Administration Suspends Four For Parietal Rules Violation

By P.J. SCHROEDER

Four students, one from Alumni and three from Zahm Hall were suspended from the University's campus for the remainder of the semester by Rev. James Riehle, Dean of Students. The suspensions were the result of two separate incidents involving violation of the Parietal Hours regulation.

An Alumni Junior was found in his room early last Fri. morning with a co-ed from Indiana University, South Bend extension, by a campus security officer and the girl's father. The girl, he said, a singer for a local band, came uninvited into his room about 9:30 pm the previous evening. He added that several other members of the band arrived soon afterwards and they listened to recordings of songs they were planning to learn. About 1:00 am, he said, the others went back to their room and he felt asleep in a chair while the girl slept on the bed. When he awoke the next morning he said that he and the girl's father, accompanied by a campus security officer, knocked on the door and asked him if he knew the girl was there. He told them she was in the room; he had just returned from a dance, he said, and had passed the girl while she was outside on the porch, and when he swept the porch he saw that she was asleep in the bed with her father and the student was told by the officer to contact his rector and Father Riehle.

Unable to reach his rector, the student went to Riehle's office and was told to return later that afternoon. When he returned, Riehle told him that he would receive a hearing the following Monday afternoon by the Dean of Student's Campus Disciplinary Board.


He presented his case before the Board Mon. and was told to return yesterday afternoon for the results. It was at this time that Father Riehle informed him of his suspension, emphasizing that the punishment was a result of a violation of the University regulation of Parietal Hours and not for a moral violation.

The other suspensions were incurred by three sophomores from Zahm Hall who were caught for the first time in the last four weeks, although action was taken by Father Riehle only this week.

They said that their rector called them into his office several days ago and told them that he had learned of the violation and was informing Father Riehle. Their testimony was also heard by the Campus Disciplinary Board and the verdict was handed down by Father Riehle yesterday.

Student Body President, Chris Murphy, said he had been in close contact with all the parties involved and that he was "very disturbed by the Dean of Students' ruling."

"It is clear to me that the Administration wishes to persist in its policy of treating the students of this University as objects rather than human beings. I feel that this is highly indicative of the way they are beginning to deal with student problems. This crackdown came with absolutely no policy statement before hand. I am also disappointed that they refused to use the Campus Judicial Board; I really think it is hypocritical that the Judicial Board was not allowed to handle it."

"The Administration is always paying lip service to the theory of community and greater communication, but in fact, neither is being exercised. I consider the punishments most excessive. They are using these men as examples and I don't like to see anyone made an example of."

When contacted yesterday afternoon, Father Riehle refused to comment about the offenses or the suspensions saying only that he had not given the cases to the Campus Judicial Board because he felt that it was not yet organized enough to deliberate over matters this serious. He added, however, that he had recently handed on to the Board a serious case of theft.

Chuck Nau, Student Body Vice-President-elect said: "The timing of this whole thing is what fascinates me. First, Student Government gets this massive stab in the back in the form of a letter, addressed to the whole campus, which humiliates our Student Body President, Chris Murphy. Then, immediately following that, you have four suspensions for violation of parietal hours. Why, the Administration hasn't done a thing about parietal hours all year long. If this is their idea of 'getting tough' then I'm afraid they may have bitten off a little more than they can chew."

Richard Rosse, who will succeed Murphy as Student Body President, spent almost three hours in conference with Father Hesburgh and said that he was doing everything in his power to have the students reinstated in the University "tomorrow, if not before." He added that Father Hesburgh agreed to meet with the four students yesterday evening and that he would prefer to wait for the outcome of that meeting before he made any final decision as to what further action would be forthcoming on the part of Student Government.

Father James Flanagan, member of Father Riehle's Disciplinary Board and Rector of Dilles Hall, said last night that he would have preferred to see the cases go before the Campus Judicial Board he felt that the University was in a period of great change and that he regarded the current system as a "stop-gap" measure. He said: "I will welcome the day when the students are given the chance to really discipline themselves."

Murphy Plans No Written Response

Student Body President Chris Murphy said last night that he was "disapponted" in the letter which Father Hughes received from Father Hughes, a campus security officer, and the girl's father. Hughes, he said, a singer for a local band, came uninvited into his room about 9:30 pm the previous evening. He added that several other members of the band arrived soon afterwards and they listened to recordings of songs they were planning to learn. About 1:00 am, he said, the others went back to their room and he felt asleep in a chair while the girl slept on the bed. When he awoke the next morning he said that he and the girl's father, accompanied by a campus security officer, knocked on the door and asked him if he knew the girl was there. He told them she was in the room; he had just returned from a dance, he said, and had passed the girl while she was outside on the porch, and when he swept the porch he saw that she was asleep in the bed with her father and the student was told by the officer to contact his rector and Father Riehle.

Unable to reach his rector, the student went to Riehle's office and was told to return later that afternoon. When he returned, Riehle told him that he would receive a hearing the following Monday afternoon by the Dean of Student's Campus Disciplinary Board.


He presented his case before the Board Mon. and was told to return yesterday afternoon for the results. It was at this time that Father Riehle informed him of his suspension, emphasizing that the punishment was a result of a violation of the University regulation of Parietal Hours and not for a moral violation.

The other suspensions were incurred by three sophomores from Zahm Hall who were caught for the first time in the last four weeks, although action was taken by Father Riehle only this week.

They said that their rector called them into his office several days ago and told them that he had learned of the violation and was informing Father Riehle. Their testimony was also heard by the Campus Disciplinary Board and the verdict was handed down by Father Riehle yesterday.

Student Body President, Chris Murphy, said he had been in close contact with all the parties involved and that he was "very disturbed by the Dean of Students' ruling."

"It is clear to me that the Administration wishes to persist in its policy of treating the students of this University as objects rather than human beings. I feel that this is highly indicative of the way they are beginning to deal with student problems. This crackdown came with absolutely no policy statement before hand. I am also disappointed that they refused to use the Campus Judicial Board; I really think it is hypocritical that the Judicial Board was not allowed to handle it."

"The Administration is always paying lip service to the theory of community and greater communication, but in fact, neither is being exercised. I consider the punishments most excessive. They are using these men as examples and I don't like to see anyone made an example of."

When contacted yesterday afternoon, Father Riehle refused to comment about the offenses or the suspensions saying only that he had not given the cases to the Campus Judicial Board because he felt that it was not yet organized enough to deliberate over matters this serious. He added, however, that he had recently handed on to the Board a serious case of theft.

Chuck Nau, Student Body Vice-President-elect said: "The timing of this whole thing is what fascinates me. First, Student Government gets this massive stab in the back in the form of a letter, addressed to the whole campus, which humiliates our Student Body President, Chris Murphy. Then, immediately following that, you have four suspensions for violation of parietal hours. Why, the Administration hasn't done a thing about parietal hours all year long. If this is their idea of 'getting tough' then I'm afraid they may have bitten off a little more than they can chew."

Richard Rosse, who will succeed Murphy as Student Body President, spent almost three hours in conference with Father Hesburgh and said that he was doing everything in his power to have the students reinstated in the University "tomorrow, if not before." He added that Father Hesburgh agreed to meet with the four students yesterday evening and that he would prefer to wait for the outcome of that meeting before he made any final decision as to what further action would be forthcoming on the part of Student Government.

Father James Flanagan, member of Father Riehle's Disciplinary Board and Rector of Dilles Hall, said last night that he would have preferred to see the cases go before the Campus Judicial Board he felt that the University was in a period of great change and that he regarded the current system as a "stop-gap" measure. He said: "I will welcome the day when the students are given the chance to really discipline themselves."
Bills On Vietnam, Draft To Be Introduced In Senate

Three potentially controversial bills prepared by Off-Campus Senator Paul Higgins and Student Body Vice-President Tom McKenna are scheduled for introduction to the Student Senate tonight.

The first is a measure directing Arts and Letters Senator John Moore to form and chair a five man committee to establish student representation on every policy-making body within the structure of the University.

The other two bills concern the draft and US policy in Vietnam. Calling the success of South Vietnamese government "fabrications of representative democracy," and noting that "the war is more revolutionary in character than a matter of foreign invasion," McKenna and Higgins ask that "the Student Senate of the University of Notre Dame condemn the present American policy in Vietnam.

And, as concerned citizens we call on the United States Government to seek honestly a peaceful solution to the Vietnamese conflict, recognizing bombing cessation and negotiations with Hanoi and the National Liberation Front as immediate prerequisites for that solution."

In their bill concerning the draft, Higgins and McKenna move that "the members of Notre Dame Student Senate, call on the leadership of the United States Government to head these recommendations that will allow each citizen to serve his nation in accord with his own conscience.

The bill calls for the recognition of VISTA, the Peace Corps, Teacher Corps, and alternative non-military service as a means of "fulfillment of our two year obligation to American Society.

Also among the recommendations to the Selective Service System are the establishment of national standards rather than the "guidelines" which now govern draft procedures.

The bill calls for the broadening of the Conscientious Objection classification to include all philosophical objections to war and military service, including objection to a particular war or engagement.

Robert Kennedy's decision to come down from the hills of New Hampshire and into the battle for the anti-Johnson turf complicates the anti-war movement more than it should. In one sense, his decision was the Movement's final goal: to organize the forces against the war into a group large enough to serve as a political base.

The idea of selflessness in the political sphere was discarded years ago in the slam workings of Chicago and the jails of the South. As a result, the Movement accepted and hoped to use the goals which motivated a political action, to speak the politician's own language until at one point, opposing the war would not be courageous but simple political expediency, a smart move. The Movement was speaking to Robert Kennedy.

Eugene McCarthy's campaign threw all that out the window. Being opposed to the war involves more than rhetoric and Eugene McCarthy was acting when the rest were kept busy polishing the hearts they displayed on their cuffs. In the sphere of deals and doublecross, McCarthy emerged as the honest man, an American novelty who could interest the disillusioned young. There is no way to argue that Robert Kennedy's flip of the hat was anything more than a desire for part of that pie.

Although Kennedy's nomination would be a far cry from the end of the world, Eugene McCarthy is more worthy, if only to keep the young from voting for Lester Pearson next year. Fortunately, the choice this year is between two goods, both seeking one important end. The important thing is still the war's end and must take that any way they can get it. Robert Kennedy still has to demonstrate that his way is the most likely of success.

In some respects, Robert Kennedy approximates Lyndon Johnson. Both are political opportunists, although Kennedy, at 42, bases his support on a different and younger age group. Lyndon Johnson's actions are partially excusable; alone in his cause, he has become insane. Robert Kennedy's actions, however, are calculated. On the face of it, he would be the man to support; but instead he is, after Johnson, the man to beat. He proves that a moral position need not serve moral ends; and Lyndon Johnson proves that a president must have his eye on events not on the history book. They are men of the same stamp on opposite sides of the fence. This nation cannot stand another politician, regardless of his ends.

Some argue that Robert Kennedy's last minute involvement involves the sacrifice of '72. That may be true, but it may also be true that the source of his support will not remain until that election. This time Robert Kennedy has miscalculated. The argument is made that he can win and that McCarthy cannot; but no one explains how that will come about. If Kennedy depends on the young, they already belong to McCarthy; and, if he depends on Mayor Daley or John Bailey, he has already lost the first and who needs the other?

That two men should run on the same opposition to the war is a good sign. Still, one of the two is the better man and that man is Eugene McCarthy. McCarthy was the first to make Lyndon Johnson worry, to hit him in his Achilles heel, to deliver the vote. Up until now, Lyndon Johnson could pursue a second term with little more purpose than a sure occupational deferment. Eugene McCarthy's campaign was the first real attempt to correct that enormous flaw. Just once, on this mad planet, the best man should be able to win.

Sports Workshop

The sports writing workshop scheduled for tonight that 7:00 pm in the Observer Office in the Student Center. All persons interested in writing sports for the Observer should attend. No previous experience is necessary. The clinic will be run by Observer sports columnist Tom Condon.

ATTENTION ALL SENIORS...

COLLEGIATE CAP AND GOWN COMPANY WILL BE IN THE BOOKSTORE...

THURSDAY* MARCH 28 and FRIDAY* MARCH 29

To take Measurements for CAPS AND GOWNS

All measurements will be taken at this time...
150 Students, 10 Prof's
Consider Students And Draft

One hundred fifty students and ten faculty members attended a "colloquium on the draft and the future of students" in the Library Auditorium Sun. afternoon. The principal speaker, Ned Buchbinder, pointed to the need for draft counseling at Notre Dame (due to the fact that many students have changed their position). Buchbinder called for students and faculty members to support those who felt morally bound to resist the draft. Buchbinder said that too many students seem apathetic to the draft law—"I started draft counseling because no one else around here seemed to know the draft law—not even lawyers." The Office of Academic Affairs has a window for selective service information, however, Buchbinder feels that most of the information distributed here is incorrect.

Kerner Says Split
Is Not Inevitable

Illinois Gov. Otto Kerner, chairman of the President's Commission on Civil Disorders which reported that America was gradually moving toward two separate societies, one white and one black, said Fri. night that the split was not inevitable.

"The movement apart can be stopped by a commitment to national action. The solution to the urban problem will take time, money, and effort, but the most important factor must be a change in the attitude of the whites—and that doesn't cost a cent," Kerner said.

Kerner appeared along with U.S. Rep. John Conyers, Jr. of Detroit, on a panel which discussed urban disorders Friday night in Washington Hall.

Kerner said that the 1967 riots had no pattern. He felt that they could not be termed "race riots." He said that they represented a rebellion against a symbol of white society which Negroes in ghetto areas hold.

"Increased communication with the ghettos must be accomplished... the white and Negro must confront each other at the discussion table, or we will confront later as two hostile nations," Kerner reported. "We must end the destruction and violence, not only in the streets, but in the lives of our people."

Negro Congressman Conyers said that "Urban violence is a sign of hopelessness, not revolution. The moderate Negro is frustrated."
In a general meeting tomorrow evening Notre Dame's chapter of the American Association of University Professors will consider a proposed resolution on work requirements for sons of Faculty members attending the University on scholarship.

Currently a faculty son attending Notre Dame is granted full remission of tuition. During his freshman year he is not required to perform any service for the University. He must, however, work 12 hours a week at a University job for the next three remaining undergraduate years.

A 12 hour per week job would, in all likelihood, necessitate spending approximately $440 in the course of the school year. A faculty son who will not take a job must forgo the entire tuition remission.

Bruce Carter, a Holy Cross Hall sophomore and son of Prof. Henry Carter of the Department of Mathematics, who is attempting late last month to organize faculty sons in an attempt to change the University's procedure. Carter's purpose is to have a faculty son who did not wish to take a job and not the entireity of his tuition remission.

In reply to the column "Our Chance," by Joel Connelly, March 25, 1 must object vigorously to the generalization of his article. It is certainly the prerogative of the press, in fact a responsibility, of the press to interpret the news and express opinions. It is, however, both tasteless and pointless to substitute for the tone and content of said article. It is, however, both tasteless and pointless to substitute for the tone and content of said article.

The truly ludicrous contradiction arises in Connelly's protracted efforts to remain self-righteous and sacrosanct as he lays his halo against the Vietnam conflict. In this regard I would refer Joel to the excellent documentary film "Salesman" made by his colleague Jay Schwartz. I wholeheartedly concur with Schwartz's sentiments, cautioning those who would take either side "that you do not possess absolute truth and moral integrity.

The truly ludicrous contradiction arises in Connelly's protracted efforts to remain self-righteous and sacrosanct as he lays his halo against the Vietnam conflict. In this regard I would refer Joel to the excellent documentary film "Salesman" made by his colleague Jay Schwartz. I wholeheartedly concur with Schwartz's sentiments, cautioning those who would take either side "that you do not possess absolute truth and moral integrity.

I would close with the assertion that I fully respect the personal feelings of Mr. Connelly, or of any person or group no matter what. I do vehemently condemn anyone's attempt to sway the public with such gross antithetical allusions to the headlines of these United States as some malignant infection, and I daresay the bloody death of hundreds of innocent people. If as Connelly would charge, it is this country's guilt, then the guilt is with an entire electorate, not some select goat of the author's choosing.

Be as forceful and persuasive as you wish, Joel, but along with that be humble to tolerate those who sincerely differ with you. We, for the most part, don't claim infallibility.

Sincerely,
Edward C. Squires

In a recentidth report, "Pre-Ministerial Summer," just completed a ten-page "Pre-College Summer" report. The girls become involved in present regulations, such as "Big Ten" universities, the proper average compensation levels in the "Big Ten" schools are 15 per cent. The vacancies noted in student positions of the press, in fact a responsibility, of the press to interpret the news and express opinions. It is, however, both tasteless and pointless to substitute for the tone and content of said article. It is, however, both tasteless and pointless to substitute for the tone and content of said article.

The truly ludicrous contradiction arises in Connelly's protracted efforts to remain self-righteous and sacrosanct as he lays his halo against the Vietnam conflict. In this regard I would refer Joel to the excellent documentary film "Salesman" made by his colleague Jay Schwartz. I wholeheartedly concur with Schwartz's sentiments, cautioning those who would take either side "that you do not possess absolute truth and moral integrity.

I would close with the assertion that I fully respect the personal feelings of Mr. Connelly, or of any person or group no matter what. I do vehemently condemn anyone's attempt to sway the public with such gross antithetical allusions to the headlines of these United States as some malignant infection, and I daresay the bloody death of hundreds of innocent people. If as Connelly would charge, it is this country's guilt, then the guilt is with an entire electorate, not some select goat of the author's choosing.

Be as forceful and persuasive as you wish, Joel, but along with that be humble to tolerate those who sincerely differ with you. We, for the most part, don't claim infallibility.

Sincerely,
Edward C. Squires

Re: your column "Pins, Miniature, SCM"

Most of the girls at Saint Mary's were high school leaders. Believe it or not, that's the way it was. Maybe it was the first mixer or football weekend that made the most of the opportunities. They are, as a result, only the dedicated, unselfish stick with them and see that rules are maintained, the students have a maximum of success. We have come a long way from the days of the small local college, but there is a necessity for some at any institution. The manpower requirements of "Big Ten" schools is the lack of response to student government, illustrating the need for some change in the direction of Assistant Prof. Tru-