by Fred Schaefer

John Barkett, candidate for SBP, outlined his plans last night in Keenser-Staudt to make the

Concern over referendum

by Ed Ellis

Several campus leaders last night expressed concern over the turnout in today's constitution referendum. All agree that a massive turnout is essential to assure the Board of Trustees of a unified campus stand behind co-education, but a guarded skepticism pervaded all comments.

"I'll admit, I've had second thoughts since my original motion for a campus wide referendum," stated Badin Hall President, Buzz Imhoff. "I felt the need for a tangible sign of this campus' opinion of co-education. But I'm afraid distressers in the referendum might be interpreted as opposition to co-education."

NSA Coordinator Steve Novak added that since the Student Government proposal is "the one only on the ballot, its defeat might imply a defeat for co-education to the Trustees."

Mark Winings, Student Body VP, emphasizing the extreme importance of a large turnout warned that the student body could "chalk it up, if they don't start turning out on the issues."

He stressed the fact that this proposal was the student's own, and cited this as a opportunity for Notre Dame to assume a position of "national leadership instead of standing for a useless five-year delay."

Senator T.C. Treanor, who last week voted against a Senate endorsement of the referendum, echoed his support for co-education by stating, "It's imperative that the referendum pass. The implications of failure would effect not only co-education but anything students bring before the Board of Trustees."

by Kevin McGill

Don Mooney, SBP candidate, spoke of a slightly hostile group of students at Morrisey Hall yesterday. The President of the five-hundred students asking for a Chicano concentration I

The referendum to suspend student government for one year will not be held tomorrow, the date of the student body presidential elections.

The referendum will be held but the student government election committee must meet and decide on the date. It will apparently not be held next Monday, which is the date for a possible student body president runoff election.

Don Mooney, SLC Judicial Committee ruled last night that the student government constitutional referendum end less than ten days after presentation to the Student Senate. The Student Senate was presented with the petition bearing the signatures of five-hundred students asking for a referendum on the suspension of student government for one year be held January 25, today.

That was only eight days ago, and by a 3-2 vote, the judicial committee said that the January 25 date was too soon after Senate presentation.

Only four of the seven members of the judicial committee were at the meeting last night and student body president presided. The judicial committee decided on a referendum on Friday.

Krausha is the chairman of the judicial committee and can only vote in case of a tie.

The question over the constitution referendum was liberally favored with both the president and petitioning interests involved in their consideration.

The five members on the judicial committee all agreed that the timing of the referendum scheduled for the day of the student body presidential elections, had political overtones.

Having the referendum on an election day would improve the turnout of students voting on the referendum. This is crucial because sixty percent of the students must vote on a referendum to validate its passage.

The February 25 date was written into the petition circulated by students desiring a referendum.

However, the majority of the judges agreed that since the Student Senate received the petition last week, it was possible for them to change the voting date.

Therefore, the majority said

(continued on page 8)
Co-education positions stated by candidates

Mooney: change attitudes

by Art Ferranti

SBP candidate Don Mooney yesterday voiced his opinions concerning co-education at Notre Dame. He said, "We have all heard of co-education for the years we were without help. We have waited too long for results. Co-ed dorms and expanded dining facilities will be here next September if we push the Administration this spring."

Mooney stressed the social areas of the co-education proposal, saying that "The merger of the University will take care of the academic problems and would make possible university degrees for men and women. We have to worry about co-ed dorms, an expanded co-ed dining program, and increasing the number of females in the University."

Reinforcing his platform of student government, Mooney stated, "A lot of these things students are going to have to do themselves without much help from the university. Essentially what males and females have to change our lives and relate with each other as if this were a co-ed school. This means breaking down stagnant date-oriented relations hopefully," he continued, "we can start planning activities for the student body."

Mooney favored a merger with St. Mary's rather than going co-ed on our own. However, if the Administration of St. Mary's refused to merge, Mooney said then that Notre Dame should go on its own but he hoped that the merger would mean "that we would take five years to develop such sort of education on our own" whereas SMC has the facilities to merge with ND almost immediately.

Mooney also responded to the co-ed dorm situation and the fear of various students who might get pushed out of their halls. He said, "We would have to look around for the right kind of halls for co-ed living and see how those students feel about it. We would also have to make sure that anyone who lost his room because of co-education got an equally good room in return."

Mooney believes in swift action and a mandatory merger. He outlined his proposals as follows, "The Student Union would be for both campuses, the cabinet would be the same, and the student body would be the Hall Presidents of both campuses." He said that the Administration "had at least a foot in the door and that "Students can organize whatever they want to." "Co-ed dining," Mooney concluded, "is an important part of one's social education at the university. I have some ideas and the program can be developed for co-ed dining."

Another vital point of his campaign is establishing student government as the "go-between for students, trustees, and administration," he remarked. This function would be begun with the presentation before the Board in March.

Reinhardt suggested a merger of the two student governments to combine the powers of parallel student governments rather than any group. This would, he hoped, prevent the recurrence of a situation in which the "good people" of student government would have to fight each others' battles because they lack a dynamic, central "core." The merger would also mean the "best of both" in Reinhardt's words.

Co-education is one of the immediate steps that student government can take to hasten co-education, he claimed. Reinhardt suggested a wide expansion of the program which had its first trial run.

Riebandt: "matching dorms"

by Greg Rowski

Jim Reinhardt answered questions on co-education, the number one priority in his SBP campaign.

Riebandt emphasized the two major points which he felt student government should emphasize at the Board of Trustees meeting. One is that "men and women are meant for each other, and the other that Trustees should live on campus for a time to see the situation firsthand.

The Riebandt-Connelly platform is made up of a number of key planks, he commented, one of the most important being the concept of "sacramoting dorms." This would entail earmarking neighboring dorms on the ND campus for men or women. The idea of sister school would become more intimate in the form of a sister dorm.

Another vital point of his campaign is establishing student government as the "go-between for students, trustees, and administration," he remarked. This function would be begun with the presentation before the Board in March.

Reinhardt suggested a merger of the two student governments to combine the powers of parallel student governments rather than any group. This would, he hoped, prevent the recurrence of a situation in which the "good people" of student government would have to fight each others' battles because they lack a dynamic, central "core." The merger would also mean the "best of both" in Reinhardt's words.

Co-education is one of the immediate steps that student government can take to hasten co-education, he claimed. Reinhardt suggested a wide expansion of the program which had its first trial run.

Sherman's platform

(Continued from page 2)

complete co-education, as a student government, and an expanded student government system would therefore be accustomed to dealing with the student body."

Sherman's platform further suggested that the loss of maid service be introduced gradually, and proposed that the present daily maid service be reduced to a weekly service, again lessening the shock of changes in living style due to co-education.

Sherman and Murphy proposed a merger with Notre Dame, but see value in a small academic community and view SMF as a separate, coeducational liberal arts college within the university structure. They believe if St. Mary's is not assimilated entirely into Notre Dame academic considerations will be of utmost importance in the future.

Miss Sheehy and Miss Welte also endorse the option of off-campus housing for junior and senior students, and the extension of programs for sex and drug awareness.
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Rich Sherman and Mike Murphy, SBP and VP candidates, delineated their "unique platform"—in part—government reorganization, and election: co-education, student ard Rossi in tomorrow's

last week Arthur Pears, Di­rector of University security, and all members of his force, except hall monitors, were formally sworn in as deputy sheriffs of St. Joseph County.

According to Pears, this means that the student voice was adequately

"Until now, student government reorganization will not be realized until the student body sees student government as a body which is working with the University and not something the University should not "go out to "buy" students for Notre Dame" since there is financial aid available exclusively to minority stu­dents, and those who really want to attend ND are able to do so.

"After summarizing their stand on those highly-contested issues, Sherman and Murphy spoke on what they consider the really major problems at ND."

Sherman and Murphy stated their position on the three major issues of this year's election: co-education, student government reorganization, and minority recruitment. Sherman's

WSND endorses Rossi

In an editorial to be released today, WSND endorses Rich­ard Rossi in tomorrow's Student Body President election. Rossi was Student Body President here at Notre Dame in 1968-69. The editorial board on the campus station decided unanimously to endorse Rossi after interviewing seven of this year's Student Body President candidates at length, over a period of two days.

"We just found that none of the candidates we talked to pre­sented the qualities necessary to gain our endorsement at this time," remarked Steve Della­picta, WSND station manager.

"All had fairly good qualifications and all of them seemed to be willing to work to be the student body, but the editor­ial board here believes that Student Body leadership now stands on the threshold of the greatest change in its life, namely co-educ­tion. As well student government at Notre Dame is itself at a crucial stage of its own deve­lopment where it needs a new direc­tion, new leadership and a radi­cal restructuring."

"Unfortunately," Della­picta added, "none of us on the board felt that none of the candidates could sufficiently tackle the prob­lem of the re-ordering of stu­dent government that is neces­sary to insure a greater well being of the students, not to mention making sure that the student voice was adequately re­presented in the making of the tremendously important deci­sions concerned with the com­mitment to co-education."

"Finally," Della­picta con­mented, "we felt that Rossi dur­ing his year as Student Body President showed us in our interviews that he alone possessed such great quali­fications. As a matter of fact all of us would have liked to ask them what they felt was the best M1ke Bakker, who had been a member of the band, planned to announce his candidacy late so that they could size up their opposition."

They stressed the need for larger student involvement in the Student Union Social Commission for better concerts, an analysis of the per­formance of the SBP, and a greater sharing with the SBP of the "co-education will create a need for a central location, where students can meet on a friendly level." He proposed that the renovation of LaFortune would provide such a meeting place, indicating that the Huddle is greatly in need of restructuring.

Kahuna lists demands

James "Naked Kahuna" Egan, the celebrated Notre Dame stick­er and Breen-Phillips junior, announced his candidacy for the office of Student Body President yesterday. J. Gurn, a resident of Keough Hall, was his running mate.

Egan's platform calls for a student strike if stu­dent co-ed demands are not met, an exploration of the potential of Notre Dame as a "hotbed for the radical movement," the selling of beer in the Huddle and the closing of the McDonald's campus, and the bringing back of the Leprechaun to replace the Schroeder with the funds now used by the Observer and other campus activities.

"I realize that I am different from the average candidate. I think the student's immediate needs; not just ideas but con­crete proposals with solu­tions. I feel that the time is now ripe for such a candidate to win the election. I want to be the best the day of our lives, but with constant counciliation nothing is ever accomplished," Egan said.

"My campaign theme is "Think naked: strip away the old to bring in the new." He will place his SBVP in the office of the Student Union, hoping to get concerts and activities.

Tom Davis, Egan's campaign manager, planned to announce the details of his platform to the students.

"We'll begin campaigning Tuesday night."

Academic goals told by Hendrick

by Ann Therese Darin

In an interview yester­day Student Body Presiden­tal contender Chip Hendrick disclosed that academic excel­lence ranks high on his list of re­forms.

"A couple of years ago, a representative of the school mentioned at an alumni meeting in my hometown that Notre Dame is striving for academic excellence. He intimated that we should become the Harvard of the Midwest. We SHOULDN'T be striving to become a second­rate Harvard. We should be striv­ing for something better—to be­come a first-rate Notre Dame," he stated.

Academic reform, Hendrick felt, can not be limited to co­education. "If we stick to one area," he stated, "then we're going to close ourselves off from experimenting with any other type of academic reform." He does not, however, diminish the importance of co-education. As part of his platform, he is advo­cating a greater "link" between both the Notre Dame and St. Mary's psychology departments. He thinks that already the university may be tapping off some of its former academic and social excellence. He cited, in particular, the Student Union Academic Commission. "I was disappointed with the speakers program this year," he com­mented. "Two years ago," he con­tinued, "they had an untraditional speakers program with Bobby Kennedy. Last year and this year, however, we didn't get very many names."
One Choice

There is a crisis in student government; one bad enough to have caused a student government abolition movement, one bad enough to inspire only inertia and apathy through the year, one bad enough to undermine any operation student government attempts this next year. It is surely the worst crisis Student Government has faced in five years, and it makes our choice tomorrow doubly important.

Among the seven candidates running this year in fact, among the dozens of poshels who have made the bid over the past couple years John Barkett stands closest to all that is best in a Student Body President. His record is impeccable. Elected President of Morrissey Hall as a Freshman, Barkett has helped initiate there one of the most effective hall programs on campus. For example, his is one of only two halls with an operational hall fellows program.

As Chairman of the Hall President's Council, Barkett has accomplished more than any of his predecessors. HPC workshops have for the first time reached some comprehensive conclusions about the role of the rector in the hall. And it was through Barkett's work and the work of the HPC that what could have been a disastrous room selection crisis was ironed out before it developed.

Barkett's choice of running mates is as commendable as his record. Thanks to Orlando Rodriguez's work as Human Affairs Commissioner, Notre Dame's "forgotten minority," the Mexican-Americans and other Spanish-speaking Americans on campus have been given an effective voice in Student Government. Rodriguez is articulate, intelligent and tremendously effective; the partnership he forged as Barkett's running mate in Morrissey Hall two years ago would serve the student body well.

Barkett and Rodriguez would bring to the Student Body President's office incredibly efficient administration, imagination, and, perhaps most importantly, solid and workable realistic ideas. something that Student Government has needed all year.

Barkett's plan to return money to the halls without raising the activities fee is particularly important, and, because of the decreased student government debt, quite workable. Some of the halls have pressing need of money they are not going to get from the Administration, and Barkett's plan would help answer that need.

Important, too, is Barkett's attitude towards coeducation. He alone seems to perceive the University's commitment towards total coeducation, and he alone has recognized the inevitable attendant problems and has moved to correct them. His six-point program to provide for a coeducated Notre Dame will correct these problems, and will make coeducation a lot more pleasant when it comes.

Finally, Barkett is the only candidate in this University the loud and raucous confrontation techniques tried so often by Student Governments in the past with so little effect are ultimately doomed to failure. Instead, Barkett proposes that new and better researched bills be offered in the Student Life Council, and that an attitude of rational cooperation between the Administration and Student Government replace the one of bitterness and hostility generally.

The solidity of Barkett's ideas contrast impressively with the vacuities and indefiniteness of what the polls indicate as his principal opponent, Don Mooney. Mr. Mooney seems to think that by dropping in on a few halls and TA meetings and talking to people he judges representative of the various halls he can somehow find a consensus of student opinion. Until then, he'll not give anyone a clue as to what he has planned; in reality, he has nothing planned, beyond legal incorporation, a campus, but none of them have either the experience nor the administrative ability of John Barkett.

And that experience and administrative ability is needed in student government now. Only one choice provides it, and that choice is Barkett.

Ed Ellis

It must be

The scenario is familiar: A poohbah with the backing of the current Student Government proposes a new form of government in order to "interest" all the students. We've seen this before. In 1969, when Mooney proposed a new HPC (and Sena proposed a University Senate, composed of students, faculty, administrators, trustees, alumni and representatives of every conceivable group on campus, among other things) in his campaign, running with McKenna's support, proposed the Student Forum, replacing the Senate with the Hall Presidents' Council.

In 1971, Don Mooney is running to "organize" a government that will not govern, and he calls it a Student Government. He gives us no reason to feel that he can do what no one in the past few years has done.

No one has yet seen Phil McKenna's idea. Dave Krashna wasted two-thirds of his administration failing to set up his system. There is no reason at all for us to believe that Don Mooney's vague plan will become any more of a reality than either of the last two, and in the place where was run by a conspiracy of thieves.

This is certainly not Don Mooney's fault. This worthy gentleman is above all, a really great person. However, he is undeniably associated with the current Student Government and its collection of poohbahs. His campaign manager, most of his political brain trust, and many of his hall workers are members of the vote-getting machine that has dominated LaForte since 1967, and they want to stay there. It's up to the student body, sympathetic or disgruntled as it may be, to remove them, simply by rejecting the same bill of fare that has been so joyfully swallowed so many times in the past. Don Mooney cannot defend his team's record, so he proposes a new structure. It should be rejected. Other people who can work with what we have without having to deal with structures should now have their chance.

There are eight candidates in this year's SBP race. All of them seem to be sincere in their efforts to help student government. However, many of them seem at the same time to become bogged down in the form of the thing, and forget that the people are the most important licent...

Leaving out all the minor candidates (as determined by the Observer and WSNB polls), we are left with Mooney and Hall Presidents' Council Chairman John Barkett.

Barkett is an administrator of unparalleled excellence. His store of information has astonished more than one listener during the past few days. And he holds a realistic attitude towards what the Student Body President can and cannot do. Most important of all, perhaps, is the fact that his record in the HPC makes it reasonable to suggest that he can and will maintain contact with the halls, something the current SBP promised but never fulfilled.

Barkett is not a dreamer, and thus will fail to excite those romantic souls who seek a dramatic confrontation every week. But he has reached the realization that hating and defiling people is not the only way to deal with them in a Christian context.

John Barkett does indeed talk fast, but no one can say that what he says is vague or uncertain. He may at first glance seem overly business-like, but then again he never skirts an issue or refuses to consider a rational idea.

In short, Mr. Barkett is just what we have not had in Student Government for at least two or three years. He is not a poohbah. He is not a brainchild of a disastrous administration. He and Orlando Rodriguez are something completely new. Their ideas and methods should be given a good shot at their respective jobs.
TWA Introduces Getaway.
Sometimes the best part of going to school is getting away.

Getaway is not just going home, it's going somewhere new and doing something different, so send in the coupon and let us send you our free Getaway Vacation Kit.

The kit has a book covering 19 of the world's great cities. It has three brochures, one on America, one on Europe, and one on Africa, Asia, the Orient and Pacific.

It has the independent Getaway Brochure. For people who want to travel by themselves.

If you're between 12 and 21, we can give you our Youth Passport card. It gets you 33 1/3% off domestic flights, on a standby basis, plus reduced rates at most places where you'll be staying.

And then there's TWA's free Getaway Card. With it, you can charge airfare, hotels, cars, meals, just about everything. And then take up to two years to pay.

Mail in the coupon for TWA's free Getaway Vacation Kit. And find out how easy getting away really is.

TWA's Getaway Program
U.S.A./Europe/Asia/Pacific/Africa

TWA, P.O. Box 465, Farmingdale, N.Y. 11735
Please send me TWA's free Getaway Vacation Kit.

Name
Address
City State Zip

*Application fee $3.00.
The Notre Dame basketball team put on a sive display last night, and went on to smash the Violents of New York University, 86-73. The Irish, en route to their sixteenth win of the season, began to pull away from the hapless Violets close the gap. The Irish outshot, outpassed, outrebounded, and outscored New York University, 22 points to 13 rebounds.

The victory gives the Leprechauns a season mark of 16-7. The Irish are now 16-7, will move ahead of Lew Alcindor on the NCAA's all-time scoring list. His 45 points against NYU gave him a career total of 2,323, and tied him with Alcindor for fourth on the all-time list.

ND has 3 academic stars

Notre Dame, Ind.—Three Notre Dame football players—All-American Terry Durso, Tom Gatewood, and Joe Theutman—have been named to the 1970 University Division Academic All-American first team.

ND, a senior offensive guard, was named on a 95 per cent of all ballots in voting by the College Sports Information Directors of America. DiNardo has already received three post-college scholarships, two from the Western Athletic Conference and one from the NCAA.

Gatewood, a junior receiver, was named on all 24 ballots for first team. The Irish, who ran the overall total to six for the Irish, were paced by Jay Vaughn and Mike Moore of Illinois.

The Big Ten had four players on the first team including guard Joe Zigulich of Northwestern and running back Barry Mayer of Minnesota on the offensive unit. Defensive players named were ends Phil Seymour of Michigan and Jim Rocks of Illinois.

ND’s track team didn’t fare quite as well as most people expected this past weekend. The Irish, who managed only an eighth place finish in the Central Collegiate Conference meet at Ypsilanti, Michigan. Eastern Michigan, the host team, took top honors in the 15 school meet with a score of 95 points. The Irish were far back in a tie for 13th place.

The only first place finisher for the Irish was captain Rick Losch of the 880 yard run. His time was a good enough for only a third place tie. McMahon led the Irish with a 2:34.4 finish. The Irish will move on to Columbus, Ohio this week-end to take part in the Ohio State Invitational. The meet between Wisconsin and Illinois.

The Notre Dame freshman team used a balanced scoring attack to gain last night's victory. All five starters tallied and 13 rebounds.

The real story of the game, though, came but one minute and thirteen seconds into the Notre Dame's second half. Austin Carr took a pass from Steve Wilcox near the goal, planted his foot, and slid diagonally across the court for a high one. As he threaded his way between the Violets defenders, Carr man­ aged to find a small hole over his shoulder. The shot swished. "All that," buried the public address system, "was Austin Carr." And it was Austin Carr, all night long. The Notre Dame, though all scorers with 45 points, and he further helped the Irish finally pulled away from the Violets back. The Irish, or rather those who scored 25 points of his own, had no problems in taking up the slack. Collis notchable of 18 during the initial period, and when Carr finally began to hit, NYU was as good as beaten.

The Violets, though they did try their best to pull away from the Irish, were hurt nearly as much by their own mistakes as they were by the quick basket by the Irish. They committed 29 turnovers during the game, 16 of these which came in the first half only helped to squelch any momentum. That NYU was not as good as seen by Coach Louis Rosini, began to pull into the gap. The Irish outshot, outpassed, outrebounded, and outscored NYU for the first time this season. But the second half was even worse. It was Carr and Jones again when the two teams came out for the final period, but things were more or less up to the game's pace of the half. With 5:37 left in the game, though, Carr put on a one-man exhibition that brought the crowd to its feet. Austin scored the 82nd and 83rd Irish points at the 5:37 mark, and then, on three consecutive in bounds plays, either stole the ball or picked it up as it rolled free on the floor. On each of these occasions, Carr threaded his way through the defense and scored easy lay-ins. When it was over, in a span of only 1:11, Coach Dee removed Carr from the line-up and the Irish crowd responded with an enthusiastic "ooohhh, what a game." The Violets, though they tried their best, were still out of this game, though, Carr put on a 3:57 clip.

The Irish, who had 17 turnovers, were still on the short end of a 83-38 score. The Irish, though they tried their best, were still on the short end of a 83-38 score. The Irish, through most of the varsity period, was decided that his charger had the better of the Irish after the first half, but Jones, when he came back in the second half, decided that his charger had the better of the Irish after the first half, but Jones, when he came back in the second half, was overawed, and scored easy lay-ins. When it was over, in a span of only 1:11, Coach Dee removed Carr from the line-up and the Irish crowd responded with an enthusiastic "ooohhh, what a game." The Violets, though they tried their best, were still out of this game, though, Carr put on a 3:57 clip.
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S.G.-suspension rfrdm. for Thurs. cancelled

(continued from page 1)

the Senate chose the February 25 date to attempt to improve voter turnout.

Rus Stone, one of the committee members in dauset of the majority, said that the referendum must be held when student interest in student government is high such as during a presidential election.

"If you want to call that politics, then it is politics," said Stone.

Mark Winings, a committee member, voted against holding a referendum tomorrow and said that the timing of the referendum was "pure politics."

He accused the dissenting committee members of desiring to hold the referendum when student interest was unusually high to help insure the necessary percentage of vote turnout.

Stone said that Winings refused last night to call an election committee meeting in time to hold the referendum next Monday which was the date of a possible presidential run-off election. He called the blantly playing politics.

Stone and Pat Weber, the other judicial committee member who voted in the minority, want the referendum to be held next Monday. The Monday date would meet the ten day waiting period prescribed by the constitution.

Winings said that he was going to continue to oppose any attempts to hold the referendum next Monday.

Winings admitted that he could not separate the effect of the suspension of student government from the constitutionality of the referendum.

"The referendum was wrong on every count," said Winings. "I acted on that. That is what you have to do if you are representing the student body."

Winings added that holding the referendum either tomorrow or Monday would rush the students who as yet he said are not knowledgeable of the suspension proposal.

Student Union would be one of the agencies involved in the suspension of student government.

Stone and Weber also criticized Senator Steve Flavin, lacked the voter turnout.

The petition, which was co-sponsored by Off-Campus Senators, was sponsored by one of the agencies involved in the suspension of student government.

The Student Senate failed to consider petitions by Bob Higgins.

The Student Senate failed to convene last night to consider a petition calling for a Constitutional Revision Committee, which was to have been placed on referendum on tomorrow's Student Body Presidential ballot.

The petition, which was co-sponsored by Off-Campus Senators and Student Body President, was refused last night to call an election committee meeting in time to hold the referendum tomorrow.

About a dozen senators waited for nearly a half an hour, but when it became evident that neither Mark Winings, Student Body Vice President, and Chairman of the Senate, nor Don Mooney, one of the senators, were going to arrive, the senators departed.

Flavin was not disappointed with the setback and said that he plans to introduce the petition in the form of a bill later in the year.

Mooney: return "leadership to people"

(continued from page 1)

son, but the rest should go to the halls, "not just for television antennas, but perhaps for an extremely social program." He favors restoring the student interest...