster greater contact among the students, the SLC, and the Board of Trustees.

They favor a change in atmosphere and atmosphere in the SLC's relationship with the Board. We think that they can deliver it.

Letter

Editorial:
The SLC election

The Board of Trustee's statement on parietals has caused a great uproar among student representatives. The SLC met this morning consider Dave Kraus' resolution recommending that the Board of Trustees be instructed to meet with the student representatives to discuss the issue. The resolution as written was not acceptable to the SLC, and therefore, the students they represented were not satisfied with the statement.

The Hall Presidents Council Monday night overwhelmingly adopted a resolution rejecting the Board of Trustee's statement. The Student Senate last night sent a resolution objecting to the Trustees' statement into committee in order to form a rationale.

The consensus among these student leaders is that they resent an outside force (The Board of Trustees) ruling on their lives when that force has little contact with students.

Quite frankly, they feel, and rightly so, that the Board of Trustees do not have their pulse on student life. The irony is that the Board of Trustees has the ultimate control over their lives.

The argument that has been brought up as a result is whether these representatives do in fact reflect the views of the student body. We believe that they do.

The question that then comes up is what to do about that frustration over the Trustees' statement on parietals and on the relationship of the Board with student life in general.

The obvious thing for the SLC to do is to reaffirm its original recommendations on hall life and parietal hours. If the Council felt strongly enough about these issues to begin with, it should register its dissatisfaction with the Trustees' statement.

The alternative to this, is for the SLC to roll over and limit itself to doing research and making recommendations to the Board of Trustees.

Beyond this, the student body can only voice its support of candidates who can realistically and without question represent them on important issues. We feel that the students on the Council this year have accurately reflected the opinions of the majority of students concerning student life. But apparently the fact hasn't hit home with the administration and the Board of Trustees.

It is for these reasons that The Observer has chosen to endorse candidates for tomorrow's SLC election.

The Observer has chosen to endorse candidates for tomorrow's SLC election.

Dear Mr. Taylor,

Perhaps I need further clarification of your position on the Grand Prix Queen Contest, but I find the logic of your recent letter incomplete, superficial and misleading.

You are incapable of measuring more meaningful qualities, you will "just have to make do." Your closing, a strong ad hominem attack, is a contradiction of the Grand Prix Queen Contest, doesn't seem to have any substantial basis in the remainder of the letter. The letter is an incomplete discussion: Why not just "drop" the queen contest?

I see your argument as superficial in that you never touch on the basic question. You fail to consider the negative implications concerning both female and male dignity that are quite probably generated by such an objectified identity. The queen has become a public symbol defining a limited role for each sex.

Finally, I see your argument as provincial in its strong plea to retain the status quo. But the article itself supplies its own contradiction to this plea. (It's the dialectic at work!) "Human achievements, intelligence, and notable achievements" are standards that pervade American judgments, social and otherwise.

-I might remind you that you are better rated according to the latter two, at least, in determining your admission to Notre Dame. It is the queen contest symbol that assigns the attributes of ingenuity, abstract thinking, and practical application to the male role. In admitting that you are incapable as yet of discovering and applying a measuring device to the suggested qualities, you have exposed your role to be an artificial and shallow illusion.

It is vital that we seek our human identity. Are the games really worth the effort?

Sincerely,
Carol Cusick
ICTUS is an engaging collection of today's "relevant" platitudes, sometimes expressed quite well to be sure, at other times less so. It seeks "to ventilate the burning problems of the university", but in its 23 pages it never succeeds in nearing its goal of "establishing a dialogue".

The opening article is "Aetheia", a little allegory which ventilates the burning problem of knocking down walls and finding (instead of the "horribleness") freedom to... and negating the void in the human heart. It is rather nicely written, and fairly enjoyable to read, but it has difficulty overcoming the platitudinous ambiguity of its content. After all, who's against "freedom"? Who's for "horribleness"?

The next selection is even better written not surprising, considering that the author is Mark Twain. The message in the same old stuff. The War Prayer recounts the patriotic fervor and prayer for victory as the boys march off to war, and concludes with the accusation that any prayer for victory is at the same time a prayer of vindicative hatred against the enemy. Naturally, the picture accompanying the article shows praying hands surrounded by American soldiers.

Yet, Professor George Williams of Govt. is given an opportunity to vent his wrath over Vietnam in his "Dosicks In Memoriam". It contends that the Myla massacre is a proper symbol for the strategic and tactical actions which qualify as war crimes which implicate our whole nation for its participation in the conflict, with liberal use of Nuremberg precedents. The style is good forceful, authoritative-sounding, emotion packed. But ultimately it's one-sidedness destroys its credibility. A blind eye is turned toward Communist atrocities (of which there is not a single mention, of course) and toward the Communist tactics which make the war necessarily dirty. No reference is made to U.S. attempts to mitigate the horrors of the war, e.g. warnings to civilians in areas which are open targets because of Viet Cong activity. The article never hits the central issue: the fact that the very nature of this type of fighting is the reason for the horrors, rather than any moral perversity in America. The question is not whether the war is a hateful thing—the question is whether the war is a necessary hateful thing; but this issue is ignored in favor of a more emotional approach. The line he quotes from Kafka: "Guilt is never to be doubted" seems to be a good characterization of the state of mind with which he approaches the evaluation of U.S. conduct in the war. Basically propaganda rather than "dialogue".

Mario Corrall's article on education is a rather rambling review of the topic. Education is said to be part of the establishment, students are said to be concerned only with more money and better jobs, etc. and there is certainly some truth in these. The rejection of revolution is sensible and somewhat refreshing. But what he offers as an alternative is not much. In fact, the definition of education which he offers ("the reasonable commitment to the realization of Self which we inherited by birth") is one of the most meaningless and horrendous definitions I have seen, in an age of meaningless and horrendous definitions.

His objection to education considered as "production" is well taken. It is particularly interesting to note though that the ICTUS editorial sees the essence of education as being "the formation of values"—which sounds suspiciously like production rather than as the development of the intellect in pursuit of truth but then truth is an unfashionable word these days.

The final contention of the article is of course that the result of this bad education is the War. (ICTUS shows an impressive consistency in seeing everything in terms of the Vietnamese conflict.)

Lack of poetic expertise forbids me to review Mike Feeny's poem, but Cry of the Torreens is fair game. Mike Fallon tells the heart-breaking story of a student who gets nailed in the lottery—it's not badly written, but we've heard it so many times before!

It is genuinely regretful that this magazine must be reviewed in such a negative way, since ICTUS is quite interesting from time to time, and the style of its writers is probably better than most campus publications. But in the end the strong points are seriously vitiated by the dreary one-sidedness of all the liberal cliches and righteous indignation which make the war necessarily dirty.
De Bell points to problems of DDT, autos, population
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were cow's milk it would be illegal to ship it interstate.

The problem of DDT is no longer pressing, De Bell emphasized, since all the harm it has done has resulted in widespread banning of its use. However as long as the chemical industry is allowed to create single purpose pesticides with out any obligation to research for side effects, we will always be rid of such products only after they have done their harm. De Bell stressed that the burden of proof of a product's safety belongs to the producer, the product should only be used when proved "necessary and safe".

In discussing air pollution De Bell found an easy entrance to the subject of his favorite polluter—automobiles. Besides killing 50,000 a year and surrounding 2,000,000 the automobile is also the number one polluter of the air. In Los Angeles there are days that the smog is so strong that the word goes out to the school system not to allow the children to exercise out doors during lunch time.

De Bell also pointed out that over-reliance on the automobile has led to comparatively poor development of more efficient transportation methods. Mass transit systems in this country are well behind their counterparts in many countries in Europe.

Of course one of the main problems underlying all the facets of our pollution problem is the fact that our technology is hard pressed to get far enough ahead of the increased demands of the rising population to find the time to consider the control of pollution. De Bell emphasized that population control is not the answer to all problems.

However he was clear on the point that it is an underlying factor in all the individual questions.

One of the problems of both our population growth and our style of living is the constant demand for more power. Our power consumption is growing even faster than the population. De Bell is quick to note that "all power pollutes," a statement whose apparent truth has done nothing to increase his popularity with oil companies or the Atomic Energy Commission.

Although many people have stated that ecology is not a political issue, De Bell points out that this is generally just a rap given it by the politicians. Many congressmen are afraid to back up their rhetoric because of anticipated financial reprints in their next campaign by such financial giants as oil and automobile companies.

After a question raised by an indignant listener De Bell made it quite clear that the ultimate blame must be laid at the door of the average American. It is we who are willing to take short term gains for long term loss and call such action common sense. It is we who are willing to buy goods and support politicians who show little concern for the rights of people who must live with the physical ruin of the country's ecology.

Berrigan busted

NEW YORK (UPI) - The Rev. Philip Berrigan, one of two brothers wanted by federal authorities after their conviction for destroying Selective Service records in Maryland, was arrested in New York yesterday by the FBI.

Father Berrigan, 47, was apprehended at St. Gregory the Great Church in Manhattan along with David M. Oherhardt, 28, another fugitive in the Maryland draft board raid case.

The Josephite priest was convicted in Baltimore last Oct. 5 of helping to start fires in a Selective Service office in Maryland, was arrested in New York yesterday by the FBI.

Father Berrigan's brother, the Rev. Daniel Berrigan, was also convicted in the Catonsville case and is still a fugitive. Both men had been scheduled to turn themselves in Baltimore to begin serving prison sentences April 9, but sent word that they intended to resist.
Students, faculty consider SMC role
by Amy Atapiedy
On Monday, April 13, Dr. Art Hochberg and Dr. Peter Smith sponsored a meeting for interested faculty and students of St. Mary's College to discuss the topic: "St. Mary's: Where is it now? Where is it going?" During the discussion the question of how there is no such lack of academic spirit on the St. Mary's campus brought up the group to the problem of Freshmen Orientation and how a true academic spirit might be nurtured in them.

Last night, in an open meeting, the group met again along with the co-chairmen of the SMC Freshmen Orientation, Anne Schelder and Marilyn Mohrman and their various committee heads, in an attempt to suggest ways in which the faculty might become involved in orientation. They also wished to discuss methods of promoting academic spirit in the freshmen as soon as they arrive at SMC.

It was the general consensus that a more direct and comfortable association of the freshmen with the faculty would result in better student-teacher relationships and thus promote a better academic atmosphere. The orientation committee asked if it would be possible to try a plan which has been suggested in previous orientations but has never been tried because of poor faculty response or student disagreement about procedure.

Under this plan one student and one faculty member would be in charge of a group of 10-15 freshmen and would work together in an attempt to orientate the girls to all aspects of college life. Dr. Hochberg said he would bring up the point of faculty helping the student advisors at the upcoming Faculty Assembly. It was further suggested that faculty members should be involved in the orientation of the student advisors as well as of the students since it will be the student advisors who will be most able to affect the freshmen the next year.

Means of giving the faculty members a casual way of coming into contact with the freshmen and also an opportunity to awaken their interest in the academic possibilities at St. Mary's, within the orientation program, were discussed at the upcoming "Free City Day" on May 1st. Extensive plans are already underway on the ND campus and several students felt it would be worthwhile for SMC to work in conjunction with ND and initiate some sort of program on the SMC campus.

Next Monday, there will be another meeting open to anyone who is interested in the Clubhouse at 7:30 p.m. The subject for discussion will be racism on the SMC campus and SMC admission policies. The meetings will continue as long as interested students keep attending in the hopes of creating an interested students keep attending in students to effect change at St. Mary's College.
by Jim Donaldson

Sports Writer

Led by the three-hit pitching of Mike Karkut and Chuck Horan's three runs batted in, Fighting Irish baseball team won their second game in succession yesterday, defeating Valparaiso.

The name of Mike Karkut and Chuck Horan is starting to get some runs to fighting Irish baseball team, won yesterday, defeating Valparaiso.

The Irish wasted no time in getting Karkut some runs to start the Irish's second game in succession getting Karkut some runs to fighting Irish baseball team.

The Fighting Irish wasted no time in getting Karkut some runs to fighting Irish baseball team.

Cleanup hitter Rich Lucke then romped home on a single to left center, scoring Votier and Lucke.

The Crusaders tallied their first run Wednesday when a single by Jeff Connors rapped a pass ball and plated Votier and Lucke.

The Irish are now 2-0 on their own diamond after getting the season by losing 10 of 11 on the road.

Chippewa hitter Rich Lucke then ran a walk and stole second base.

The Fighting Irish baseball team has won its second game in succession getting Karkut some runs to fighting Irish baseball team.

The Crusaders tallied their first run Wednesday when a single by Jeff Connors rapped a pass ball and plated Votier and Lucke.

The Irish are now 2-0 on their own diamond after getting the season by losing 10 of 11 on the road.
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The Crusaders tallied their first run Wednesday when a single by Jeff Connors rapped a pass ball and plated Votier and Lucke.

The Irish are now 2-0 on their own diamond after getting the season by losing 10 of 11 on the road.