SISTER MARY GRACE

Thugs Mug

Junior Near Frankies

Note Dame junior, James Doherty, was jumped by a group of Negro youths at a half block south of Frankies last Friday night. Shortly after 11.00 pm. Doherty said, he was walking down Notre Dame Avenue toward the University. Two houses short of the Howard and Notre Dame intersection, says Doherty, the four teen-aged boys surrounded him and demanded that he give them his wallet.

When Doherty didn't immediately comply, he said one of the youths hit him from behind with a club. After this, they yelled "fall down, fall down", and another of the assailants standing in front of Doherty hit him with what seemed to be a thick branch.

Doherty fell to the ground and the group began kicking and beating him. He says he gave them little physical resistance. He offered them the money in his wallet, but asked that they leave the identification behind.

The thugs continued assaulting Doherty, until one of them took his wallet, containing $24.00 from his pocket. When they left him, he got up and went to Frankies where the proprietor called an ambulance. Doherty was taken to St. Joseph's Hospital. He was X-rayed for a possible concussion. He was discharged and said "Why aren't you at the Senior men?" I guess I didn't belong—anymore."

As president of Morrissey hall for the past two years, I could see what was happening in the context of what we had established once, but now seemingly forgot. The old rules which were once the guidelines in the past were never mentioned.

Things like: your room is your home, hall autonomy, self-government, the old responsibility, community spirit—no, all these were replaced by a "new responsibility" which shows a hall no longer responsible first to its members but to the university.

I determined to see the sacrifice of what made Morrissey move. In the past there was a Spirit—one of cooperation, of friendship, of choice. Morrissey had that little bit extra which made it the Number One hall on campus. It was the hall that wasn't like the rest, it had a difference; the hall that dared to be different—when different was right. Well, that difference was destroyed at a Thursday midnight meeting.

There is more student involvement, better section morale, more intra-hall activities, increased dialogue, a better spirit—all these had been accomplished through community activity. Morrissey has had a different mixture and this had made it what it was. But last Thursday it was put back into the same mold. When I came back from the meeting I looked across at my neighbor and said "Why aren't you at the Senior men?" I guess I didn't belong anymore.

Method of revealing Shangle's tactics in Morrissey rule enforcement

Mike Minton Senior Class President and former President of Morrissey Hall submitted the piece below as a Revelation of the rules of "principle" in Morrissey's Manor.

The hall council of Morrissey hall after much heated debate passed a motion: Morrissey Hall will enforce the university regulation regarding parietal hours. This will be done under the concept of "responsibility", a term which inherently involves obligation. This new "responsibility" is to be exercised in every section.

Under this new term the section leaders are required to admonish any person entertaining a lady in his room regardless of circumstances. If the guilty person does not immediately accommodate the demands of his "neighbor", the irresponsible individual must be reported to the Hall Judicial Board.

If it is a second offense, God Forbid, the section leader must report the incident to the hall Judicial Board. In addition, if any members of the hall no matter what their section sees or hears a lady in the hall he is expected to report this to the section leader.

This is the newly defined role of the section leader—under this concept of "responsibility". At this meeting the member of the Judicial Board made it clear that violations would be more severe in a further attempt to enforce this rule.

Thus Morrissey's last leader was judged. This reason for the change was not a new one. It was redundant and hurt my ears. If the hall goes back to the manner of 1966 this will enable the hall to be heard because it then conforms to the regulation. But is a conformer heard?

Morrissey's rational section to be conform for the sake of conforming so we can reform—later.

It is this kind of reason that our leaders and section men are not to be heard because this is the first time I have heard a real discussion. Let's pick up the discussion and start on some practical, livable laws for the hall council to start enforcing—wondering. Disatisfaction and tension were voted into Morrissey Hall by the hall council's motion to start enforcing a rule which no one believed in or respected. Morrissey is once again forced to comply with rules which disregard freedom and choice, the same freedom and choice which made Morrissey a home, so very long ago. Is the desirable goal with the hypocritical promise of principle which its President has declared altered or changed in any aspect. Perhaps in five years the result of this will be seen—it is futile argued.

With Morrissey back in the old mold it feels that its influence will be better directed.

The small consequence to the residents of Morrissey who are here now but don't think of yourself it is said. Well, why not stop a minute and think. Let's set up prospective legislation and work on some practical, livable laws for the present.

Perhaps the end is noble and well intentioned but when it uses as its means the destruction of what has made it mean more—it is not worth it. After 15 minutes of the meeting I stood there disillusioned and disappoint-

Faculty Senate Plans Organizational Meeting

The Notre Dame Faculty Senate will meet for the first time Wednesday night with the task at hand being simply one of organization. An ad hoc committee under Prof. Edward J. Murphy of the Law School has organized the first meeting.

According to Mr. Murphy, the Senate's actions will be strictly low key until meaningful work can be done. Murphy maintains, though, that the Senate is an autonomous body which can make any kind of recommendation it wishes.

Dr. Edward Manier of the Philosophy Department pointed out that the main task to begin with is organization. He suggested that nobody wants to prejudice any cohesive organization of the body by anticipating what it will do.

The meeting, said Dr. Murphy, will be open to the public. The Faculty Senate itself consists of 56 members, a half dozen more than the student senate, representing nearly 400 faculty constituents.

The Office of Academic Affairs has been instrumental in organizing the meeting. Rev. John C. Walsh, C.S.C., Vice President for Academic Affairs, appointed Murphy and five other faculty senators to work on the organization. This was because there is no provision yet for the Senate of setting down what the Senate is.

According to Murphy, it will have to organize and then start in with the task of defining what its role is to be.

ASP Considers SBP Leader

In a recent Action Student Party Central Committee meeting plans were made for an ASP General Assembly the second weekend of December.

Main topics at the Assembly will be election of officers and methods for implementing the Fair Judicial Code and rules for autonomy. Bills which have been passed by the Senate, The Committee expressed hope that interested students will step forth with new proposals at the Assembly.

The Party is also planning a major effort at the Student Body Convention in January. Mike Kendall, as coordinator of hall organizations, was assigned to get ASP people to attend the convention. According to Kendall, "The ASP believes that students must be active in order to achieve student rights. Therefore, we will work to make the convention a success."

Student politics in general came under scrutiny as well, with interest focused on the Presidential elections of the spring. Nothing was finalized in this area, but it was made clear that no one has been discounted as a potential SBP candidate. While one candidate, a student senator, has been prominently mentioned, it was generally felt that ASP backing would not necessarily go to him. The party adopted a general wait-and-see attitude.

The meeting was the second for the Central Committee since the Senate campaign.

Frosh Election December 7

For the first time in Notre Dame history, the Freshman Class will elect officers. The Freshman Action Committee has set up an election Commission to run the elections to be held December 7. These are a total of eight candidates running for the office of Freshman Class President.

The Freshman Action Committee decided upon the election in order to unite and solidify the Freshman Class. The Election Commission found itself with no previous rules or guidelines on the question of elections, so it adopted a modified version of Student Government By-laws for the conduct of the election.

Campaign team begins today until the seventh of December. There is a limit of one hundred dollars on campaign expenses. However, candidates for the four offices may run as a ticket and pool resources for a joint campaign. Anyone violating budget and election rules will be disqualified.

Voting on December 7 will be in the halls on the Freshman Quad and in the South Dining Hall. Freshmen on the Main Quad and those living off-campus will vote in the Dining Hall. Voting hours will be from 11:30 to noon and 5:30 to 7 p.m.

The eight contenders for Freshman Class President are Rich Licciardone, Chris Ottenweller, Ken Kolby, John Schoeller, Lincoln Soldatti, Richard Hunter, Carl Rak, and Jack Mulhoney.
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Thanksgiving is a little different this year. Oh the preparations were just as complete but the faces were a bit different. Staid relatives were replaced by a handful of rambunctious college boys and our luxurious apartment was turned into a Kansas City stockyard.

The room was filled with every type of song—religious, rock, folk, and rugby—all much to the chagrin of the Rock. Wine finally ran out, the five ran out, and the sing-along resumed. In the meantime Jeff Keys, known to many as himself and Ed Kickum, went out to get a drink. By 9 P.M. the house had erupted into strains of "The Changing Woman: The Impact of Family Planning," presented by the Continuing Education Center last week. The discussion continued with differing opinions on the role of the woman in society. Nearly 50 experts from fields ranging from theology to population control gathered to discuss the social changes wrought by widespread recourse to family planning.

Dr. Catherine Chulman, research director for the federal government's Welfare Administration, was emphatic in outlining the "new role" of the woman. She stated "the revolution of the pill and the interuterine device is earthshaking. In addition to being simple and inexpensive, these methods now mean that women alone—without the cooperation of men—can control reproduction."

Dr. William Masters and Virginia Johnson, authors of Human Sexual Response, saw the woman's role in a positive light. Dr. Masters maintained "Only through centuries of constraint have women been forced into the passive role."

Dr. Johnson said, "Historically many women have functioned in a totally subordinate role, yet the idea of woman as a second-rate member of a double standard has been terribly hard to accept by women who need a real sense of identity. Woman must be free to be herself sexually. She needs to be someone before she can give something."

Dr. Edgar Berman, chief health consultant for the Agency for International Development, took a radically different point of view. Viewing the "liberated" woman, he maintained, the freed woman certainly works harder away from home with equal, if not more, responsibilities, under worse conditions, generally taking one service job for another—toward what end?"

Berman questioned whether limiting progeny emancipates a woman. He said, "The superficial off-the-cuff desires of average women for a change of status—to this never-never-land of freedom—may only be affirmative but if she looks closely at the examples of changed or emancipated women there may be second thoughts."

Effects on the family as a result of the new contraceptive culture were also discussed. Dr. William M. Lammers, child psychologist at the Ross Psychiatric Center, said that the generation gap is widened, with parents unable to even understand the language of their offspring. He saw families as going off in different directions. Dr. James Simmons, chief of obstetrics and gynecology at the Oakland, Calif. Naval Hospital, pointed to a lack of honest sex education by family, church, and community. From the earliest ages, according to Simmons, children must be taught "what it means to share feelings, to express love, and to feel comfortable with self and others."

A public lecture by Dr. and Mrs. Joseph W. Bird contradicted the problems discussed in the symposium. The Birds, authors of the book The Freedom of Sexual Love, gave a highly personal account of their own marriage.
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Your Candidate

Romney is a joke and Reagan ought to be. Neither has any obvious practical policy, although Reagan has stood out strongly in favor of America, motherhood, and apple pie. Rockefeller's outstanding record of liberal legislation in New York would presumably win him the support of all those doves who are concerned with restoring this country's moral commitment to domestic improvement. But Rocky has been generally silent on Vietnam and it is easy to suppose that he might take a rather hard line on the assumption that it would keep Republicans in the fold and that a lot of dissident Democrats would vote for him anyway. At any event, his nomination prospects are not terribly good, since many of the Republican regulars have not forgiven him for his failure to support Goldwater in 1964.

So the doves need McCarthy to give them a fair chance to express what they really do believe. Perhaps McCarthy is in fact as ludicrous a candidate as the Democratic bosses claim he is and perhaps the doves do not have any real organization. But perhaps elections, and even nominations, are not always decided by the largest campaign fund, and perhaps some professional politicians are going to be a bit surprised.

Miss Baez is, of course, a doctrinaire pacifist. The war is wrong. We are killing people unjustly. Whatever evil exists on the other side does not concern us. We must simply end the fighting whatever its cost to our security and regardless of the Communist atrocities which might follow.

In the contest between Baez, Carson, and McCarthy, I would have been duped into voting for the man who is presently in Washington, D.C. (I would presumably win him the support of the voters anyway.) As it is, I cannot even be sure that McCarthy is a liberal. Indeed, he is at least an intelligent liberal who might take a rather hard line on the assumption that it would keep Republicans in the fold and that a lot of dissident Democrats would vote for him anyway. At any event, his nomination prospects are not terribly good, since many of the Republican regulars have not forgiven him for his failure to support Goldwater in 1964.

So the doves need McCarthy to give them a fair chance to express what they really do believe. Perhaps McCarthy is in fact as ludicrous a candidate as the Democratic bosses claim he is and perhaps the doves do not have any real organization. But perhaps elections, and even nominations, are not always decided by the largest campaign fund, and perhaps some professional politicians are going to be a bit surprised.

THE REPORTER

Peace in Our Time

BY DENNIS GALLAGHER

I saw an informal debate on television last Tuesday night. The subject was pacifism and the Vietnam war. The friendly contestants were Joan Baez, folk singer, and Johnny Carson, boisterous innocent and talkathon mediator.

I wouldn't claim any intellectual depth for the proceedings nor significant theoretical brilliance for the debaters. Yet the two differing viewpoints seemed both so cogent and appealing to me that some thought on their origin and validity seemed necessary.

Miss Baez is, of course, a doctrinaire pacifist. The war is wrong. We are killing people unjustly. Whatever evil exists on the other side does not concern us. We must simply end the fighting whatever its cost to our security and regardless of the Communist atrocities which might follow.

Faced with this, Carson, being a liberal, pragmatic man of good will, could only protest that the world wasn't that simple, that we couldn't count on the goodness of others. His own position was undefined. Perhaps he supported the war on practical grounds. Perhaps he opposed with a moderate belief in its ineffectiveness. Perhaps he opposed with a moderate belief in its ineffectiveness. Being the despairing of millions of innocents, some doves and some hawks, perhaps he is inclined to play it cool.

No doubt you have already bestowed your sympathies with one or another of the two, unless perhaps you are a hawk who feels that Carson should have thrown Miss Baez out bodily. Joe Pyne style. But if we can commit the existential sin of detachment, we may be able to see the situation more clearly.

Pacifism, as I see it, is basically of two types: a love of man's existential plight and the radical equality it implies that enables him to defy society by carrying the ethics he was taught in his childhood to its logical conclusion. Typically, such a person regards Christ as not a God but as a transcendent hero of the same order with Buddha, Mohammed and perhaps Gandhi.

While this sort of pacifism may be held inconsistent since it arises from no longer held religious beliefs, the pragmatism which many of us embrace is equally illegitimate. Insofar as we hold love and peace to be absolutely desirable ends and do not pursue them absolutely, we are playing a kind of game with our value system. We are saying in effect that our material possessions and personal freedom cannot be sacrificed to our idealism.

Insofar as the opposition between two pragmatic rivals could ultimately lead to a miscalculation and holocaust in which both material possessions and personal existence are lost, rational pragmatism may finally prove futile. But pacifism is not only radically impractical but will almost certainly lead to persecution from the pragmatists which will further diminish their moral sense. And we must choose. One hopes that history (if there is any written) and a benevolent God will recognize the sad insufficiency of our choice.
Why Are You Afraid?

BY RICHARD ROSSIE

The following is adapted from a speech given by Edward Schwartz, N.S.A. President at the recent Student Power conference at the University of Minnesota.

The lesson is clear — you cannot keep any group in subservience in a society which purports to be free without accepting the standards and hopes of democracy to its own condition. The labor movement said that in the 30's, the Black people have said it in the 60's; the students will say it in the late 60's and beyond.

Student power is our slogan — the slogan of middle America.

The abrasive cries against the excess of youth are not surprising, but they are saddening when uttered within the university. Education can proceed only in an atmosphere of trust — in an atmosphere which permits an expanding context of human relationships, which permits people to share intimate experiences and observations which encourages people to reflect on these experiences.

When the premise of the university is one of distrust, and the rhetoric of those who run our universities reflects poorly disguised fears, then the context of education will be one of fear, will be one of abrasion, will be one of isolation. So when we hear those who say that they should rule, because they have the power to rule, that student power means anarchy, that students want to destroy the university, we should say — "look around you, at your students; see what you do to them; observe a classroom in which people are afraid to ask questions, or a dormitory in which students are afraid to talk about anything beyond the mundane." And maybe we should ask them that question — "What if you were to tell students? Why are you afraid?"

The university teaches as much by what it is as by what it says in its classrooms. If there are contradictions between what we are told is true, and what we know to be true, the conflict between rhetoric and reality must be resolved. When we learn the university virtues of democracy in our classrooms, and perceive the lack of it in our everyday lives, we demand its resolution.

When we learn the Bill of Rights in our classrooms and we see its abuses around us, we demand that the university live up to the standards of citizenship. When we discuss the formulation of legitimate laws, and application of due process for protection under those laws in our classes, and we see neither legitimate rule-making processes nor orderly standards of due process around, we demand that our own institutions adhere to principles which we are told are for the betterment of people in all institutions.

Indeed, we learn from what the university does. On many campuses, students hear their administrators say that the channels will yield change, yet they learn that only working outside of the channels yields change. Students hear that the purposes of the university are to encourage rational discourse, fundamental dialogue, heated exchange, yet they learn that the President of the university is inaccessible, that the dean rarely gives an honest answer, that the professor lectures without ask- ing for questions or discussion, that the grader gives a letter without a comment. What are we to believe — what the university says, or what it does? We would like to believe what it says, but what it does is too powerful to ignore.
The Mail

Editor:

Once again the Observer has produced a top-of-the-head, off-the-cuff, shallow representation of something too serious to be taken lightly. I refer specifically to the almost libelous feature of Monday, Nov. 20, "Portrait of the Artist as a Young Cowpoke", an example of the Observer's characteristic lack of depth. Mr. Figel's artistic abilities have been maligned to no purpose, nor through the intention of your apparently sympathetic reporter, but through his lack of artistic perception. I would suggest that he be surrendered to the sports editor.
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We're Number One...

We're Number Three...

We're Number Five...

We're Number Ten...

We're Finished
Irish Follow The Son God

BY TOM CONDON

Ra is the sun god, the warm Pheobus who tans and relaxes the student body at the University of Miami and inspires her gridiron gallants. His name was frequently invoked last Friday night in the Orange Bowl where the shining warriors sought to destroy a pugnacious but worldly race, the Fighting Irish.

The Golden Hurricane had a point. After throwing away its first two games, the men from the palm tree-ed groves of Aca­deme won six in a row and thus were de­nied an Orange Bowl bid. Beating Notre Dame was the only way they could save face.

The Irish, on the other hand, were missing Blieker and Lauck, and would be lampereated by the heat and humidity. Hence, a magnificent football game. The Irish took an early lead on Joe "Auto­matic A' Azzaro's field goal, and then fal­tered as the galloping gales stormed for sixteen points in the second quarter, the first time the Raymen have been scored upon in the second period this year.

Schoen, the eventual hero because he prevented a two-point conversion, re­reated with a punt, couldn't get outside and was tackled on the one-foot line. Af­ter three plays, Hardy punished from the one, and Jimmy Dye returned it 49 yards to the ND 9, where a spectacular tackle by Hardy saved a score for the mo­ment. On the third play, however, an Oli­va to McGee pass and place-kick gave Miami a 7-3 lead. On the ensuing kick-off, an Irish fumble gave away the ball on the 16, from whence the Hurricane took it in. Quarterback Olivo sneaking from the 1, Harris missed the extra point, which later proved to be crucial.

The Irish came back and finally won a come-from-behind, close game, Harranity drove the team 62 yards in four plays, with Ed Zeigler taking it over from the one. Azzaro didn't miss and it was 13-10.

Phil Tracy hit a field goal, and it was 16- 10 at halftime. Zimmerman spearheaded a 50-yard drive in the third quarter, scoring from the one, and the Tide made it 17-16.

After an erst Miami field goal at­tempt, the Irish lost the ball, but got it back on a John Pregine interception. Then came an intelligent bit of strategy: Huge Hurricane defensive end and Ted Hen­derson's ineligibility there. Louisville should rate as one of the top independents. If the Irish can keep their own hands clean, and switch some players in the second half, they could be in the hunt. Once again, the Irish have to prove that they can win on penalties.

Harranity. They had him on his back more often than anybody else. Considering the talent on the Freshman team, and considering what he expected from Notre Dame: "I think they'll throw at least 50 per cent of the time. I could hardly see anybody basing their game plan on knocking our defensive line out of there."

Well, Otis, you blew it. Why didn't you mention to your boys that Jeff Zimmerman and Bob Gladieux were just a little bet­ter than average at moving the ball on the ground? Your line was bet against Ara improving on the 8-2 record. The Mid-East is hard to figure. The Big Ten is the best of the West. Illinois, Michigan, Ohio State and Western Kentucky respectively. Toledo ex­pected to which was the better team on the field. Miami could show their stu­ff in the Bluebonnet Bowl, or wherever it is they're going. At any rate, now Otis Moore knows his line can be moved.

Miami got every conceivable break - a fumble on a kickoff, a sideline pass that was called complete when the receiver was a few miles over bounds, coffin-corner kicks, first downs on penalties. But Notre Dame whipped them, and there was no question as to which was the better team on the field. Miami could show their stuff in the Bluebonnet Bowl, or wherever it is they're going. At any rate, now Otis Moore knows his line can be moved.

Now it's all over once again. Ten more games in the book. Now there's no more Schoen, O'Leary, Smithberger, Martin, Pregine, McGill, Hardy, Swarts, Quinn, Blieker or Hanahan. But there are others waiting to replace them: Capers, Wack, Jackson, DiNardo, Sheahan, Bartz.

Eight offensive starters return, and the '68 season will begin with an experienced front four on defense. There will be prob­ably fewer problems - replacing three linemen and those deep backs, but it's been done before, and Johnny Ray will have next year's best college lineman in Bob Olson to build around.

Considering the talent on the Freshman team, and considering that four of the first five games next year are at home, don't bet against Ara improving on the 8-2 record.

BY MIKE HELMER

The Irish aren't the only team swinging into action this week. While the Good Guys open up at home against St. Joseph's Saturday night, the other teams who up to now have been dreaming the impossible dream began a three month crusade for the privilege of fighting the unbeatable foe in the NCAA finals next March.

The unbeatable foe is of course the UCLA Bruins. If you thought they were tough last year, Mike Lynn and Edgar Lacey, both veterans of the '65 championship team return after missing last season. Which means that Lynn Shackleford is now the best dor, in the person of 6-8 Steve Patterson and plus the arrival of a backup man for Lew Alcine.

The Irish left side, once for 28 yards and a touch, was particularly good. Otis Mooney said this about his line: "I think they'll throw at least 50 per cent of the time. I could hardly see anybody basing their game plan on knocking our defensive line out of there."

It's eating crow.

BY AL BERRYMAN

Last week, Miami defensive coach Otis Moorey said this about what he expected from Notre Dame: "I think they'll throw at least 50 per cent of the time. I could hardly see anybody basing their game plan on knocking our defensive line out of there."

Well, Otis, you blew it. Why didn't you mention to your boys that Jeff Zimmerman and Bob Gladieux were just a little bet­ter than average at moving the ball on the ground? Your line was spending quite a bit of time Friday night looking at them from behind, after they had bolted past it.

Sure, Miami's defensive line did a great job on rushing Terry Harranity. They had him on his back more often than anybody else ever did. He ended up seven for twelve, though, and over 100 yards. But when it came down to slugging time, the Irish took the ball 51 yards for the go-ahead touchdown in 11 plays - all runs. And the clincher was provided by Gladieux when he went 38 yards on two consecutive carries. We went right at their strongest point and beat them at it.

Miami got every conceivable break - a fumble on a kickoff, a sideline pass that was called complete when the receiver was about five miles over bounds, coffin-corner kicks, first downs on penalties. But Notre Dame whipped them, and there was no question as to which was the better team on the field. Miami could show their stuff in the Bluebonnet Bowl, or wherever it is they're going. At any rate, now Otis Moorey knows his line can be moved.

Now it's all over once again. Ten more games in the book. Now there's no more Schoen, O'Leary, Smithberger, Martin, Pregine, McGill, Hardy, Swarts, Quinn, Blieker or Hanahan. But there are others waiting to replace them: Capers, Wack, Jackson, DiNardo, Sheahan, Bartz.

Eight offensive starters return, and the '68 season will begin with an experienced front four on defense. There will be prob­ably fewer problems - replacing three linemen and those deep backs, but it's been done before, and Johnny Ray will have next year's best college lineman in Bob Olson to build around.

Considering the talent on the Freshman team, and considering that four of the first five games next year are at home, don't bet against Ara improving on the 8-2 record.