**THE WORLD TODAY**

**Splashdown Tomorrow**

SPACE CENTER, Houston (UPI)-Apollo 7 commander Walt Schirra decided yesterday to bring his crew home with space suits on but without helmets to avoid burn hazards.

"The suit will be stalled," he said, "and Walt and I will be in the suits during the flight all the way. The only thing I'll do is wear a helmet to recite my flight plan."

"I wish you would find out the pilot's name who thought up this test," he said, "because Schirra radioed to Houston in the final 48 hours of Apollo 7's record setting 11-day mission. "I want to talk to him personally when I get back down.""

"The flight was great throughout the flight, must push their nostrils by hand and blow against them to equalize the pressure on their ears."

**Nixon Urges Aid To Private Schools**

NEW YORK (UPI)-Republican presidential nominee Richard M. Nixon proposed yesterday that the federal government provide aid to children attending private schools.

Nixon did not spell out how much and what type of federal assistance he would have the government provide nor did he list criteria that would determine which children would be eligible.

The GOP candidate discussed education in a CBS radio speech taped for network delivery Sunday night. The address was the fifth of 10 on major issues of the day which he plans to give on successive nights.

Regarding demands to aid private school pupils, Nixon said that if elected, he would establish a clearing house for ideas in elementary and secondary education, create a "student corps" of carefully selected college and high school youngsters, who would work as tutors in inner city schools and provide more pay, better incentives and less red tape for teachers.

In addition to offering aid to "nonpublic school children" Nixon said he would also push for "special tax advantages for those who donate money to private colleges and universities."

"Our own private institutions are now experiencing such financial pressures as costs of public education increase," he said. "The result would be tragedy of the first magnitude if tax supported state schools were to drive private institutions out of existence."

**U . S. Ready For Arms Talks**

PARIS (UPI)-The next few days may decide the fate of President Johnson's hopes for moving toward peace in Vietnam before he leaves office, informed Western diplomatic sources said yesterday.

The deadlock in the past month-the United States and North Vietnam meet their 27th and most crucial week Monday. The United States has extended an official invitation to the North Vietnamese delegation, whom it has privately confirmed a proposal and that it was under study in Hanoi.

A possible indication of Hanoi's mood can come as early as Monday morning when the North Vietnamese hold their regular weekly press briefing. A North Vietnamese delegation spokesman said the br.ofing would be held at 11 a.m.

**Campaign Heads Into Final Weeks**

DON WYLIEFF, Human Affairs Coordinator for Richard M. Nixon, announced yesterday that the campaign would adopt Don Wylieff, former Hanykn Affairs Coordinator in the Romney administration, in the Student Body Presidential race.

Students for a Democratic Society councilman Dennis During also announced that his organization would place a student in nomination for the position. Thus far these are the only names announced for the position. No one else is expected to run.

All interested candidates are required to attend a meeting tonight at 7 p.m. in the Student Government office to learn campaign rules and procedures. Wylieff, who only yesterday resigned his Student Government post, said that the Society would definitely place a black student in the race. "Walt called me and indicated that you (the administration) had contacted him and asked if I would be running. If they (the Society) want me to run, then I will," Wylieff said.

"I talked to Freddie Williams and he said that it would be a good idea for me to run. If they want me to, I'll run," Wylieff continued.

He said he would probably meet sometime today with leaders of the Afro-American Society to plan a campaign package which could help the bombing of North Vietnam and the battle in the South.

By United Press

Humphrey said yesterday the current hull in fighting in South Vietnam has "some significance" and should be a factor in the US decision on whether to stop the bombing of North Vietnam.

The Democratic presidential candidate also said a final decision of the bombing was up to the United States and should not be subject to any veto by the Saigon government.

Humphrey, appearing in a television interview face The Nation, CBS, said the recent decline in large scale fighting in the South "has some significance" and if the situation is to be taken into account" by the Johnson Administration.

"President Thieu speaks for himself when it comes to the matter of bombing or not bombing," Humphrey said. "It surely ought to be taken into account" by the Johnson Administration.

"They should exercise no veto. It is time this mess be made by the United States."

BLACKS, SDS OPPOSE ROSSIE

Nixon's comments, published in the latest issue of the New Republic magazine in reply to questions the weekly had posed, touched on possible Viet Cong cooperation in a coalition government for South Vietnam.

**Wylieff Resigns**

Don Wylieff, Human Affairs Coordinator since Richard M. Nixon was elected Student Body President last spring, resigned his post over the weekend.

Wylieff gave several reasons for tendering his resignation. "First, the state of my health, which has not been good lately, but that is the least of any reasons.

"Secondly, the fact that I just didn't feel that I was made for that position. I'm not the political type of politician and politics requires you to wheel and deal with people and somewhat of that sort is involved.

"Thirdly, I feel I couldn't be a black man and be in the system at the same time, but the co-opting myself," Wylieff said.
The CPO CLASSIC OUTERSHIRT

If you've studied the classics, you'll know this is one of them! It's tailored in choice, rugged wool with long tails you can wear in or out to suit your style. Neat, button-down pockets... your choice of windowpane plaids, club or English glen plaids. Sizes S, M, L, XL $15.00 Prep Sizes 12-20. $13.00

Gilbert's (Downtown and on the Campus) - South Bend

Save your seat at your first sit-in.

The trouble with a sit-in is what you sit on. And that you have to sit on it too long.

Since our thing is keeping you alert mentally, we've had no remedy for other parts of the body that may fall asleep. Until we invented The Sit-On.

What distinguishes The Sit-On from an ordinary pillow is a pocket for your NoDoz®. Which means that now you can sit it out until the wee hours. Alert from top to bottom.

That may fall asleep. Until we invented The Sit-On.

Send for the Sit-On

Just send your name and address, and within 3 to 7 weeks you'll be sitting comfortably.

Attention!

All those wishing to appear as candidates on the recall ballot against Student Body President Richard Rosier should meet with the Permanent Election Committee tonight at 7 pm in the LaFortune Student Center.
Chuong Claims Vietnam Peace Impossible

by Tim Berry

"The situation, as it is now, is such that even if the Communists wanted to negotiate, there can be no real peace," declared Tran Van Chuong, former Vietnamese ambassador to the United States and father of the "dragoon lady" Madame Nhu. Chuong's remarks were part of an address delivered last night to approximately two hundred people in the Library Auditorium. The lecture was sponsored by the Student Union Academic Commission.

"We are not winning; we are not even in a stalemate—we are going to lose this war within a year or two unless some of the realities are known and reckoned with," Chuong said, listing the realities that "the enemy is very, very much stronger, more confident, and arrogant than they have ever been." Chuong pointed also to the political situation in South Vietnam, where Saigon is "run down and overcrowded," and there is a mood of "bewilderment, hopelessness and indifference."

The "values have been overturned by massive American aid which has upset everything," Chuong said. He asked for a change in our present policy of "destroying our own cities in order to save them." Chuong pointed to our fear of intervention and escalation as the reason for our bombing of insignificant targets in South Vietnam rather than the strategic locations in North Vietnam.

Chuong condemned present negotiations with North Vietnam, saying that "they cannot lead to real peace but to some sort of surrender." The Viet Cong, he said, are "international Communists, not bourgeois nationalists." They know, he said, that "the easiest way to take over South Vietnam is through negotiations, which "they have only to sign and then to violate."

He explained the Communists' "insolent, arrogant and intransigent" refusal to negotiate with their belief that "a victory of North Vietnam over the most powerful nation on earth would be one which would change the fate of the world, and advance immeasurably the Communist cause."

"What must be done," said Chuong, is to "face the reality that the North Vietnamese are like termites; they cannot be frightened or bribed or bombed into the Stone Age; they must be stopped at the source of their power."

He proposed the destruction of the port of Haiphong, through which, he said, 81% of the enemy supplies flow. "One hundred mines in the port or one hundred bombs in the docks of Haiphong would paralyze the enemy more than one thousand anywhere else."

Chuong concluded with the thought that, although the United States may be able to oust North Vietnam, "they will meet defeat if North Vietnam outlasts South Vietnam."
Recall Election Educational

When the University Student Life Council convenes tomorrow it will be faced with an instrument of hope as a symbol of achievement. The SLC is, however, the first legislative body to have student representation. The Council must not become the victim of two pitfalls which have doomed tripartite cooperation in the past.

The first obstacle consists of the by-passed study and restudy and continued referral to committee that have served as substitutes for constructive action in past committees dealing with student life. At Notre Dame "study" has often been a way of sweeping issues out of sight and sound. Major studies have in fact been conducted on most environmental questions and thorough deliberation preceded submission of all major General Assembly resolutions last winter. The fact that most major issues have been compiled for the Student Life Council. "Thorough study," the prime delaying tactic of certain Administration officials in the past must not become the only function of the SLC.

The second potential pitfall is the failure of the Student Life Council to take action. Committees and Councils in the past have been characterized by their reluctance to make decisions. The Student Life Council and perhaps particularly its student members must realize that the SLC can make changes in the rules governing student life. Unless this body is willing to act, to say "yes" or "no" to the questions posed before it, the SLC will become this year's sandbox. The moment that the Council refuses to act after having taken time to study and deliberate, it will become useless.

We should also like to express concern over the reluctance of student representatives to act without submitting each and every proposal to student referendum. It is vital that SLC members consult students, hall councils, and the Senate. Our opinion, however, is that the student body has placed a trust in these men. Student SLC members must exercise their own judgment on vital decisions without undue hesitation and procrastination. We have been told that the SLC must be free from "bloc voting" and from any interest on the part of its members. Such a construction underscores the autonomy of the SLC's members and encourages them to make up their own minds as elected representatives.

Four major issues will face the Council almost immediately. These are parietal hours, cars, twenty-one year old drinking, and off-campus living. If delay and bickering, more committees, further study, hot air, and procedural nonsense become the standard agenda of the Council rather than responsible decision, the Student Life Council will lose all usefulness and all race against the student body.

Recall Election Educational

One week from tomorrow the Notre Dame community will be faced with its third student body election in less than a month. It seems quite certain that Student Body President Richard Rossie will be given a vote of confidence by an overwhelming majority and will face little or no opposition.

What has resulted from a foregone conclusion and even conservative student leaders backing Rossie, this new election will likely consider a joke or a bore by a considerable segment of the student body. We feel this attitude to be mistaken, and urge that students pay attention to the upcoming campaign.

We believe that the recall election, while an accidental and most unfortunate occurrence, presents Rossie and his student government with an excellent opportunity to educate the electorate in the premises and programs of student self-government. The campaign will be unencumbered with the charges, countercharges, organizational battles, and empty promises which tend to characterize student body presidency elections at Notre Dame. This campaign can be more than a high-minded effort aimed at retaining Rossie's office with more than fifty-nine percent of the vote.

Besides presenting Richard Rossie and Chuck Nau with a chance to explain their ideals, the coming campaign represents an opportunity for Student Government to educate the student body in its activities and accomplishments.

Indeed, the recall movement itself stemmed from an ignorance, a lack of knowledge on the part of a segment of the student body as to the nature of student government and the evolution of student self-government at Notre Dame. This week's campaign will, hopefully, provide an opportunity for the discussion of both what is believed as well as what is being done: for the Rossie-Nau administration can already point to a record of accomplishments including the SLC, the Judicial Code, and the enunciation of students' rights in the new Manual.

Thus it is that we look forward to this new election as an occasion of which it presents the Student Body President and student governement with an unusual opportunity to educate. We hope that the student body will attend and seriously consider the issues raised and programs explained in the coming week.
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Rhinoceros:
Don't Spill the Absurdity, Please!
by Barry Breen

"Life is a struggle. It's cowardly not to put up a fight."
— Ionesco's "Rhinoceros"

John-Paul Mustone is a somewhat disappointing, milky Dudard, but then again, Dudard is a somewhat disappointing milky character. His is a large and rather superficial role, and he plays it unconvincingly, although perhaps he is a bit melodramatic as a rhinoceros.

Don Dilg's facial contortions and Leonard Wright's screams are extremely humorous and well executed. Certainly the aggressiveness of the University Theater's production must be admitted. The play chosen for presentation is a difficult one and its inherent ambiguity leaves much to the discretion of the director. This abrupt change of Berenger's temperament in the final speech with his revelation of meaningfulness seems to indicate that this play is treated here as a traditional theater of absurd. To effectively exploit this tradition, the director, Reginald Bain, must render a substantial portion of the dialogue as comic. Consequently, we leave Washington Hall confused and dissatisfied, somehow feeling that the playwright was putting us on— that he has become increasingly involved in Berenger's sober commitment to humanity only to discover that such involvement as a play, as a construct is absurd. We are not even encouraged to question why the rhinoceros phenomenon occurred. And so, we become merely inattentive observers gazing at a world of absurdity, waiting impatiently to be amused.

Rhinoceros has genuinely animated moments— moments reminiscent of a color cartoon. Flattened cardboard cutouts, portable window frames, burlesque double take, cinematic freezes, and "Lambchops" maneuvers are all rather cute. But every so often this animated quality is forced to give way to Ionesco's true compassion for human characters struggling with genuine emotions. At such times, we, the audience feel confused— cheated by what I feel is the playwright's major preoccupation—a preoccupation neglected in the levity of Mr. Bain's approach.

The director has attempted to bring truly avant garde theater to Notre Dame. In so doing he runs into several very real problems. Although his set is interesting, it does absorb huge amounts of space, forcing much of the action to the stage apron. When the moppet-like figures do mount the blocks, we are too often conscious that the reason for their actions is merely a desire for maximum utilization of space. Bain stumbles and fails confusing the avant garde with what is simply novel. With house lights still up, characters flow into the theater before the play actually begins, allegedly in street dress, holding wigs and other items of make-up. They ask each other what play they are performing; the stage manager arrives and in a premonitional manner—one he establishes his dismay at the selection. Warm ups, both vocal and physical, take place before the bewildered eyes of the audience. But bewilderment too readily turns to boredom as the novelty wears thin and we realize that we are being insulted by an overstatement of the obvious.

Perhaps the play should be portrayed as a cartoon. Ionesco himself realized this, simplified his thematic approach to emphasize conformity, took a distant laughing stance, and actually did collaborate on a six minute animated version of Rhinoceros. Mr. Bain attempts to duplicate Ionesco's second effort, not bothering to re-write, not bothering to change medium. Consequently, despite wonderful efforts of the cast to produce some fine comic effect, Rhinoceros is a dull animal, slow moving and badly tempered.
Notre Dame Grapes of Wrath: A Failure

The boycott of the grape growers of California by consumers throughout the country has failed at Notre Dame, up to this point. The strike and boycott, led by United Farm Workers Union Organizing Committee Director Delia Chavez, is attempting to force California grape growers to recognize the AFL-CIO member farm union so that the migrant workers may bargain with their employers and gain reasonable wages.

In sympathy with Chavez and his union, a group of Notre Dame students headed by senior Steve Moriarty and Moreau seminarian Bill Richardson met twice in the past two weeks with campus Food Services Director Bernard Mehall in an attempt to convince him not to buy grapes.

After considering the proposal and reading literature furnished by the group, Mehall decided to continue the sale of the grapes at Notre Dame.

After the meeting, Moriarty said Mehall "was ambiguous on his position of the strike. He gave the excuse that he would be making the moral decision for those who wanted to purchase the grapes."

Mehall said that Mehall is making a moral decision on behalf of the students by the very fact that he purchases grapes. By buying the grapes, he supports the growers.

Mehall countered by saying that he would be making a moral decision for the students in favor of the strikers if he discontinued purchasing the fruit. "Every individual has the right to buy grapes if he likes, I don't think I should project my feelings onto a group that might be contrary," Mehall said.

Mehall said that the Food Services purchases only a small quantity of grapes, primarily for sale in the pay cafeteria in the South Dining Hall. "Our use of fresh grapes is very minimal," Mehall said that the students themselves could make the decision by not purchasing grapes, in which case Mehall would be compelled to discontinue buying them, for economic reasons.

Mehall said, "I don't think the boycott is that strong. What they need is strong legislation. It may be that the boycott will help to obtain legislation but it hasn't happened so far."

Mehall agreed to consider more carefully the California situation. However he said whatever personal stance he may take will not effect his decision on whether to discontinue purchasing grapes. He prefers to leave that to his patrons.

Mehall and Richardson plan to submit a brief to the Council of Vice Presidents of the University to obtain University approval of the strike and boycott so that the University may refuse to buy grapes. "Personally Fr. Hesburgh (University President Theodore M. Hesburgh, CSC) backs the council. There also a possibility the matter may have to be referred to the Board of Trustees for final say."

Badin Debut Success

Hall Action

Hall presidents discussed the Judicial Constitution last night at a meeting in the student center amphitheatre. Senior Dave Ryan, chairman of the campus judicial board and president of Badin Hall, urged the hall presidents to see that hall judicial board chairmen were elected so that hall cases could be heard.

"Let's get the judicial board going so that the administration can't knife us in the back and take the thing away from us," Ryan said.

President Riehle will have no say, "We can't knife us in the back," Ryan said.

Once the board is in operation," Ryan said, "the kind of graduate student they are looking for.""Let's get the judicial board going so that the administration can't knife us in the back and take the thing away from us."

The evening Friday began when guitarist-singer John Bachmann asked the audience to move close to the stage and feel like they were a part of it all, and continued with a "friendly gathering" tone until 1:00 Saturday morning. By that time Bachmann, Pat Clinton, Terry McMann, Mike Powers and Tom Henehan were all onstage together producing some strong and very effective "blues" music. The performers and their audience of about 120 were enthusiastic about the coffee house's first night and its future.

Fonseca, who lives in Sorin, managed the opening and said that he and Badin Hall manager Tim Berry wanted to start the coffee house "because its fun, and the campus needs it." They issued a plea for student talent, hoping to include "not only music, but comedy and satire, and maybe some one act plays."

Ryan Urges Hall Action

Badin Hall Ac...
Bethlehem Steel Loop Course Interviews:

What is the Bethlehem Loop Course? It is our management development program for graduates with bachelors' or advanced degrees.

The course starts early in July with four weeks of orientation at our home offices in Bethlehem, Pa. Loopers attend lectures on every phase of the corporation's activities, and make almost daily visits to plants, fabricating works, shipyards, and mines. Our Sales Department loopers (30 or so) remain at the home office for about a year of training. Most are then assigned to district offices where they take over established accounts.

Fabricated Steel Construction loopers are trained in a drafting room, on a field erection project, in a fabricating shop, and in an engineering office. A hooper's first work assignment is based on interests and aptitudes disclosed during this program.

Loopers in Accounting, Shipbuilding, Mining, Research, Traffic, Purchasing, Finance and Law, General Services, and Industrial and Public Relations go through training programs tailored to their types of work.

Where would YOU fit in? Check your degree or the one most similar to it.

MECHANICAL ENGINEERING—Engineering or mechanical maintenance departments of steel plants, fabricating works, mining operations, and shipyards. Fuel and combustion departments. Supervision of production operations. Marine engineering assignments in Shipbuilding Department. Also: Sales or Research.

METALLURGICAL ENGINEERING—Metallurgical departments of steel plants and manufacturing operations. Engineering and service divisions. Technical and supervisory positions in steelmaking departments and rolling mills. Also: Research or Sales.

CHEMICAL ENGINEERS—Technical and supervisory positions in coke works, including production of byprod- uct chemicals. Fuel and combustion departments, including responsibility for operation and maintenance of air and water pollution control equipment. Engineering and metallurgical departments. Steelmaking operations. Also: Research or Sales.

INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING—Positions in steel plants, fabricating works, shipyards, and mines. Engineering and maintenance departments. Supervision of steelmaking, rolling, manufacturing, and fabricating operations. Also: Sales.

CIVIL ENGINEERING—Fabricated Steel Construction assignments in engineering, field erection, or works management. Steel plant, mine, or shipyard assignments in engineering, construction, and maintenance. Supervision of production operations. Sales Department assignments as line salesmen or sales engineer (technical service to architects and engineers).

ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING—Steel plant, fabricating works, mining operations, and shipyard electrical engineering, construction, and maintenance departments. Technical and supervisory positions in large production operations involving sophisticated electrical and electronic equipment. Also: Research or Sales.

MINING ENGINEERING—Our Mining Department operates coal and iron ore mining operations and limestone quarries, many of which are among the most modern and efficient in the industry. This 10,000-man activity offers unlimited opportunities to mining engineers. Also: Research.

NAVAL ARCHITECTS AND MARINE ENGINEERS: Graduates are urged to inquire about opportunities in our Shipbuilding Department, including the Central Technical Division, our design and engineering organization. Also: Traffic.

OTHER TECHNICAL DEGREES—Every year we recruit loopers with technical degrees other than those listed above. Seniors enrolled in such curricula are encouraged to sign up for an interview.

ACCOUNTANTS—Graduates in accounting or business administration (24 hours of accounting are preferred) are recruited for training for supervisory assignments in our 3,000-man Accounting Department.

OTHER NON-TECHNICAL DEGREES—Graduates with degrees in liberal arts, business, and the humanities are invited to discuss opportunities in the Sales Department. Some non-technical graduates may be chosen to fill openings in steel plant operations and other departments.

NOW'S THE TIME TO SIGN UP FOR AN INTERVIEW. And when you register at the placement office, be sure to pick up a copy of our booklet, "Careers with Bethlehem Steel and the Loop Course." It contains important information about the corporation and your opportunities through the Loop Course.

BETHLEHEM STEEL
An Equal Opportunity Employer in the Plants for Progress Program

Keenan Remains Unbeaten

By GREG WINGENFELD

Breen Philips put the foot back in football while the Off campus and Keenan teams remained unbeaten and unscorced upon as the Inter-hall season reached its midpoint Sunday.

In other games, Pangborn and Morrissey played to a 0-0 tie, St. Ed's blankets Lyons, 120-0, Dillon edged Alumni, 7-0 and Zahm beat Holy Cross, 8-0.

Mike Rafferty kicked a 35 yard field goal and made good on two of two extra points as B-P won its first game in two years - 17-0 over Stanford. The passing combination of quarterback Jay Dugan and end Tom Egan set up the three-pointer and Bob Hupps' two yard paydirt plunge. Nick McLaney ran back an interception 30 yards to cap the scoring.

Off Campus beat Walsh, 2-0, as defensive end Bob Harris nabbed field J arkie Bengin in the end zone for a safety. Tenacious Walsh defense had just stopped the OCC team on the one yard line before the score.

The Keenan-Cavanaugh encounter matched League III's unbeaten and untied entries. Keenan's powerful running game obliterated their previously unscorced upon opponents. 26-0. Joe Sims ran 18 yards and Bill Walkup eleven for touchdowns. Quarterback Bob Mydyski ran five yards and fullback Carl Rak one for the other scores.

Halfback Gino Agnon put in his bid for "Back of the Week" honors racing for two touchdowns on runs of 72 and 51 yards in St. Ed's victory. Chris Leicht made his fourth interception of the year a big one as he ran it to paydirt from 60 yards out for the lone score in Pilch's victory.

Pangborn and Morrissey both suffered from fumbles in their game. Neither team could mount a sustained drive or score. Zahm capitalized on a blocked punt and scored on Tom Kowiel's 5 yard run. George Phelps flipped to Larry Schneider for the two point conversion in the 8-0 win.

Next week's games match Alumni vs. St. Ed's, Morrissey vs. OC, Zahm vs. Keenan, Holy Cross vs. Stanford, Lyons vs. Farley, Walsh vs. Sorin, Cavanaugh vs. B-P.

The Standings:

League I

St. Ed's 1-0-1
Dillon 1-0-2
Farley 0-2-0
Alumni 1-1-0
Lyons 1-0-1

League II

Off Campus 0-0-0
Pangborn 1-0-2
Morrissey 0-0-2
Walsh 0-2-0
Sorin 0-2-0

League III

Keenan 3-0-0
Cavanaugh 2-0-0
Zahm 2-1-0
Breen-Philips 1-1-1
Holy Cross 0-2-1
Stanford 0-3-0
Irish Offense Leaves Ara Speechless

By MIKE PAVLIN

Terry Hanratty

**Sports Briefs**

**THE OBSERVER**

**MEXICO CITY (UPI)** - The United States scored a stunning sweep of relay medals in the high jump at the Olympic Games in Tokyo.

The United States scored a total of 12 gold medals in men's and women's events. At Tokyo, the United States won 12 times and the women twice.


The women's 400-meter relay team of Vincent Matthews of San Jose, Calif., and Jimmy Hines of Oakland, Calif., set a new world record in the 1964 Olympics in Tokyo.

The men's 1600-meter relay team of Frank Tripucka, Benjamin Jitcho, running from the No. 2 post position, jumped in front as the field of 12 got underway in the succor shaped stadium in the Valley of Mexico.

Jumbo cut out the early pace, closely pursued by Tummler, with Keino running in fourth place, and the 1500-meter race was set.

Ryun, with a grimace on his face, lifted slowly through the pack, picking up one runner at a time, as he went to the front and Ryun way at the back of the pack.

Ryun moved to the front after one and half laps were run, and he was back into contention. Keino, with a world record race in 1967, turned it on - pouring everything he had into the effort to get back into contention.

But as the bell rang for the start of the last lap, Ryan was still some 40 meters or more ahead of Keino. In addition, Ryan was leading by 10 meters.