It has been nearly two years since a financially troubled South Bend first somewhat ag­gressively broached the idea of annexing Notre Dame. It was thought that the resultant in­crease of citizenry could mean more money on a per capita basis from the federal and state governments.

The financial plight of South Bend was accelerated last year when the 1970 census revealed that the city had decreased in population over the last decade which would mean a loss of $150,000 in badly needed funds.

Since that fact became known, the pressure and drive to annex Notre Dame has visibly increased.

University officials are quick to point out, however, that actu­ally South Bend would lose money if it annexed Notre Dame due to the necessity of paying for the police and fire protec­tion services and counseling and road main­tenance that Notre Dame now pays. South Bend would receive a maximum of an additional $150,000 for the new ten-thousand residents and 20,000 students. That $325,000 that Notre Dame pays annually for these services. In­cluding services at other two schools, the cost to South Bend would be two and a half times greater than expected revenue.

Mayor Allen has said that the city does not intend to provide police and fire protec­tion beyond what it is now. Last year the city responded to 40 campus alarms and 25 campus requests for ambulance service at taxpayer expense. This was done in a supportive role at the re­quest of Notre Dame. Allen’s current stand of course will nullify the city expenses incurred in annexation.

Notre Dame officials are slightly incredulous that the city does not apparently intend to provide these services as it does to all the other areas of the city.

Publicity has stressed that the cost of a university to the city, but the question remains un­resolved.

Notre Dame has made men­tion of an Indiana statute which states that cities may provide for, accept and accept and approve annexations. The an­nexing city must have a plan to furnish, in association with the annexed territory with all the services that other parts of the city furnish. South Bend does not have such a plan, and this point might be significant in any litiga­tion.

While an immediate financial gain is the center of South Bend’s concern, the university’s principal concern is also financial, but of a longer term and of a different nature.

All of Notre Dame’s resistance to annexation is their very real fear that the invasura­bility of the university will be destroyed. This would not be true if the city does.

University officials are fearful that annexation would result from the fact that they are legally within the city, and the city would be free to search for more revenue, even from historically tax exempt in­stitutions.

(Continued on page 8)
Co-education proposed for SMC

by Ann Therese Darin

Student leaders at St. Mary's reached a surprise consensus opinion last night on the newly released Park-Mayhew Report concerning the feasibility of coeducation at Notre Dame and St. Mary's.

"We don't want St. Mary's to remain as a women's college in Notre Dame," said Karen Schultz, academic affairs commissioner. The group foresees St. Mary's as a humanistically-oriented coed liberal arts college in Notre Dame similar to that proposed by Fr. Raymond Runde, St. Mary's education professor.

Notre Dame's liberal arts college, on the other hand, although coed, would appeal to graduate-school oriented students.

The significance of the consensus opinion, according to Sr. M. Immaculata dean of students, is that the students were the first group that Sister encountered which saw no alternative to accepting the Park-Mayhew proposal.

"Everything boils down to academic," commented Mary Berne. "Fundamentally girls come here for an education."

In light of this consideration the group, which included representatives of student government, campus media, and the hall directors, placement, and counseling offices, adjourned until next Monday at 8:30 p.m. when they will meet with the Student Affairs Committee.

Sr. Immaculata outlined the procedure with which the report will be handled. The coordinating committee, now named the task force, met during Christmas vacation to go through the report and, subsequently, section it to be studied by the individual departments.

Committees, such as the one last night which considered the social implications of the report, are supposed to consider the positive, negative, and alternative ideas, to the study, according to Dr. Elizabeth Noel, St. Mary's task force chairman.

Their suggestions will be incorporated in a report to the joint Student Affairs coordinating committee, Feb. 13.

Executive groups will meet March 21 in Florida to decide what to submit to the April Board of Trustees meeting. They will make the final decision on the implementations of the plan.

Merger endorsed (Continued from page 1)

Dame," signed by both presidents.

"The union of the two colleges as envisioned in this document will be viable only if each campus can create and maintain a distinctive educational mission while at the same time contributing appropriately to the educational mission of the other campus," the report concluded.

Stone & Webster interviewers will be on campus

February 3, 1971

Find out if the Stone & Webster corporate hard hat is for you -- and vice versa.

As a Stone & Webster construction engineer, you'll become directly involved with nuclear, steam and hydroelectric power generation, power transmission systems and design and construction of power plants for chemicals, petrochemicals and petroleum.

As part of your engineer-in-training activities, you'll spend 2½ years in the field alongside experienced, senior construction engineers. Then you'll devote six months to developing firsthand knowledge of design, scheduling, purchasing and other management skills in our Boston offices. Stone & Webster offers an excellent employee benefits program including a generous Tuition Assistance Plan.

See how the hat will fit. Register with your Placement Office for a Stone & Webster interview when our representatives visit your campus.

If you are more interested in design engineering, we have a comprehensive training program for you, too.

STONE & WEBSTER Engineering Corporation
225 Franklin Street, Boston, Mass. 02107
An Equal Opportunity Employer
The Observer

Dept. to be terminated

by Tom Bornholdt

Notre Dame's Department of Computing Science has been formally discontinued by the University Academic Council, effective May 31, 1971.

In announcing the decision, the Academic Council cited the dwindling service function of the two-year-old department and the increased capability of the University's four colleges to handle computing-related courses now taught in other departments. The computing science department had six professors.

Bill Wilka, Academic Affairs Commissioner and ex-officio member of the Academic Council, felt that the cut of the computing science department was "a sign of a problem that exists. The University is in serious financial trouble - in a tight squeeze."

Tom Kenny, the student representative to the Academic Council from the College of Science, said that the demise of the computing science department was "fundamentally an economic problem." He questioned Fr. Hesburgh as remarking during the Academic Council meeting, "A question of allocating scarce resources, a question of priorities."

Both Kenny and Wilka commented that there was very little discussion at the meeting of the Academic Council. The dean of the four colleges, according to Kenny, agreed that their colleges did not need the computing science department. Both Wilka and Kenny thought that a more detailed discussion of the problem of the priorities involved with the cut of the department would have been more desirable. In another action, the Council discontinued the institute status of the Computing Center, which houses Notre Dame's four colleges. Institute status is reserved for "major organizations not with a college and devoted to advanced academic study, teaching and research." Notre Dame officials emphasized that the Center will continue to provide services for faculty and administration and that a computer had been ordered by the University.

The Council also:

- Added two graduate students as full members of the Graduate Council.
- Named the associate dean of the Graduate School and the director of the Summer Session to the Graduate Council.
- Approved a new graduate degree, master of science in environmental engineering, to recognize completion of an existing program of studies for which an M.S. in civil engineering has been awarded.

Sophs gain car privileges; graduate students lose vote

A proposal to allow graduate students a voting privilege and the right to allow the sophomores parking were the two main topics of discussion at the last Student Council Life meeting on December 19. Professor F. Eagan, chairman of the SLC pointed out at the meeting that the council was essentially designed for the undergraduate and that the balloting among the three groups involved in the SLC, namely the Faculty, the Administration, and the undergraduate, would be upset by the addition of a graduate school vote.

Granting the graduate students voting privileges would also mean a restructuring of the duties for the vice-president of student affairs, Eagan claimed. Mr. Philip Faccenda, vice-president and General Counsel, said that the SLC effects the Graduate students only in small ways such as the security of the student parking.

The Lay Board of Trustees turned down the proposal of graduate voting privileges in the SLC at their meeting December 22. They suggested that the proposed work with the graduates to come up with something for giving them an organization similar to the SLC. The executive committee of the Lay Board will meet on this at their Jan. 22 meeting.

Parking for on-campus sophomores was passed unanimously. However this will not go into effect until September of 1971 and the expansion of the facilities of parking area D-I, to accommodate the extra cars, will not begin until March due to the prohibitive weather.

Introducing an unbelievable new product: Student-Railpass.

(Would you believe two whole months of unlimited rail travel throughout thirteen European countries * for a modest $125?)

Our brand-new Student-Railpass gives you all that Second Class rail travel on the over 100,000 mile railroad systems of Austria, Belgium, Denmark, France, Germany, the Netherlands, Luxemherg, Portugal, Spain, Sweden and Switzerland. You'll discover that there's very little second class about Second Class. You can sleep in a couchette for only $4.50 a night, and eat in expensive cafeteria-type dining cars.

The SONY 6040 receivers features a highly sensitive FM tuner, with sensitivity rating 2.4 microvolts and signal to noise ratio a whopping 70dB. It's ability to separate stations and reject interference is better than most costly separate tuners. The amplifier delivers 20 watts -- FM watts naturally, good solid audio engineering type watts, the only kind of rating that means anything.

The SONY STR 6040 receiver was a good buy at $199.95, but it's a bargain at $195. The supply is limited, so don't delay.

You can get an excellent stereo system using the SONY STR 6040 for a little as $278 (list price of components separately, $415).

-1307 E. CALVERT PHONE 288-1681
OPEN 3-8 PM MON-TH,
1-4:30 PM SUN & FRI,
CLOSED SATURDAY

RM'S AUDIO

SONY STR 6040 AM/FM RECEIVER- WAS $199.95,
NOW ONLY $150
Ann Marie Tracey

Perhaps we expected a miracle: a comprehensive plan for the most beneficial cooperation between St. Mary's College and the University of Notre Dame. The Park-Mayhew study, as it stands, is just that: neither is it comprehensive nor, in all, the best possible solution. Since the spring 1970 meeting of the joint boards of trustees when the study was called for the password has been "wait until the Park-Mayhew report is in." Yet, now finished, it falls short of our expectations in its content.

Initially, its lack of details, while leaving the way open for change also leaves the large chance of maintenance of the status quo. Park and Mayhew deem determinates to the potential relationship of the two institutions, if not fatal to their students. Saying most important, they should merge, for instance, is comparable to the former dictum that they should meet with each other in efforts toward a better working relationship. And unless the University has a drastic reversal of her own fortunes, she will not be able to bear such a tax.

Bad for the City: bad for the University: bad for the relationship between the two. Mayoral's proposed annexation of Notre Dame deserves a quick and merciful dispatch at the hands of the Common Council.

Annexation Is No Solution

South Bend is in a bad state; the projected loss of revenue that will accompany its significant drop in population has moved those operating South Bend's municipal government to plow through a number of drastic money-saving schemes. Feeble, they are being forced to reconsider the steady financially troubled University of Notre Dame without providing basic community services on the gamble that the additional citizens would cause the federal and state governments to recycle lots of money to the city economically unsound and ethically perilous.

If the proposal, now before the South Bend Common Council, is passed then the University of Notre Dame will be part of South Bend, just like Lleper Park, or Eddy Street, or any number. Naturally, the city would be responsible for providing police and fire protection for students; students would be citizens of the city and hence entitled to the protection of the city. The right to police and fire protection is a difficult right to abridge; neither legislation from the Common Council nor the voices from Mayor Allen can do so. In short, the city would have to provide at least these services for its new "territory." And that means the city would take a financial bath.

Nor should the move impress those who handout monies from Indianapolis or Washington. That the annexation, if successful, is a contrived attempt to circumvent the potential loss of revenue and to merge with a school of collegiate institutions is obvious, even to the most least observant, particularly in light of Mayor Allen's stated refusal to provide police protection and fire service to the newly annexed du lac. So it appears as though the maneuver which are limited to one department particularly in light of Mayor Allen's stated refusal to provide police protection and fire service to the newly annexed du lac. So it appears as though the maneuver which can hardly be termed truly educational. This is seen, for instance, with SMC being able to skirt in the report. Consequently, while "traditions" are maintained, the unbalanced ratio still exists producing unbalanced people, and students are forced into an academic slot which can hardly be termed truly educational. This is seen, for instance, with SMC being able to stress "liberalizing values," while Notre Dame stresses "professional values." Neither orientation is intrinsically better, but the student should be able to choose which type of education he or she wants.

Finally, with the maintenance of the present single sex institutions as called for in the report, Park and Mayhew almost brush aside (as being too positive to present) the problem of "of (time)" questions such as an exchange of residence halls and open dining facilities. These two aspects of campus life, if handled correctly, could make a major difference in the lives of the students, yet they are not dealt with sufficiently.

The Park-Mayhew report contains a good analysis of the present relationship between SMC and ND as well as many good suggestions, some as concerning the Boards of Trustees and the appointment of a coordinator. But those positive aspects are insufficiently by themselves, they must not cloud the root issues we must face punitively when so much more could be introduced and accomplished.

Night Editorials: Mary Chris Moran and Ann Conway

Headline: "Get Me To One"

Ed Ellis

Certain events occurring this last semester at Saint Mary's College have disturbed my aesthetic sensibilities. The religious sentimentality is with it with these events in mind that I undertake to abandon my lifelong indifference to peddling ideological debate over the future of that institution. In short, I shall present, in all modesty and with apologies to Mr. Swift, a proposal for the abolition of the multitudes of problems that Saint Mary's upon its entry into the twentieth century. It is my fervent hope that my analysis of the situation will be taken in all seriousness by the learned members of the SMC community, or who, should they care to intervene themselves) as a possible solution to the problem of Saint Mary's, that institution plaguing that it is not, and is not, a Catholic College.

First, however, I should like to describe those events that were so upsetting to my aesthetic equilibrium. First among these was the firing of Dr. Michael Harper, the well-loved and intelligent professor of education, because he was not a Catholic. To my unappreciative heathen mind, it was wrong, and I would like to see the University to become a center of Catholicism rather than of learning, and since the college is hardly a "center" for anything (except, of course, for the University'sannual mass), we are left with a "Catholic" place. Discouraging indeed.

Second, we must consider certain recent statements by Acting President Sister Alma Peter concerning co-education and the manner by the University of Notre Dame. The Sister said in an October memo to the faculty that: "...the enormous value which they handout monies from Indianapolis or Washington. That the annexation, if successful, is a contrived attempt to circumvent the potential loss of revenue and to merge with a school of collegiate institutions is obvious, even to the most least observant, particularly in light of Mayor Allen's stated refusal to provide police protection and fire service to the newly annexed du lac. So it appears as though the maneuver which can hardly be termed truly educational. This is seen, for instance, with SMC being able to stress "liberalizing values," while Notre Dame stresses "professional values." Neither orientation is intrinsically better, but the student should be able to choose which type of education he or she wants.

Finally, with the maintenance of the present single sex institutions as called for in the report, Park and Mayhew almost brush aside (as being too positive to present) the problem of "of (time)" questions such as an exchange of residence halls and open dining facilities. These two aspects of campus life, if handled correctly, could make a major difference in the lives of the students, yet they are not dealt with sufficiently.

The Park-Mayhew report contains a good analysis of the present relationship between SMC and ND as well as many good suggestions, some as concerning the Boards of Trustees and the appointment of a coordinator. But those positive aspects are insufficiently by themselves, they must not cloud the root issues we must face punitively when so much more could be introduced and accomplished.
The Coeducation? Report

For the last nine months talk about coeducation here has always ended with: "Wait until the Park-Mayhew report is done". And it is entitled "Relationships between St. Mary's College and the University of Notre Dame," it is short, and simple. For a while it even makes sense. It talks realistically about the clouded future of one-sex education, and about the problems in merging two institutions with subtle but substantial differences.

The report presents and injects some alternatives. Expanded co-operation is dismissed. The "simple abstraction" of SMC's student body and physical plant would probably be unacceptable to their Board of Trustees, and more importantly, would sacrifice the unique benefits of heightened community feeling enjoyed by a small college.

The problem is in molding a University that can offer a truly excellent education (which, as many feel, includes full academic, social, and residential co-education) while preserving St. Mary's advantages as a smaller community. Park and Mayhew see this, and seem on the right track. "...change would have to be sure that St. Mary's college would be able to retain its distinctiveness, and would be able to offer, in co-operation with the University, a viable and reasonably comprehensive Undergraduate program..." Fine, and then you turn the page (dramatically), and it reads: "...designed primarily for women."

We're back in the same rut again.

The Report makes a point of not recommending any Co-Ed Residence Halls, or even the institution of a women's dorm on the ND campus. This is one area where students really feel the need for an arrangement that goes beyond co-exchange.

The report shows little creativity in dealing with the problem of St. Mary's "identity." Park and Mayhew suggest that St. Mary's "...because it is small it might embrace more wholeheartedly educational experimentalism by engaging in such things as increased inter-disciplinary work and using the newer media in effective but humane ways." A great idea. SMC could be an alternative Liberal Arts college within the University with different concentrations and less traditional styles of education.

But why can't Notre Dame men be fully involved in that program -- not merely as shuttle bus students, but as residents, with full membership in the St. Mary's College of the University? The report suggests that a student can major at the opposite institution, but only with the "concurrency" and, it would imply, within the requirements of, the other college. A girl applying to the University of Notre Dame is automatically put into the St. Mary's college without the option of college choice (Business, Science, etc.) available to a male. Of course, a male wouldn't be enrolled in St. Mary's College. The result of all this is a denial of real co-education and freedom of movement within the University. Further, the plan the Report suggests wants an opportunity for an expansion of academic programs that the merger of the two institutions makes possible.

The schizophrenia of many of the students is everywhere. The report ignores the problem of SMC's student body and physical plant and suggests that the merger of the two institutions makes possible.

But the trip; the trip is cold and frightening. Those ugly, unhappy people are the ones the country is full of. They have no concern for you either, and in fact they fear you, because you aren't one of them. You are alone. And so it is on a very thin thread that you take the trip.

There is no use in worrying about it. Today is unspeakably dreary. I feel as if I were dead. It was probably an overdose of something.

Home is a warm, friendly place where you can sleep and eat, and stay inside for days on end, if you want to, or, you can go out with your friends to comfortable old places, or courageously, to severe new ones. School is warm too. There is a solid routine which occupies most of the day, and it is always easy to kill the rest, because you have so many friends living with you. And if you want to do something, you can break off and do it. That is very important.

But the trip; the trip is cold and frightening. Those ugly, unhappy people are the ones the country is full of. They have no concern for you either, and in fact they fear you, because you aren't one of them. You are alone. And so it is on a very thin thread that you take the trip.

T. G. Knoles

The Trainride

The Trainride back was too long. Going, it was beyond being boring and became the absurdity of the idea of traveling with those people.

And paranoia. The middle-aged man in the leather jacket at first stabs the conductor, and is later seen to have jumped up North will be good, the semester will have just left a family which I love and am waiting at the circle for the very bus to take me away. I met a very nice girl who asked me about my guitar case. She was going to Spain second semester. I actually laughed later in the bus at the idea of meeting someone and having everything against continuation like that. I also saw Santa Claus while I was on that bus. He was walking with a cane and had his shoulder down a street in the bad part of town. I couldn't lie about that.

The trainride continues. The small man in the seat in front of me pulled out a Nikon and took a picture of the smoke from the Bethlehem plant as we waited through Gary. I also think of two other trainrides into South Bend. Once, someone shot at the train, and there was a line of holes across the windows. The other time, a man speaking poetically caused a girl to leave the train. On the same trip a man died. They still thought he was asleep when I left, but he was dead. It was probably an overdose of something.

Home is a warm, friendly place where you can sleep and eat, and stay inside for days on end, if you want to, or, you can go out with your friends to comfortable old places, or courageously, to severe new ones. School is warm too. There is a solid routine which occupies most of the day, and it is always easy to kill the rest, because you have so many friends living with you. And if you want to do something, you can break off and do it. That is very important.

But the trip; the trip is cold and frightening. Those ugly, unhappy people are the ones the country is full of. They have no concern for you either, and in fact they fear you, because you aren't one of them. You are alone. And so it is on a very thin thread that you take the trip.

There is no use in worrying about it. Today is unspeakably dreary. I feel as if I were dead. It was probably an overdose of something.

Home is a warm, friendly place where you can sleep and eat, and stay inside for days on end, if you want to, or, you can go out with your friends to comfortable old places, or courageously, to severe new ones. School is warm too. There is a solid routine which occupies most of the day, and it is always easy to kill the rest, because you have so many friends living with you. And if you want to do something, you can break off and do it. That is very important.

But the trip; the trip is cold and frightening. Those ugly, unhappy people are the ones the country is full of. They have no concern for you either, and in fact they fear you, because you aren't one of them. You are alone. And so it is on a very thin thread that you take the trip.

The Cultural Arts Commission Presents 2001 - A Space Odyssey

January 20, 21 and 22
7:30 and 10:00
Washington Hall
General Admission $1.00

T. G. Knoles
Although most Notre Dame students took advantage of the long vacation between semesters by relaxing and taking things easy, the Fighting Irish basketball squad did anything but that. Johnny Dee's charges traveled over a major portion of the country as they took five more victories and suffered two losses during the four week plus break. The season record now stands at 5-4 after an extended vacation between semesters. The Irish celebrated the New Year's Eve date with a big win of their 24 point margin was the biggest difference of the season for the Irish over an opponent. A weekend trip to Colorado Springs provided the Irish the opportunity to perform before a national television audience. A sloppy game by the Irish left most viewers with a rather distasteful of ND basketball however. The Irish beat the Air Force 75-71 in a lackluster contest. The Irish could never quite open up a lead over the tenacious Falcons who were led by 5'8" guard by the name of Charlie Brown. Even though he had one of his poorer games of the season, Carr managed 34 tallies. It still wasn't a good sample for national TV viewers of the talents of the Irish captain. Riding a four game win streak the ND cagers invaded Milwaukee Arena with hopes of ending the nation's longest collegiate win streak which totaled 23 by the Marquette Warriors. The Irish had another poor night, however, and an impressive second half comeback fell short by five points. MU won this big one 71-66 and on the strength of this win jumped to the No. 2 ranking in the nation.

Although the duo of Carr and Jones was a bit stronger. Chones was an impressive big man (6'11") he was tallied 20 to Carr's 22. At one point in the first half by the Marquette's 20 point barrage of defense ace Gary Brelt.

At one point in this game Marquette beat the Irish 54-50 points but the Irish rallied in the middle of the second half to stave the unbeaten Warriors. It was Memminger's clutch foul shooting that assured Marquette a victory in the late stages of the game.

The Coliseum Center can be a pretty quiet place when the Notre Dame student body isn't in attendance at a basketball game. Only 5,561 people showed up to watch two ND cagers meet the Detroit Titans and it was so quiet that the Irish slept away part of the game as Detroit moved to a 15 point advantage. Austin Carr, the nation's second leading scorer, had only nine points in the first half.

Then, even without the exhortations of the students, the Irish exploded to win, going away 93-79. The fuse to this explosion was a stuck of dynamite named Carr. The All-American guard hit for 38 points after intermission to ignite the ND charge. The last game during the vacation may have been the worst effort by the Irish this season. A very poor Duquesne quintet the took the measure of Notre Dame in overtime by an 81-78 score.

The Dukes were able to break the Notre Dame press throughout the game and this enabled them to connect on a very hot 61% from the field mostly on layups. The Irish were down by 10 points with less than ten minutes remaining but they rallied to take a 69-67 lead with only one minute showing on the clock.

Throughout the game neither team was in foul trouble and at this point ND had but five team fouls. Many felt that the Irish should have fouled the Dukes at this point permitting them one more try at the charity stripe and then granting ND possession of the ball. The Dukes scored to send the game into overtime.

Even though Duquesne played their best game of the season and Notre Dame probably their worst, it took some questionable calls (particularly on a technical foul call after a scuffle had broken out between John Pelck and Barry Nelson of Duquesne) by the officials to get the Dukes their eighth victory.

Carr hit only one of 39, his worst shooting night of the year.
Well folks, now it's official. We can all go back to worrying about the draft, inflation, strikes, and little things like that. President Richard Nixon has decided for us the over-riding question of our time. He has made it perfectly clear just who Number One is. Long live the Nebraska Cornhuskers!

With all due respect to the chief executive I must sound a voice of dissent, however. No, I'm not a leftist, Connie-pinko radical. I am simply a sports fan who believes that the president has no jurisdiction in proclaiming the Number One team in the nation. No sir, Nebraska is not Number One. The year of 1970 (or the Year of the Quarterback if you prefer) should go down in the record books as a year that simply could not produce a bonafide National Champion.

Looking at the problem of selecting a Number One team objectively it would be best to define the terms used. Just what does it mean to be Number One? I have always been of the opinion that Number One means going against the best competition in the country and coming away without a blemish on the record. To be Number One a team must produce on every given opportunity. For example, Texas was without a doubt the Number One team of 1969. The Longhorns moved down their opposition and they proved their worth of National Champions on the field. Richard Nixon didn't make the Horns "Numero Uno" last year, Texas earned it.

Penn State registered a complaint because they had an identical record but the Nittany Lions had no beef because they rejected the opportunity for a head to head playoff with the only other major unbeaten team in the nation. Instead they chose the sun and fun route of Miami. Texas played the strongest opponent they could find and beat them in a comeback performance. Always the mark of a champion.

Nineteen hundred and seventy produced a quite different situation. There were no unbeaten and untied teams remaining at the season's end thus no one proved worthy of the title of Number One. Arizona State has as much right to claim this coveted position as anyone. No one could touch them during the season and the bowl people were too afraid to take a chance on an unknown like the Sun Devils to let them have a crack at one of the "name" schools in a big bowl. ASU versus Tennessee could have proved a great deal to the poll watchers. So by default the Sun Devils must be counted out of the running for Number One.

Our own head coach Ara Parseghian felt a little bitter about the final poll and he had a legitimate gripe. ND did take the challenge of meeting No. 1 Texas, and they proved that on that afternoon they were the superior team. 13 points superior to be exact. I must disagree with you, coach, on the subject of who is to occupy that primary spot.

As things stand now, that is, with something as subjective as coaches and writers deciding the National Champion, the only fair way to make a final judgment is to pick the team that is unbeaten and untied. If there is no major college team in this category there simply is no National Champion.

I am not saying that just because a team has been beaten it is no good. Something that ridiculous belongs in Sports Illustrated! If a team is chosen subjectively then its record must be concrete enough to prove this claim justified.

The only way to prove a National Championship is that which is done in college basketball. There must be a playoff system. Coach Parseghian proposed this a long time ago and it's time for the NCAA to realize that nothing will be settled until Ara's plea is answered.

IRISH EYE-TEM — Did you ever wonder why no one of any political importance was in the locker room to congratulate this year's Cotton Bowl champs? Maybe LBJ thought his burnt orange shirt might clash with all that green.
The business and industrial annexation would result in the annexation of the university.

The university has also said they are "convinced" that the annexation question is creating conflict in those who feel a division between the town and the university. This is not wanted, however, there is no doubt that the university will sacrifice divisiveness to ensure that annexation is not successful.

The administration is championing the divisiveness that is the inevitable result of the present squabble as the major reason for stopping annexation proceed- ings. Spokesman John that the damage caused may impair the town and gow partnership for a long time. The prediction of pressure to tax the university after annexation to pay for vital services would also add the fall ing out they say.

The university is trying to settle the annexation question before next Monday's voting list, providing that the Notre Dame post office and the dateline "Notre Dame Indiana" is a matter of considerable consequence to the three institutions that use it.

The university is trying very hard to settle the annexation question, and the dispute will go to a vote. What then becomes clear is that the city is committed to raising money and the university is committed to preserving its private tax exempt status. The annexation question ultimately brings these two commitments into conflict. Notre Dame appears the likely winner.

However, a civil war is impossible. The university cannot wade into an annexed.

The administration is championing the divisiveness that is the inevitable result of the present squabble as the major reason for stopping annexation proceedings. Spokesman John that the damage caused may impair the town and university partnership for a long time. The prediction of pressure to tax the university after annexation to pay for vital services would also add the falling out they say.

The university is trying to settle the annexation question before next Monday's voting list, providing that the Notre Dame post office and the dateline "Notre Dame Indiana" is a matter of considerable consequence to the three institutions that use it.

The university is trying very hard to settle the annexation question, and the dispute will go to a vote. What then becomes clear is that the city is committed to raising money and the university is committed to preserving its private tax exempt status. The annexation question ultimately brings these two commitments into conflict. Notre Dame appears the likely winner.

However, a civil war is impossible. The university cannot wade into an annexed.