The vote may be one of our most cherished privileges but the educated vote is clearly more a responsibility than a right. This special issue, published jointly by the Election Committee and The Observer, was designed to offer the responsible voter a clear view of all thirteen candidates in this year’s student body elections.

The view of each candidate offered here has not been altered by any editorial intervention. The only guideline imposed on the candidates was the limit of one page. The art work, text and design are the work of the individual candidates.

Placement in this publication was determined on the basis of random selection.

This special issue is made possible through the joint cooperation of student government and The Observer and the time and trouble of Jerry Lutkus, Anthony Abowd, Rod Braye, Joe Wilkowski, Joe Abell and John Flannigan.

Paul Dziedzic
Chairman of the Election Committee

John Abowd
Editor-in-chief, The Observer
The Clarke-Singleton ticket is not campaigning in an effort to present "new" and "untried" answers to arbitrary campus "issues". Such a practice has made Student Body elections the fruitless spectacles we know them to be. There are no answers to be found as long as we continue to pretend that our present student government structure can adequately deal with our "hall-oriented" problems. Jim Clarke and Chris Singleton will be the last Student Body President and Vice-President at Notre Dame.

In their place will function the most capable and potentially representative group on campus-the Student Association Council made up of the present Hall President's Council and additional Off-Campus representation. That group will then, with the abolition of Student Body officers and the Student Life Council, be the only lobbyist and policy-making organization on campus. The issues will be handled by the men most capable of understanding student opinion, those who live right with the students, the Hall Presidents.

Standing apart from this policy-orientated commission will be student services, organized under the direction of the executive coordinator of the SAC. His position will be that of a business manager, not a policy maker, and thus the former dual nature of our head spokesman will be eliminated. The Chairman of the SAC will do what he does best-speak for his halls. The executive coordinator will allow student services to do what they do best-serve students as students want and need them.

Students will begin to see where their activities fee goes—the SAC will appoint the committee that will allocate those funds, and will also have final ratifying power over that committee's work. The people in the halls will decide, at long last, whether their activities fee should finance those activities which their own halls sponsor, or if they will continue to finance club activities. People who live off-campus will also, at long last, decide whether their activities fee should help to enhance a total off-campus experience or continue to be spent, hidden from them, on those various club activities.

Jim Clarke and Chris Singleton are the first candidates ever willing to put aside the personal "ego-trip" in order to better student government. They will resign within two weeks. They will resign with Notre Dame in possession of a truly representative student government. We live in the halls. We live in groups off-campus. We must begin to realize that only in those halls and only through capable representation of those off-campus students do we realize our power. Vote Clarke and Singleton. This is the last time a campaign week such as this will ridicule your intelligence.

We will implement an effective Student Association and resign within two weeks of taking office.
Ride The Cosmic Wave
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In a campaign, it seems awful easy sometimes to lose yourself. Hopefully, we didn't lose you or us, through it all. We'd like to here express what we think we really stand for.

The main ideas, as I personally tried to put across was that we, the people here are the university. It has little to do with empty buildings or regulations but with people. You have probably heard this from a lot of people lately. I'll try to say what this idea means to me.

First let me comment about a couple of proposals by other candidates. The one is the idea of incorporation of the student. I reject the idea as not good. You don't fight the administration that sometimes runs like a machine by constructing another machine. It can have no positive result and can only lead to friction on both sides.

The other idea I reject is overconcern for new buildings. Of course, we need a new student center, that has been proposed, but we have no possible way of funding the building and is thus, rather hopeless.

OK, so what do I stand for? First, I think we must fight against rules which have nothing to do with promoting general good.

First, Parietal regulations, and all, should be eliminated by directly opposing the present rule.

Secondly, women should be allowed to live where they wish. They aren't objects placed on campus to be on display for us.

Next, we must also implement positive programs. One such area for consideration is off-campus assistance. We can no longer consider ourselves a purely residential university. The needs of all of us must be considered. Also along with these programs, we propose a winter term of study and leave of absence program, with which you should now be familiar.

These are my proposals and I ask your support. My own qualifications for the office of SBP are quite inclusive. I worked for a half-year on a dairy farm after leaving school (and before coming back) and am a proficient gardener as well. Such abilities could definitely add a new flair to the office. (Presently we need some one to raise white rabbits for us in this campaign.)

Really in all seriousness, I think its time for us to be concerned with what Student government can do for us here, not how the bureaucracy alone works.

Many problems are only going to get solved if we get together. I really believe this is the principal way of overcoming our security worries. Though some guards are needed, each one of us must really be concerned with the others here to make this a safe place as well as a good place to be. I really want to do something positive here in so many areas from academics to personal living but the more I consider, the more I know it can't be done without you.

How about getting together?

Son of Tito

Somewhere along the line I decided that three years of Notre Dame were better than four, and so as a junior I went to Innsbruck, and in keeping with this thought, I realized that six months of ND-Innsbruck were better than twelve. So I quit, packed around, and wound up building Opels in Berlin. Eventually I came home to see McGovern elected. (And to those running with past experience in politics of any sort, especially McGoverns, if you still persist you've got to be as looney as me). In January they let me in, and I returned.

I deeply believe in the experiential value of the winter term of independent study and the leave of absence program, and I want to see them adopted here. Members of the administration and faculty approve of both plans, and we should move for quick acceptance or else we'll wait as long for this as we waited for co-education. This is why I've run, and consequently I am now regarded as just another megalomaniac seeking the office. Guilt by association.

Do what you want, but if you wanna go to Mexico, you'll have to get there yourself.

TOM KASTELIC
Our main intention for running for SBP and SBVP is to give all undergraduate students the opportunity to live on campus. We believe that the main issue at this moment is whether or not you will be forced to live off campus.

Through our research, we have organized a 6 point plan in which the university would be able to house on campus those students that otherwise would be required to leave. We agree with Fr. Hesburgh's views expressed during the Presidential dinner of Junior Parents Weekend. He stated that the total education within the Notre Dame framework cannot be found only within a book and a classroom, but is developed outside the classroom on the campus itself. Through interaction with fellow students, cultural entertainment, and recreational activities, one can become oriented with the total benefits of a university education. These benefits would possibly no longer exist if the student is forced to move off campus.

We have developed these 6 points after a careful investigation and consultation with the proper authorities involved.

1. Rent McCandless Hall from SMC—this has been discussed between us and the St. Mary's administration and has had a favorable reaction. Two provisions remain—an agreement with the SMC girls now living in McCandless to shift their residences to Augusta and Regina Halls, where there are unoccupied rooms; an agreement with the sisters of the Holy Cross to lease Augusta Hall to St. Mary's. Why McCandless Hall rather than Augusta Hall? McCandless would serve the purpose of a men's dorm without endangering the present security at St. Mary's. It is totally isolated from the other women's residence halls, via the underground tunnel system. No other hall on the SMC campus would serve the same purpose as McCandless, concerning campus security.

2. Columbia Hall—We have discussed with the Holy Cross brothers the possibility of using Columbia Hall for undergraduate students. It is possible for the few brothers presently living in Columbia to move to Holy Cross Junior College, thus releasing the entire building for undergraduate use.

3. Carroll Hall—Return Carroll Hall to undergraduate students. Graduate Students should bear the burden of moving off campus. This point is expressed in the university's Bulletin of Information.

4. Renovate the Old Biology Building—The Law School, which presently occupies this building has intentions of returning to the old Law School Building during the summer. Although the Psychology Dept. has plans to relocate their dept. in this building for the Fall semester, it is possible to postpone this movement until a later date. The added room and board income provided by students who would reside in this hall would provide the money necessary to renovate the building. It is in an ideal location on the North Quad.

5. Brownson Hall, St. Joseph Hall, Moreau Seminary—More than half of the rooms in Moreau Seminary are empty. A relocation of the priests and seminarians from Brownson and St. Joseph Halls to Moreau Seminary would provide space for undergraduate housing in these halls.

6. Morris Inn—At Princeton University, the overcrowding of students was temporarily remedied by making use of the Princeton Inn for undergraduate housing. We suggest that the same solution be applied to the Notre Dame temporary housing problem. Use the Morris Inn for an undergraduate residence Hall.

The above plan is highly feasible. It is with the students and parents backing that the university will open its eyes to the fact that students do not want to be forced off campus and that available housing does exist on the campus. Without such support from the students, the university may assume that the students are indifferent as to where they live. If this idea is assumed by the university, then future decisions on student housing may not consider the students' opinions. Then the students will ultimately suffer.
In issuing this statement on our campaign, we feel obligated to present more than simply an elaboration of the major points of our platform. We must also concern ourselves with the philosophy behind our campaign and with the role that student government is going to play in your lives, if any. The question of whether or not student government will become more involved with an increasing number of students is an issue that is especially important to those of you who are currently freshmen or sophomores. Since the election this week will determine to a large extent the course that student government will take, we will present our views on this issue first.

Student government has often been criticized in past years for being aloof and ineffective in meeting student demands. In some cases this has been true, but we do not feel that the remedy to this problem lies in abolishing student government either partially or in its entirety. Its greatest handicap is not in its structure, but in the inability of individuals to coordinate their efforts and to work to their full capacity. Thus, we feel that the criticism may well be misplaced: what is needed is more responsible leadership on the part of those who are involved in student government. The prospect of the abolition of student government leaves a pretty bleak picture for future classes at Notre Dame.

The alienation that students sometimes feel towards student government is probably due to the fact that the whole process seems so remote and pre-determined. Those of us who are among the "rabble" of ND oftentimes feel left out of decisions concerning campus life. We feel, therefore, that student government should not be reserved solely for members of self-perpetuating political dynasties, nor should the student body presidency necessarily be the piece de resistance for a long and lucrative academic-political career.

One of the things that King Kersten has proved to us is that a student of humble origins and with no prior "qualifications" or endorsements can win a campus-wide election and run the machinery of student government as well as his predecessors. We won't go so far as to suggest that the King was himself once a member of the "rabble" at Notre Dame, but we will admit that our own roots are in that selfsame "rabble."

We don't consider long lists of "qualifications" and endorsements necessarily valid indicators of success in the roles of SBP or SBVP. The ultimate success of a given administration depends upon the goals it has determined to work for, its commitment to those goals, and its willingness to put in the time and effort necessary for the desired results. The power of the SBP and SBVP is of course limited, but the necessity for dedication and perseverance remains. We feel that we have the competence necessary for the roles of student body president and vice-president, and hence we have entered the race.

The goals for which we have decided to work were outlined briefly in our campaign announcement last Friday. They cover five general areas: 1) improved social facilities 2) off-campus services 3) legal services 4) student-alumni relations, and 5) academic life. At this point, we would like to elaborate on those areas.

One of the prominent issues of this campaign seems to be the improvement of social facilities on campus. The primary focus of this improvement lies in the renovation of LaFortune Student Center. We feel that this renovation should be coordinated with the development of the new mini-park to be located between LaFortune and the Hayes-Healy building. Thus, student government should direct the renovation of LaFortune to the Committee on Campus Environment, which is composed of graduate students and faculty as well as undergrads. Having spoken with a graduate student on that committee, we feel that they would best be able to make viable plans or suggestions.

With increasing numbers of students being forced to live off-campus, we feel that the University should extend its services to the O-C student. One way would be to expand their list of available off-campus housing by dropping the fee that is currently being charged in order to be included on the list. More landlords would sign up, and the cost of publishing the list should not be that great.

A second service that should be provided for the O-C student is an off-campus food co-op. Such a co-op would be located off-campus and would stock items that are in fairly high demand. The feasibility of an O-C co-op requires further investigation, but we have already contacted two local wholesalers concerning costs.

The third area of our platform concerns legal services. We advocate that student government work closer with the Notre Dame Law Students Association. Currently, the law students are engaged in providing needed legal advice to members of the South Bend community. This service should be extended to the undergraduate student body as well. As an example, one area of advice could concern the rights of tenants and landlords.

The fourth suggestion on our platform calls for improved relations between students and alumni. With the recently proposed cut-backs in federal funds for education, many Notre Dame students may be forced to look for new sources of financial aid in order to fund their education. We suggest that student government work closer with the Student Alumni Relations Group (SARG) in an attempt to find new sources of revenue. Such sources could include summer jobs, loans, scholarship funds, or possibly a referral system to other sources of employment.

Our fifth plank concerns academic life. We are in favor of extending the drop-period from the present limit of five weeks to mid-semester and an accurate appraisal cannot be made until then. We are also in favor of extending the add-period to two weeks after the first day of class.

The proposals we have listed above are by no means comprehensive, but they do represent the areas in which we have taken an interest. Hopefully, student government in the coming year will contain much more than this; we are asking the support of those who share our views.
Return $3 of each student's activities fee to halls to improve hall life; no other candidate has promised a direct sharing of funds.

Bring HPC Chairman into Student Association, with office space in LaFortune.

Remainder of Budget to be administered by three Hall Presidents, Student Association President, V.P., and Treasurer, and Off-Campus Reps.

Using $3 fund-sharing plan for off-campus, set up Food Co-op, Tenement's Union to promote and seek better housing, set up shuttle system with University, and seek merchant discounts.

Actively seek student and faculty participation on Board of Trustees.

Support LaFortune Renovation Committee's Work, including seeking funds from Alumni, and establishment of Rathskellar Bar.

Better recreational opportunities for women, including expansion of interhall program to include St. Mary's.

Social stimulants: Free, Name-Group concert by the Lake; Campus Coors Party.

No Incorporation

The proposal to incorporate Student Government would create more problems than it would solve. Along with the right of incorporation come the responsibilities: A corporation can be sued; a corporation would have to pay for the use of LaFortune, if allowed to remain on campus; if incorporated as a non-profit (tax-exempt) corporation, Student Government, Inc. could not get involved in political matters (the war, 18-year-old drinking) without endangering its tax-exempt status. To lose it would mean paying about 48 per cent of Student Activities Fees in TAXES!!!

No Abolition

The proposal to turn Student Government over to the HPC loses sight of what each group is meant to do. If the HPC takes complete control, one of two things would happen: Either the Hall Presidents would be so concerned (and rightly so) about their own halls that campus-wide projects (Student Union, Social Commission, LaFortune Renovation, etc.) will suffer, or the Hall Presidents could become so bogged down with campus affairs that they would lose sight of their real concern: the Halls themselves. Perhaps the most obvious objection is that the people who would have the ultimate control (the HPC Chairman and the appointed Executive Coordinator) will not be elected by the Student Body at large.

Finally, to quote a once and future king, "People who promise to resign don't always do so."

LET US TAKE YOU HIGHER
Galloway-Batow emphasize four point plan

Unfortunately, student politics has reached a stage where the professional politician is at home. Sam and I aren’t professional politicians—we have no hall campaign managers, no publicity men, and hopefully no plastic smiles. For three years we have been promised better communications with student government, a closer community, more student power. For us these are excellent ideas but very intangible. Sam and I have tangibles—we have a four point program and admittedly may miss some important issues. However, the problems we do pose—we have solutions for.

Our first point is the Farley - Breen-Philips problem. This is not only a problem of the present but also one of the future. For with women not being able to move off campus and an administration commitment to Freshmen and coeducation. We face the real possibility of more dorms going to women. We feel the university owes the student information as to where he stands. Our solution is that when the dorms are chosen to go co-ed that the university should have estimates of how many students from each hall would have to go off campus. These estimates could be made from figures of incoming Freshmen and persons displaced by the move. These estimates would allow the hall rector or government to find out how many students are voluntarily moving off then hold a hall lottery to find who must go.

I believe that the problem will not be large when students are asked to voluntarily move rather than being forced off.

Our second point deals with the earmarked bowl money. We feel that 4 to 5 percent of this money could go to intrahall athletics. This means the average domer who supports the football team but doesn’t participate in varsity or Interhall activities will benefit. This money could go for any equipment a section would vote for. We also feel the 95 percent which goes to minority scholarships might be directed to equalize the ratio between Black men and women at Notre Dame.

Our third point deals with security. We feel that a “deter” system (as used in Alumni) could be put in all halls to be utilized after 10 pm every night. This could cut down on undesirables in the hall, and also not culminate into what Mr. Lutkus has so novelly referred to as an atmosphere that “smacks of Big Brother.”

Our final point deals with the reutilization of La Fortune. Sam and I feel that La Fortune can be an informal meeting place. Informal activities can be funded with a greater assurance of a better man to women ratio. A tradition of La Fortune being a student union must be started now.

Finally Sam and I will not be knocking at your door asking for votes, but we are willing to talk to any interested students. We hope you will look carefully at our platform in government affairs. But for once let’s not talk about long range plans—Let’s Get Something Done!
The Five Year Plan

The biggest problem facing Student Government and Notre Dame is the problem of housing. The University, in conjunction with Student Government, must provide a stable, viable plan for male and female housing in the coming years. This plan must incorporate the following: It must designate the dorms to be selected for female housing, and also designate stringent methods for this selection.

Another thing that must be considered in this plan is the phasing out of the selected dorms. “Phasing out” programs will cause problems, but to incure greater problems without such a plan is purely absurd.

We would like to see the advent of 24-hr. visitation and Co-ed dorms in such a program. These are real-life situations yet untried on this campus, and should also be tried here, in view of successful attempts elsewhere.

If such is not provided by the Administration, it becomes the job of Student Government to find the necessary solutions.

Off-Campus

One of the most important problems facing Student Government is the assistance to be given to Off-Campus individuals.

Estimates of those being forced off campus seem to be around 500 people, and facilities for these people must be provided.

Minimally, a food co-op is definitely needed. The University should also provide some well delineated methods for the transportation and housing of these students.

Student government should also look into legal recourse for these students. At Boston College, the University was sued for forcing students off campus, and the case won by the students. If necessary to help those being forced off, Student Government must be willing to help.

Student Government should also extend the services of C.O.U.P. to include off-campus business activities as well as the rip-offs encountered on this campus. The students must have an agency to investigate, to inform, and to eliminate rip-offs.

And Further...

LaFortune, after its complete renovation, should be open at hours more convenient for all students. It should be open as it is needed, and not be subject to arbitrary opening and closing hours.

The University should look into the A-B-C-nocredit method of grading for non-major courses. This system would allow the student to recover after a singularly bad semester.

Do It

Student Government must prove itself this year. We must take a strong stand on all the issues. Notre Dame cannot be allowed to find recourse solely in outdated committees, but must immediately mobilize itself when problems arise.

We feel the time for changes in Notre Dame has come, and we feel that we provide a viable program for these up-and-coming changes.

“Student Government can do what needs to be done if it simply refuses to be snowed under by reports and committees. By having the time to see what goes on, by being unworried about censure, by utilizing full force of the persons involved, and by using the media, you can get attention to the problems and use that attention to solve them.”

- Don Nollet
with apologies to Karl Marx:
A specter is haunting Notre Dame—the specter of decadence. All the powers of old Notre Dame have entered into a holy alliance to exorcise this specter: Pope and bishop, administration and alumni, campus poobah and campus security.

Where is the party in opposition that has not been decried as decadent by its opponents in power? Where the opposition that has not hurled the branding reproach of decadent against the more advanced opposition parties?

It is high time that decadents should openly, in the face of the whole University, publish their views, their aims, their tendencies, and meet this nursery tale of the specter of decadence with a Manifesto of the party itself. To this end we wish to usher a ‘New Age of Decadence’ into Notre Dame with the following platform.

Matt Kubik-Gordon Mason
Statement of Intent

Time is proper to put away the toys and folly of past years. At present the people of Notre Dame are in a unique position to effect a transformation from the status in which we still have room to squirm to a new mode of coherent and constructive dynamism. Perhaps our most valuable asset as a student body, and ironically enough, an asset which has yet to achieve concrete fruition, is our untapped well of positive potential which we clearly see as the spirit of the sixties-resurrecting itself in the seventies. As Norman Mailer said, “Notre Dame... is now entering the present, the whole ferment of the sixties is taking place there now.” In our brief statement to follow, we introduce our plans for taking advantage of this, “ferment” so that Notre Dame may exfoliate into the community of which it is now seed.

A “quadruple” standard now exists between the administration, men, women, and ethnic minorities concerning the enforcement of the regulations in the Student Manual. In this regard we see it necessary to call for the inclusion of a “Procedural Protection Clause,” in the Judicial Code of Notre Dame. In order to further protect the rights of all Notre Dame Students we will seek the cooperation of the Notre Dame Law School in supplying a Public Defender of the Student Body. We do not however, intend to set up a Student Government in opposition to the administration; rather, we heartily wish to work with the administration in all matters. Yet, a healthy student-administration must be characterized by mutual respect for basic human rights. We will not, therefore, quietly acquiesce to flagrant violations of student rights.

We are now experiencing a ‘crisis’ in co-education. It is not upon the administration that the burden of co-education resides. The administration could admit as many women as they may wish without co-education taking place. Women, either few or many, will not achieve integration at Notre Dame until the students themselves create the atmosphere for the coming together. Our Student Government will institute those types of activities which are conducive to the meeting of the sexes upon a common ground of shared humanity.

This we believe, is the primary function of the Student Union. They are essentially the most important organization on campus. During the last two years, during this critical period, the Student Union fund has been significantly reduced. More money must be given to the Student Union, and as a result, more life will be injected into the campus. A campus-wide search will be launched in order to acquire the student most qualified as Student Union Director. Once he/she has been appointed, we will not hesitate to ask for their resignation if they do not meet the standards on which they were selected.

In order to facilitate our co-education, it is necessary that environments conducive to relaxed and human interaction be established. Money and assistance will be made available through the Student Government to any hall that is willing to organize low-pressured gathering spaces on a permanent basis. For example, an ideal location for such an environment would be Holy Cross Hall, which already has facilities available. A “Halfway House” could easily be established there, to provide a comfortable place for students of Notre Dame and St. Mary’s College to meet.

We feel it extremely important that a close relationship with St. Mary’s College be re-established. We will therefore do all in our power to, once again, unite the two campuses into the integrated organism that should naturally exist.

Plans for the renovation of LaFortune Student Center are presently being prepared. Unfortunately, it is impossible for anyone to accelerate the pace of the renovation which should be completed by summer’s end 1974. Until this time, however, we plan to obtain optimum use of LaFortune as it is at present.

Among the meeting places to be provided, is a Minorities Cultural House, which will be inclusive, rather than exclusive in scope. It will serve as a permanent place where individuals can come together, thereby fostering a greater sense of community for Notre Dame.

Unless we are contemplating a complete change of life style at Notre Dame, strong efforts must be made to maintain the University as a residential community. It may, therefore, become necessary to urge a decrease in the number of male freshmen being enrolled. We clearly understand that this time of co-education evolution is a difficult one, but we feel that the University is overcomplicating matters by the inhumane manner in which students may be forced to reside off-campus. Until the University regains its equilibrium; services, equal representation, and equal Student Government funding must be made available to these expatriated students. We wish to co-operate with the University in seeking resolutions to these problems, but should they fail to actively realize their obligation to off-campus students, it is again the duty of the Student Government to fill the vacuum, thereby protecting student’s rights and providing services.

Notre Dame is a great place to be from. Let us make it a great place to be at.
IF YOU

1. Don't Drink
2. Enjoy paying exorbitant prices for ACC events
3. Neither indulge in, nor know anyone who indulges in drugs
4. Are not a woman, nor care about woman's rights
5. Don't live and never will live off-campus
6. Are not a minority student, and you don't know any
7. Never have nor will spend a portion of a summer in South Bend
8. Think the class-cut policy is still needed
9. Think that students should have no decision in who teaches them
10. Are not a member of any club;

Then we don't want your vote. If you are affected by any of the above points, then vote for:

MOSKOWITZ
&
CLEMENCY
I. What is a corporation?

--The student corporation is a more effective means of obtaining student objectives and needs through a business-like communication with the University and outside institutions.

II. What can it do?

--It can provide for the students a legal corporation just as Gilbert's is a corporate business. It can ask for an interpretation or injunction from a court of law concerning University policy such as forcing students off campus. Also it can obtain federal funds from HUD for student building ventures. It can redirect funds toward activities more beneficial to the student body.

III. Compromise

--The objective of this campaign is not to confront the University on every issue, but to provide a practical and effective means to foster real communication between students and administration, students and alumni, and students and faculty.

IV. It has worked!

--At UCLA the student corporation has existed for well over a decade. They now operate student owned housing and food complexes for the benefit of the students. At Boston College the student corporate government asked for a legal interpretation concerning the forcing off of students from that residential campus. The court ruled that a residential university could not force students off campus. Thus a precedent has been set! Other notable student governments that have incorporated include Harvard, The University of Michigan, and Oberlin.

V. Why a student corporation?

--As stated before, a student corporation is a legal entity communicating with the University on an equal, business-like basis. Although the student corporation will still operate through committees like the SLC on local issues, sometimes major issues like the negotiation of room contracts, forcing of students off-campus, or paying $50 for auto security may need to be interpreted by a court of law rather than trustees or committees. (A classic example: The Boston College ruling that students have the right to remain on a residential campus.) The student corporation is exactly what it is called--the students' corporation which provides co-ops, student jobs and lower prices in these co-ops, student owned and operated ventures, and an equal bargaining position.

--A fee of $50 is all that is required to obtain a charter. It will be the best $50 ever invested at Notre Dame!

CAMPAIGN HEADQUARTERS—GAME ROOM
IN FLANNER BASEMENT
Phone numbers--7863 or 7901
The primary function of Student Government is to provide a voice for students. It can only perform this function properly if it is in touch with the students it serves. In order to provide for a freer exchange of ideas between the students and their government we will schedule regular meetings in each hall with at least one representative from Student Government in attendance to gather ideas and problems so as to better begin work on them. We will also expand the Ombudsman Service to provide for a means of getting student opinions. Beyond this, the door to our office will always be open to all students of this university.

The following proposals should give you an idea of the direction we would like Student Government to take in the next year.

**SOCIAL LIFE**

1. We endorse the proposal before the SLC to move offices from LaFortune to the Old Psychology Building and transform the office space vacated into a youth hostel. This will alleviate the problems encountered by many students having overnight or weekend visitors.

2. Construction of a mall on campus to include a restaurant, movie house, laundromat, quick food franchise, grocery store and various other services. This mall would be constructed by use of private funds. Ownership of the mall would revert to the university after a determined period of time.

3. With passage of an 18 year old drinking law, a standing offer from a beverage producer to build a new student union should be accepted. This student union could provide a student bar, bowling alley, ping pong, pool, card and meeting rooms. This union would be operated by the students on a work-study basis.

4. More free student activities such as concerts, dances and movies.

5. We commend the Student Union--Academic, Cultural Arts, Social and Services Commissions for doing a good job this year.

6. We urge the removal of Brother Gorsch as director of LaFortune.

7. Halls should be autonomous in regard to parietals and parties.

**SECURITY**

"It is a sad state of affairs when parietal violations have a higher priority than a student's security in his own room."

1. Replacement of Arthur Pears with a person who is more responsive to student needs.

2. Better lighting on campus.

3. More visible security on campus, but not in a way as to harass students in dorms.

4. Reordering of priorities so robbery and assault will be placed on a higher level than breaking parietals.

5. Security doors for any hall requesting them.

**ACADEMICS**

1. Change the grading system to A, B, C, and Unsatisfactory. Credit would not be given for an unsatisfactory, neither would it be figured into the GPA. This system has already been implemented at other universities. If you're good enough to get in you're good enough to stay.

2. Expand the pass-fail option to sophomore year and increase the number to two (2) per semester to all non-major, non-required courses.

3. The pass-fail option may be added or dropped in favor of a letter grade at any time before the final.

4. A student should be able to drop a course at any time before the final and not have it shown on the student's transcript.

5. The cut policy should be at the discretion of the professor with a mandatory minimum of three (3).

6. Elimination of Collegiate Seminar as a requirement.

**FOOD SERVICES**

1. Give the present food service until the end of the year to improve. If there is no improvement, replace them.

2. Give the student the option to buy meal tickets for one (1), two (2), or three (3) meals a day.

3. The possibility of making meal cards valid in the Huddle and Pay Caf should also be considered.

4. Students should be allowed to eat in any dining hall they wish.

**COEDUCATION**

1. A list of potential women's dorms for 74-75 should be published before room picks this year.

2. We propose a feasibility study for the building of a new dorm.

3. Girls should be given the same rights to live off-campus as male members of the campus.

4. No student from Breen-Phillips or Farley should be forced to live off-campus.

**HEALTH SERVICES**

1. A dentist on campus twice a week, and on call.

2. Effective referral systems for all specialists.

3. Expanding the counseling service to include professional aid for alcoholism, drug abuse, birth control and marriage counseling.

4. Provide free transportation to the hospital or for referrals.

**GOVERNMENT**

1. The HPC should not be a policy making body. It does, however, provide a useful forum for discussing hall problems, and as such would be expected and encouraged to work closely with our administration.

2. We propose the formation of a student-faculty council to provide better relations between students and faculty to solidify student-faculty action.

3. Incorporate class officers into student government.

4. Create a post within our administration to deal with all minority problems.

"The challenge of leadership is a challenge not only to student government, but to every student. We are prepared to accept the challenge, if you are."

Open the door to student government.

Vote Wojcieszek - Wordal.
A Vote For Zero Is A Vote For Nothing

We, Redman and Zero, in order to promote a sensible election, propose to you, the students of du Lac, the following proposals and questions for your consideration.

Immediate expulsion of any student discovered spitting cranberry sauce out of their mailbox.

An extension of the Sophomore Year Abroad program to include Jay's Lounge.

To promote greater community involvement, the cancellation of all classes during the I.H.S.A.A. basketball tournament.

The return of Grand Prix weekend using the winding roads on campus as the course, of course.

Is it true that everything in life is Z?

A special letter jacket for quad streakers.

When you catch some Z's, do you release them afterwards?

We do solemnly swear that this is Z truth, whole truth, and nothing but Z truth.

It all adds up to Zero and Redman

BRENNAN TYRRELL
Primary Election
Tuesday, February 27

General Election
(for top two finishers in Primary Election)

Thursday, March 1

Vote in your hall or at the off-campus office during the lunch and dinner hours.
HPC releases critical paper on OC ruling

by Joseph Abell
Managing Editor

The HPC released a statement last night calling for administrative action to halt the situation of dormitory overcrowding without forcing students off-campus, and threatened to ignore University policies concerning the halls if that action was not taken.

In an emergency meeting at Holy Cross last night, members of the HPC and ten rectors discussed dormitory overcrowding and means to alleviate it.

In the HPC's statement, the hall presidents criticized the administration of "continuously overlooking" the economic situation, and hall staffs as possessing to form policy concerning programs and parts within halls. The Council also declared that "three quarters of the student body makes up the fundamental decision-making structure of the University."

The Maese-French appointment in both the current ruling and the means by which the problems could be solved. The council then claimed that "who live in the day-to-day situations, the existing programs and important programs should participate in the decision-making itself."

Committees are unable to fully grasp the "reality of the situation," they said, and that the problem of overcrowding on campus and forcing students to live off-campus is "another glaring example" of university action without consultation with hall staffs. If these changes could not be made, the recommendation was made that the "hall staffs could no longer be responsible for enacting the University's decisions concerning their halls."

They forwarded a list of thirteen points of immediate action to the forced off-campus ruling. These included looking into the possibility of creating joint dorms of Brownstone Hall, Carroll Hall and the old Psychology building as unoccupied; the use of public recreation facilities, such as gyms, etc., for undergraduate housing; and the allocation of funds gained through the present overcrowding situation for "alternate housing."

The council made suggestions concerning the current off-campus situation assistance for any students forced off-campus. An effective off-campus office would be established through the appointment of a University central staff member as its director.

A comprehensive list of off-campus housing would be compiled and released as soon as possible to enable students forced off-campus to find suitable housing before the next semester begins.

The letter would conduct investigations into rent control for Notre Dame student housing in the South Bend area.

A group of 17 hall presidents and ten rectors formed the Hall Presidents Council (HPC) as a committee of students involved in the University's dormitories.

Hall Presidents Council.

The decision to move all Farley and Breen-Phillips seniors-to-be to the University dormitories was announced Friday in a letter to all rectors and staffs from Acting Vice-President for Student Affairs Phillips Facenda. Facenda is expected to formally announce that Lyons Hall will become a women's residence hall in September of 1974 today.

The decision was made by Facenda in conjunction with a series of committees representing the rectors, hall presidents and the Student Life Council. Facenda met with these committees once a week for a month to find a solution to the current problem of campus residence.

The letter also enumerated other decisions by Facenda and the committees. These included an abolishment of the Grade Point Average (GPA) as a means of room selection, an examination of room quotas from hall quarries, placement of Farley and Breen-Phillips residents on an equal basis as the residents of the hall in which they will be moving, and a declaration that the means for selecting reserved space for juniors-to-be and seniors-to-be must be approved by at least two-thirds of the hall residents.

The number of student moving off-campus or leaving the university usually approaches the number of incoming freshmen, Facenda cited. He said that it was hoped that those withdrawing or moving off-campus would identify themselves so that beds could be provided for those students likely wishing to live on campus. Since many are playing it safe, he added, it is not a small number who are making this decision.

Facenda said that he was hopeful the options have been found, we can get to work providing beds for the student that really wants to live on campus next year. It is hoped that in this regard that we have at the moment sixty-eight empty beds on campus."

Facenda also noted that all possible alternatives had been explored so that quotas might be avoided. This included "renting residence facilities from our neighboring institutions, buying mobile home villages and renting downtown hotels." These proposals, he said, could not be met.

The amendments in Facenda's letter may not be revisited.

I must say that I do not believe that the arguments offered thus far are sufficiently convincing when balanced against proven results from the former system. However, within the spirit of experiment I am prepared to make those changes for next year to see if, in fact, improvements are achieved," he said.

Candidates active

by Jim Gresser
Staff Reporters

The statistics of beds available in the chart to the right are numbers designated by Fr. James Miskell, Director of Housing, in letters attached to Acting Vice President for Student Affairs Phillips Facenda's letter. Rooms to be vacated are figures produced when Fr. Fr. and Quad director student alumni relationships, including student life services, are compared with current hall enrollment.

Lyons to be new home for Farley, BP junior class

Class designations are for school year 1973-74, i.e., "sophomores" are seniors-to-be.

The Kubik-Mason campaign continued with emphasis placed on the present housing problems. They plan to write a letter to the administration, trustees, alumni and staffs of Junior and Sophomores concerning the situation. The Kubik-Mason campaign team did not plan to write a letter to the administration, trustees, alumni and staffs of Junior and Sophomores concerning the situation.

The Kubik-Mason campaign team did not plan to write a letter to the administration, trustees, alumni and staffs of Junior and Sophomores concerning the situation. The Kubik-Mason campaign team did not plan to write a letter to the administration, trustees, alumni and staffs of Junior and Sophomores concerning the situation. The Kubik-Mason campaign team did not plan to write a letter to the administration, trustees, alumni and staffs of Junior and Sophomores concerning the situation.
Candidates active

R. Thomas Thues
LaFortune Student Center has been known as the graveyard of social activity on campus for many boring years. Student interest has been limited to a burger in the Huddle and an occasional game of pool in the basement.

A new philosophy is growing in Architecture and taking rapid form in the LaFortune renovation project. Ken Knieval, Roxanne, Jahbra, and Ron Blitch have set up a renovation headquarters in the LaFortune ballroom which will be "alive." Ken Knieval, Roxanne, Student, and the weekend repeating their promise to provide a show place to students for the project. Ken Knieval, Roxanne, and Ron Blitch have set up a renovation headquarters in the LaFortune hall room which will be the site of drafting and student idea exchange.

These three architects students have adopted the philosophy that campus buildings can be made more "open and alive." To this end Brother Francis J. Gorch, LaFortune building manager, has allowed the Renovation Committee to set up working headquarters in the building.

The object of setting up displays in the actual working environment is to activate student interest and bring the architects closer to the people they are trying to impress. Three goals of the project, as outlined by Ken Knieval, are to provide a show case of student ideas, show the "Trustees" that there can be active interest in LaFortune, and create a prototype for Architectural Education.

The actual physical changes in the building cannot make LaFortune an "open and alive" community. To accomplish the rebuilding, a system of program changes will be activated. With the building of porches and open access to the patio, large activities will be made possible. Student I.D. cards used for coke and concert activities will be sold at all parts of the "open and alive" philosophy.

The reason that Dr. Ambrose T. Richardson, chairman of the Renovation Project, initiated the project was not to display the natural beauty of LaFortune, but to enhance its usefulness. It is the fruition of the Renovation Committee that the individual halls do not promote the activities necessary to make Notre Dame a healthy social center.

"Five Year Plan" for the LaFortune Center is the site of drafting and student idea exchange. This project is sponsored by Knights of Columbus.

\section*{SPECIAL WEEKEND ROOM RATES FOR NOTRE DAME PARENTS OR VISITORS}

\begin{tabular}{ll}
\textbf{Single occupancy:} & \textbf{Double occupancy:} \\
\$6.95 & \$8.95 \\
\end{tabular}

No Notre Dame identification required, just mention "Notre Dame" Fri., Sat. or Sun.

Cable T. V., Air Cond., Phones

Send this ad to your Parents.

\section*{HICKORY INN MOTEL}

50520 U.S. 31 North
South Bend, Indiana 46635
(219) 273-7555

3 miles North of the Tollroad.

\section*{Volunteers Needed}

Anyone interested in helping

Please call John Conlon at 1189

Prizes To Top Collectors
Farley honors residents

by Joseph Abell

In what was called the last Hall banquet, residents of Farley Hall honored Richard "Ders" Andersen and Bob Kelley and presented awards to various administrators, including University President Fr. Hesburgh, in Stepan Center Saturday night.

Attended by University officials Hesburgh, Fr. James Shilts, Director of Student Services and Farley Hall rector, Fr. William Toney, Director of Campus Ministry, and Dr. Robert Ackerman, assistant to the Vice-President for Student Affairs, the banquet was sponsored by the Farley Striders and Farley Cyclers.

Anderson and Kelley, both residents of Farley and involved in hall social activities for many years, were honored by a "This Is Your Life" sketch, in which their parents were flown in and brought onstage and a slide show of their pre-Notre Dame years. Among the awards were a special citation to Shilts in the form of an engraved silver plate inscribed "To the Reverend James Shilts from the men of Farley Hall. Shalom, Rabbi."

Other awards were a series of "Golden Tennis Awards" presented by the "Thom Pom Singers" consisting of four members of Farley Hall. Among the awards were one to Fr. Hesburgh for "Best Director in Coadministrative Expansion," one to Fr. James Burchaell, for "Best Supporting Actor in an Ad- ministrative Bumeround," an award accepted by Hesburgh in Burchaell's absence; and to Farley Hall RA Mike Melody and Anderson and Kelley.

The banquet was followed by a square dance, a special Mass in Farley Chapel and a reception in "Pop Farley's" in Farley basement.

Life coalition announces plans

by Leo Bucchignani

Notre Dame's recently organized Student Coalition for the Human Life Amendment has completed its first phase of activity and has announced plans for the coming weeks.

The rapidly growing coalition has held a series of educational meetings with distinguished pro-life speakers, initiated a fund-raising drive, and begun to distribute pro-life literature in various groups, including the guests at the Junior Parents Dinner.

The "Golden Tennis Awards" given out at Saturday's Farley Banquet. (Staff photo by Joseph Abell)

INTEGRATE!
Don't Let The Boogiemen Scare You

An Answer to the Gross Misrepresentations

1. THE CORPORATION WILL BE NON-PROFIT AND CONTROLLED BY STUDENT ELECTION.
2. THE CORPORATION WILL BE TAX-EXEMPT, THERE IS NO DANGER OF LOSING TAX-EXEMPTION.
3. POLITICAL INVOLVEMENT (NO 48 percent tax)
4. THE CORPORATION WILL BE LIABLE TO SUITS BROUGHT AGAINST ITS BUSINESS FUNCTIONS, AND CAN THEREFORE TAKE OUT LIABILITY INSURANCE.

"More than just presenting the Problems. We're finding the Answers."

Sorge - Manigault
February blues: no endorsement

The Student Body Presidential campaign currently in progress points to the complete decay of the electoral process at Seven tickets. There is not a single candidate who has done sufficient preparation to warrant an outright endorsement.

There used to be a time when potential SBP's would be concerned in the particulars of the issues they raised. For good reason. In a blunt head-on campaign between two or three knowledgeable candidates no one could expect to get away with what amounts to an unchallenged lie or misrepresentation. It was part of the process that the longer the dialogue ranged the closer to the truth the arguments got.

And there was some concern for "the truth." This concern stemmed from the constant need to point out real differences between the candidates. Now the only concern is with collecting enough voters to get into the runoff. Candidates can get by with generally poor preparation on their main ideas.

For instance, in our interviews, several of the tickets which claimed to be extremely concerned with the problem of forcing students off-campus did not know how many beds existed on campus or the projected enrollment for the 1973-74 school year.

Several, as you can see from the responses on the next page, chose not to reveal how they would allot the Student government funds. Two other tickets raised no particular issues of the problems they raised. For each of these reasons, the electors have no reason to believe that the recommendations out of this quagmire.

However, a good case can be made that it is a newspaper's responsibility to sort out the available information and make a choice just as each voter must. If something important was at stake such an argument would hold some weight.

The telling fact is that the decisions which will be made during the administration of the next SBP will be based on straightforward factual analysis. Viable student input to these decisions will have to be well versed in the parameters of the decision process. No amount of burdegening platform plank on platform plank will substitute for this analysis. The time for simplistic reasoning has ended. In order to best serve the interests of the student body, whichever ticket is elected will have to do some homework.

And if the newly-elected SBP is not inclined to do the homework it won't matter much anyway. Nearly every issue raised in the campaign has been or currently is, under investigation by a group with direct access to administrative policy makers. If the results of this work go unreviewed it is clear that the burden of "Student Government" will bring greater success.

In my opinion, this election is no more the cure-all that any of its predecessors were. There is no substitute for intelligent student input and it is obvious that the new SBP will have to guarantee it, least of all in an electoral process.
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SBP Candidates reply for Observer questions

The Questions

1) How will you allocate the $86,000 student government budget?
2) How will you fill your major appointed positions?
3) How will you benefit from Notre Dame University?
4) How much will you spend on campus maintenance?
5) Are you a "serious candidate"? If so why?
6) Evaluate this year's student government and rate any differences that will occur between the administration and the present.
7) Do you consider the Board of Commissions a vital part of student government? Would you change it?
8) Who do you consider the most important interest group on campus after student government?
9) How do you plan to defend student government?
10) Do you favor extending the pass-fail grade system or are you against it?
11) Do you favor starting the academic year before Labor Day?
12) Will you give special consideration to specific students?
13) Do you favor extending two-year terms for SLC, SLC
14) Do you favor starting the academic year before Labor Day?
15) What would you have done?

Gonzalez-Burke

1) Since the money comes from the student, are you willing to jeopardize the idea of the student government? If so, what would be split between Student Gov't and schools? (i.e. $5,560 student union, $5,560 student Gov't, $1,000 general administration)
2) We will solicit applications for these various positions.
3) We are serious. The responsibilities of the officer, including the appointments of SLC, will be an intense approach.
4) Our main point is coordination between the efforts of Student Gov't, the SLC, and the SBP. Since the SBP is the only elected group, it is their responsibility to coordinate between these two bodies. We believe that the SBP can make a member of the Student Gov't capable of that task. We have already negotiated between Student Gov't and the SLC, and the SBP.
5) We are involved in the student government. We would be split between Student Gov't and the SLC.
6) We will apply for appointments for these various positions.
7) We're involved in the student government. We would be split between Student Gov't and the SLC.
8) We're involved in the student government. We would be split between Student Gov't and the SLC.
9) We're involved in the student government. We would be split between Student Gov't and the SLC.
10) We're involved in the student government. We would be split between Student Gov't and the SLC.
11) We're involved in the student government. We would be split between Student Gov't and the SLC.
12) We're involved in the student government. We would be split between Student Gov't and the SLC.
13) We're involved in the student government. We would be split between Student Gov't and the SLC.
14) We're involved in the student government. We would be split between Student Gov't and the SLC.
15) We're involved in the student government. We would be split between Student Gov't and the SLC.

Wojciechowski

1) As an experienced student leader, how would you continue the efforts of Student Government?
2) Do you favor the implementation of pass-fail grading?
3) Do you favor starting the academic year before Labor Day?
4) What would you have done?
5) What is the meaning of life?

To the editors of the Observer

We have noted with interest and put different perspective your questionnaires. We are interested, if you do, we also encourage you to report it. We will also not be publishing the result of the survey, but be assured that we will be viewing all the responses. We are approximately, however, we will be publishing the result of the survey, but be assured that we will be viewing all the responses. We are approximately, however, we will be publishing the result of the survey, but be assured that we will be viewing all the responses.
Moskowitz-Clementy

1. At this time, without total knowledge of the other student's experience, it would be hard for me to know if the student had the necessary qualifications.
2. The security force exists to protect the halls and the student body from harm. Having student government basically take care of this would be inefficient and would eliminate the need for a security force.
3. No. We don't believe there should be a security force to protect against injury of this type.
4. This year's student government proposed a new freshman orientation program. However, it died quickly. Unfortunately, the administration didn't do anything about getting the freshman neighborhood organized.

The Candidates reply to the Observer survey
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Hess-Davis

At the start of the school year, $3,600 per month will be spent on printing which will result in 

This University

10) The money for these activities would come from the student body. However, the administration has yet to come up with a way to finance these activities.
11) We believe that the student government should have the authority to make decisions regarding the use of campus facilities.
12) We believe that the student government should have the authority to make decisions regarding the use of campus facilities.
13) We believe that the student government should have the authority to make decisions regarding the use of campus facilities.
14) We believe that the student government should have the authority to make decisions regarding the use of campus facilities.
15) We believe that the student government should have the authority to make decisions regarding the use of campus facilities.

Mastro-Fenton

These additions to the halls and campus will allow for a more comfortable living environment. We believe that the money spent on these additions will be money well spent.

The Candidates reply to the Observer survey
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Candidacy jeopardized

by Maria Gallagher

Student Body President and Vice President hopefuls Tyrrell and Secretary-Bond "Zero" Brennan and Pat "Red" Parentis both said that Tyrrell was almost removed from the ticket this weekend.

Tyrrell, a senior from Lyons Hall, said that "Yesterday, Peter Parentis and I discovered that six years ago he had undergone back surgery." Parentis supplemented this statement by saying that he suggests his running mate run per cent.

In campaign action, Brennan went to the Brookfield Zoo in Chicago over the weekend to "try and get the students off the campus." Tyrrell reported that he was thinking of the original platform, as well as his surprise Jim Tyrrell. However, he assured students that "We want to make them as comfortable and confident as possible."

Tyrrell himself did no campaigning over the weekend, "in the true spirit of our platform.

When asked how they would spend the $54,000 credit, all of us, at campus press by student government, Tyrrell said, "We guarantee to the outside the administration, "They will be able to carry sales.

Since several of the twenty-seven platform points were omitted from the article in Friday's Observer due to space limitations, Tyrrell reiterated them for those students who might not be.

In the only appearance by his ticket during the night, Kastelic outlined the proposed independent study program. He said every student in the University would be forced off-campus, the student government would be abolished, the administrative and the student government. Tyrrell said, "As college as an independent body, if what we would be to build up the University, people would be involved more in the education, and they would view incorporation as an experiment in all the different areas.

However, when students' rights are infringed upon, as when they are forced off-campus, the student government, Tyrrell said, "The incorporation of the President's Office would have changed, and I would have been a direct result of the work of Tyrrell."

In the area of social life, both parents and students were forced off-campus. Parentis explained. "There is 1000 percent agreement with the move," as some students were forced off-campus. Phillips continued that of a student lobby in the University.

He called it an "unfair move," and not as a direct result of the work of Tyrrell."
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Charges lack of foresight

Five Year Plan: Norlet

by Mike Rizzo

Staff Reporter

Calling for the adoption of a "Five Year Plan" of university changes, S.B.P. candidate John Norlet and Michael Goetz carried their campaign for Student Body President and Vice President through the dorms. Norlet and Michael Goetz

headed the canvassers through the dorms. Norlet derided the current administration for failing to meet the needs of female dorm picks, open parlais, and providing off campus moves. Norlet stated that the University should adopt a "Five Year Plan" which would determine dorm picks, open parlais, and providing off campus moves. Such a plan would eliminate the present "bhopass" method of orange and provide students with security.

The present mandatory off campus moves, Norlet suggested, are unjust and in fact unnecessary if the university functioned under a comprehensive long-range plan such as his five year plan.

The university operates on publically, and students can use this to their advantage. Norlet outlines the needed facilities for students forced off campus. At the heart of the university should provide a housing board. A housing board would provide adequate means of transportation for those students. Having a strong potential in a renovated LaFortune Center would become the location of the off campus student who would compete with book store prices.

The proposal to offer free legal advice would aid all students. The candidates feel that the law students give free legal advice to nearby St. Bend residents now. Gonzales and Burke feel that these services should be offered free to the students also. Their plan also involves offering more off campus services.

Mastro: Housing the issue

by John Runbach

Staff Reporter

"Housing is the number one issue," President candidate Joe Mastro recently said. "Any student who comes here will need a dorm. That's where we will begin our campaign for any student who wants one a hundred percent of the time."

Mastro and Fenton "will not only regard the students who need them, and maybe set up some scholarship programs for those students who need them, and maybe set up some scholarship programs for those students who need them," said.

"It would be possible to do this," said. "It would be possible to do this."

Norlet has been an active member of the Student Government and the Student-Alumni Relations Group, also known as S.A.R.G. Norlet has been an active member of the Student Government and the Student-Alumni Relations Group, also known as S.A.R.G.

Second interest of the candidates is to improve the academic life. Under this category they have two ideas. They propose extending the drop period of classes from five weeks to ten. The proposal behind this is to allow all students to drop a course. There are many classes, according to Gonzales, where a student can do fine in the class and still do well in the middle of the semester when he is doing well. The other proposal is to extend the drop period to two weeks. The purpose of allowing a student the chance to see if he has enough study time to add in another class. Improvement of the social facilities on campus would focus on the LaFortune Student Center.
winter consort was simply amazing
pat small

Apart from the excitement at the ACC, away from the drama at Washington Hall, and far from the otherwise maddening crowd, the Paul Winter Consort put on such an amazing show at O'Laughlin Auditorium last Saturday that the group impressed me as one of the finest, toughest and most talented fusion combos I have heard.

The group entered the stage which was completely filled with percussion instruments ranging from kettle drums to various foreign instruments. Their music ranged from fast classical to slow hard driving percussion.

They opened with the title cut from their latest album, "Icarus." It was a beautiful piece that featured a mix of different drummers including Jojo (who played sax), David Darling on drums, John Rod (on congas), and Bob Becker and Russ Harterburner on assorted percussion instruments. The band number was the bartok influenced "Balalaik in Bb," which began with wah-wah cello and a horn solo followed by kettle and base drums. The tune was implemented and then taken over by Jojo on congas which brought the song back to its original riff.

The following song, "Canta, Canta, Met" was more of a night-time feel. It was a combination of Brazillian jazz and classical European music and was dominated by Winter's beautiful saxophone. With the high point of the evening from the stand points of performance and audience reaction, was a twenty minute duo by Becker and Harterburner entitled "African Boardwalk." It opened with bells, and ended with harmonizers and then moved on to an amandola, a large contrabass like instrument made of wood. Their precision and togetherness on these two instruments is something which is not missed in the performance. The show was a little too heavy for me. They were not able to keep up with a medley ending.

The music reverted back more classical musical work with Joel Anderson playing an "On" chant and following them were the "We, the uneducated." It was a beautiful piece about the union of modern day music with the traditional. Bob Becker and Russ Harterburner demonstrated some of their simplest rhythms.

Despite lighting problems that would try the patience of the world's foremost lighting designer, they were able to cause a reasonable amount of excitement last night at the Morris Civic Auditorium Friday night.

The Big Little Screen, the night stalker

art ferranti

Most of the films this week are made-for-

TV types but included in those is a shocker that reached so my viewers in its first

showing last season that it ranks number

seven out of the top ten viewed movies from

1961 to 1972 in full last is below. The Night

Stalker airs tonight at 11:30 pm on the

ABC Wide World of Entertainment.

This great horror film concerns a vampire

who ravages Las Vegas in the present
times. Darrin Mcginlay plays Keogh, a

good reporter who cannot get anyone to

believe him and Simon Oakland plays his

rebelient city editor. Both recreated their

roles in this season's "The French

Connection." The one that was exceptional, though,

was Ted Koumas's with Ann McKenna's Gold

and singing an "Ave Maria," which bears

its title from the one the Consort showed.

The Stalker was a little too much for me

with Steve McQueen's Golden Age of

Stardom which brought the song back to its

original riff.

The second set began with an im-

provisation about the sun which featured

amazingly excellent harp solo by Andrew

McKenna's Gold, which was fingerpicked the rhythm while playing the

harp without accompaniment with some
telecasters.
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SBVP surveys this year's campaign

Should Student Government end its meeting by saying, "Abolishing?" These two questions have a strange history of doing things, but, again, I've never written anything like this before. Maybe I should get a new opening and new closing for each new secretary. Maybe I should get a different newspaper. I've written in the past that this is an attempt to mention the perennial candidate Mr. None of the Above. I wonder if he's any relation to Eugene V. Debs?

But I have digressed, haven't I? Oh, yes, the topic is bull shit. Like every year, our student representatives have not involved our students in the campaign. This results in a current administration that is less than a majority, and a student government of "incorporating" Student Government with the SBVP. I hold that the SBVP and/or Student Government, respectively. However, in the past, it seems that things have been done without the ability to "avoid confusion—mine as well as yours.

I will refer to "incorporation—question issue", and to "abolition" as "b.") Abolish Student Government. I don't worry about it. It's got a nice ring to it. But it's puzzling. Why make it a campaign issue?

The proposal as I understand it says that if one can believe the other side, they won't even be writing this! If elected, the new SBVP and SBVP will not design in favor of the HPC. They promise to resign and do nothing. This intrigues me. At the very least it shows they are realistic and sincere. But to do nothing—that's not very original: I mean the King promised that, and it's been done before by his three predecessors even though "nothing" was not part of their platforms.

As I understand "b", the duties of the SBVP would be divided and the Student Government Chairman and/or his appointees would design a more representative Student Government. Furthermore, if I get it straight, the individuals in the halls elect a president, an executive coordinator, and those other hall Presidents elect a HPC member, and he appoints his executive coordinator, and those other two last guys more truly represent the attitudes of a direct election. But, I keep having trouble understanding the type of presidential democracy that any banana republic would be proud to have in its very veins.

Why destroy student government? This past year, Student Government was able to operate in the black, wipe-out its losses in a race, and the present Constitution grants economically. But I keep having trouble understanding the type of presidential democracy that any banana republic would be proud to have in its veins.
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by Mark Derhaize
Staff Reporter

Dean Bernard Waldman of Science, Dean Joseph Hogan of Engineering, and Dr. Jeremiah Freeman of Chemistry voted against student representation on College Councils at the Feb. 19 Academic Council meeting because they think students in the colleges they are interested in serving, and would not understand many of the proposals.

In an interview yesterday, Dean Waldman said he is "the principle of symmetry where..." each student on every council. Waldman said his college was the first to have student input by a student advisory council. He believes that the College of Science "...walks closely with students..." pointing out that two students, one graduate and one undergraduate, attend every meeting of the Science Council as observers. Waldman voted against student representation because the Science Council felt that students, as voting members, were not necessary.

Freeman, a member of the Science Council, said he was not "personally opposed" to student representation, but voted against the proposal because the Science Council had decided against student members. Freeman added, "The Academic Council should not tell college councils how to run."

The issue, according to Freeman, is not that important to science faculty or students. The Science Council is not an "exciting operation" and he does not see much student interest in it.

Speaking about the Engineering Council, Dean Hogan stated that "the input of undergrads on some proposals would be vital because of their complexity," and frequently they are not interested.

Hogan agreed with Freeman that students are not interested in determining that many things the council deals with are specialized, such as graduate course proposals.

Hogan believes the college councils should have flexibility in determining their rules and regulations. "Maybe a student has a great input in English, more than an undergraduate student should have," said Hogan, to emphasize the point that each college should be able to determine its own representation.

The Joint Engineering Council, which is composed of understudies, presents proposals on behalf of students to the Engineering Council.

Neither Dean Waldman nor Hogan knew if they would oppose student representation on college councils when the final vote on the faculty manual, which includes this proposal, comes to a vote.

---

McWilliams to present speech on ethno-culture

Well-known author-lecturer, Carys McWilliams, will speak Monday evening at 7:30 in the Memorial Library Auditorium. His lecture, entitled "News Management as Related to Minorities," is open to public interest in ethno-cultural issues.

McWilliams, a native Canadian, and Canadian has been associated with The Nation, liberal political journal, since 1963, his relationship began in 1953. In addition, he has published numerous book-length studies of various ethnic minorities and the corrosive prejudice they encounter.

McWilliams' North From Mexico: The Spanish-American People of the United States is considered among the modern classic treatments of the sociological background of Chicanos. Among his other publications are Ambrose Bierce: A Biography, Factor in the Field: Brothers under the Skin, Prejudice, and A Dictionary of the Indian: Sensation in America.

The lecture is part of the Dept. of Sociology- Anthropology's Native series on Western cultures.

Study in Guadalajara, Mexico

Fully accredited, 2-year UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA Gateway Summer School offers July 2-August 4 anthropology, art, education, English, government, history, music, philosophy, language and literature. Tuition $155; board room $155.

Write International Programs, University of Arizona, Tucson 75225.
but when the final minute of the contest had been played, the Irish were able to claim a 43-39 lead and team honors. Shumate finished the afternoon with 31 points, just eclipsing Schaeffer's 30-point effort for St. John's, and the N-10 squad tallied 75 overall, just eclipsing Schaeffer's 73.

Coach Digger Phelps, Irish, who took over the team three years ago, said. "And it's always great to win in New York—and to beat a team like St. John's."

The Redmen, who are in contention for a post-season bid themselves, did not succumb easily. A bas桍ier jumper by Schaeffer six minutes into the second half gave them a 5-2 lead, and the two teams volleyed for much of the next ten minutes. The Irish tied it at 49 with 4:19 remaining when Garrett hit a free throw, and then Dwight Clay settled a pair of driving lay-ups—one of them on a gentleman's-serenade invitation—to give Notre Dame the lead just over a minute to play. After Schaeffer's basket following Clay's second lay-up put St. John's up 5-2, the Redmen had a chance to take the lead just 30 seconds remaining—when they forced Notre Dame to turn the ball over and began working for a shutout.
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by Jim Donaldson

Sport Editor

Noire Dame played a good portion of the season in a Wisconsin State, getting five men on the ice, but the Badgers were not so fortunate, as the Irish had seven men playing.

Game comments including from Mark Kronholm and outstanding, penalizing, killing off for a whole season. Mike Tardani, Paul Larsen, Evan Novitski, John Steinborn, Steve Cherrey and Jim Makey. The Irish defensemen and forwards played very well during the game, but the Badgers did not have many scoring opportunities.

In the third period, the Irish took an early lead with a goal from Paul Regan, but the Badgers tied the game back up with a goal from Patrick Conrey, who made the save of the game.

The Irish went on to win the game 4-1, but the Badgers showed a lot of fight and spirit throughout the game. The Irish will look to build on this momentum as they prepare for their next game.

The Irish Eye

Root, root, root for the home team!

Frank Leahy, back in the days when his Irish football teams were national champions, used to say that a big factor in winning was the cheers from the students and fans at Notre Dame. And it wasn't just Leahy who said that. Every Irish football coach has said it. And it's true. The student body and fans at Notre Dame are a big part of what makes Notre Dame a great place to be.

But football isn't the only sport at Notre Dame that has a strong student and fan following. Basketball, hockey, baseball, and more all have a strong following at Notre Dame. And the student body and fans are a big part of what makes those sports so great.

So the next time you're at a Notre Dame game, don't just sit in your seat and watch. Get up and cheer. Make the noise. And help the Irish win.

The Irish sweep Badgers; climb to 3rd

Knocks out spice Bengals action

by Mike Hansen

Six technical knockouts and three split decisions highlighted first-round action in the 42nd annual Bengal Bouts Sunday.

The first-round action in the 42nd annual Bengal Bouts on Sunday included six technical knockouts and three split decisions. The Irish sweep Badgers; climb to 3rd.

John Noble's tech hit helped the Irish turn Wisconsin top-down. Here, Notre Dame's all-time career scoring leader flips a rebound over the Badgers' frustrated goalie, Jim Makey.

The 160-pound division gave the crowd the three of the six knockouts, all of which were accomplished by first-year boxers. Sophomore Kevin Purke was responsible for the quickest knockout in the division, putting 1972 quarters to a 1:45 decision, while the Irish had two men in the penalty box, beating Kronholm with a backhand from close range.

The Irish gave Kronholm some breathing room in the final period. DeLorenzo put Notre Dame on top, 3-2, with a little help from a Wisconsin defensemen. His centering pass bobbled over Davis Kessel, the net at 2:46. Re Scheider upped the lead to 4-2 with 1:46, deflecting one of Nyrop's shots past Perkins, but there were still some tense moments ahead for the Irish.

DeLorenzo brought the Irish to within one with a powerful, slam dunk at 15:12, pulling in 25-46, and Wisconsin threatened again when Larry Bechelor was whistled off the ice for tripping at 17:38.

Although ticket prices for the Wisconsin games had increased slightly, there was still a nice crowd in attendance. The student body and fans were in full force, cheering on the Irish to victory.

The Irish concluded their regular season schedule next weekend at Minnesota-Duluth with an eight-game winning streak, and it's possible for Notre Dame to finish anywhere from fifth to fifth in the final standings.