Mroz, McKenna slated for run-off on Friday
Race ends for Kendall, Apt, Smith, and the rest

Leaders separated by a 10 vote margin in the closest SBP race in ND history

In the tightest Student Body Presidential elections in history, John Mroz edged out Phil McKenna to gain a plurality, but not a victory. The failure of either candidate to gain "fifty percent plus one", has necessitated a run-off election on Friday.

Both men in interviews last night expressed optimism about the outcome of the run-off election. Ted Jones, Mroz's running mate commented that "We can win in a run-off. The vote was close, but we were ahead. It's gonna be awfully tight Friday." Fred Dedrick, McKenna's running mate commented that "When you run something you believe in, you can come up form behind to achieve your goal."

Both SBP candidates definitely stated what their first actions would be if elected. McKenna commented "I would sit down and talk for a week or so with responsible people in order to choose the Student Union Director." Mroz's comments were much along the same lines. "I would first advertise for appointive offices, they would all be on an application basis. Also I would set up the hall issues day, working with the hall presidents to do so."

Concerning their campaign plans for today, both candidates expressed their intention to use the same basic methods they have been using during the regular campaign. John Mroz remarked "In the next couple of days we plan to point out the differences between us. We plan to stress workable solutions in our platform." McKenna said that he and Dedrick would continue their individual contact with the students. He also said that he and his running-mate plan to "hit every hall on campus."

In McKenna's estimate, the most important issue of the campaign was community and individual development. "The concept of the community geared towards individual and subsequent development of community is our most basic goal. We have tried to show all that the building of a community entails, and only then to make a personal commitment to this concept."

John Mroz felt that "The issues were pretty chatty. McKenna and his University Senate idea, didn't get the spotlight." Mroz stated that "The overriding issue was who can implement the platform."

Mroz commented on what he felt was the main difference between him and McKenna. "The main difference is implementation. Ted and I have been involved in Hall life, class governments, Alumni, faculty, Student Government and minority enrollment."

A statement released by McKenna on implementation reads: "We feel that the key factor in this campaign is personal commitment. Implementation becomes an empty wor if it is not preceded by strong conviction. We have had that conviction in the past in areas of hall life, academic reform, student rights, and the problems of minority groups. Our platform is consistent with our stand on these issues and expresses our method of implementation."

When asked about the continued on page 2

Kendall endorses McKenna; Losers retreat from SBP spotlight results in a few pointed farewells

Seven of the unsuccessful Student Body Presidential candidates issued statements last night with regard to their defeat in the election. Their statements ranged from observations concerning their campaigns, to endorsements in Friday's election.

The candidates who issued their endorsements last night all backed Phil McKenna. The endorsement, which carried the most weight, was issued by Mike Kendall, who placed third in the race. In his endorsement statement, Kendall said, "The reason I'm endorsing McKenna is that I feel that our philosophies on what the Student Government is all about, coincide if I think we're in agreement of what the Student Life Council is going to evolve into, the University Senate. We also share a belief in co-education and a substantial increase in minority enrollment. McKenna will be more concerned with improving the day to day life of students and not just structural changes."

The other two candidates, who expressed a like in McKenna's idea, were Thomas Buckley and Tim McHugh. Buckley stated, "McKenna's ideas come close to what my runningmate and I wanted in his campaign." "McKenna," McHugh said, "is approaching the students problem on the hall level. Other than that, there's not much to choose between them." John Krasnak, Kevin Smith, and Charlie Brown refused to give any statement as to who they wish to back in Friday's election.

Ed McCarl issued a statement saying, "Tim McHugh, Kevin Smith, and myself are going to set up a loyal opposition. If Student Government starts this alienation again and stirs up another LaFortune elite, we'll be right there to say something about it." McHugh, when questioned about McCarl's statement, said, "There is no formal structure as yet, but we considered issuing a flyer if the Student Government ignores issues pertinent to student life. This will be only for constructive purposes, to make students more aware of what's going on, that is if we think they're getting a bad deal. It's more or less to put student government back on the right track."

In addition to this statement, McHugh also said, "The two major candidates got the gist of what I was saying. I'm happy to see that they come out available in the last three days seeking greater student awareness about student government. I therefore feel that I was successful in my attempt to transmit my platform to the other candidates."

Two other presidential hopefuls, Buckley and Charlie Brown, after learning of thier defeat in the election, offered comments on the election turnout. Tom Buckley stated, "I'm a little disappointed about how many people turned out to vote." Brown stated the hope that maybe his name and campaign brought some to vote who wouldn't have voted at all.

Student Body President Richard Rossie issued an urgent plea last night to all those students who had manned the ballot boxes during today's election asking them if they would also make themselves available for service during Friday's run-off election.
Johnny Dee: "I must move on..."

Dee announces he’ll not renew his contract

Basketball coach Johnny Dee has revealed that he will not seek an extension of his contract when it expires at the end of the 1970-71 season, two years from now.

Dee states that neither the announcement itself nor its timing is related to the past season. "I'm not nearly so disappointed with this term as a lot of people are. This is only the third time in Notre Dame history that a term has won 20 games in consecutive years. And we finished with the number two and three scorers in the school's history," Dee explained.

"This is as far as I want to go with coaching. I've enjoyed it. Guya says, 'Once you're out of it,' you'll miss it. Sure I'll miss it. Hell, if I didn't, it wouldn't have been any fun while I was in it."

"In fairness to my family and to myself, and to Notre Dame, I feel I must move on in two years. You have to do what the situation dictates. I just want a few other things out of life." The 46-year old mentor said he probably will return to coaching in some capacity, if not with Notre Dame law firm. "I've tried to do as much work there as possible each summer, just keeping my foot in the door." In addition, Dee hopes to retain his line of tennis shoes and basketball accessories.

He rejected the notion that his status as a lame-duck coach would alter Notre Dame's basketball situation. "I don't think it will hurt my recruiting any, not any more than it will hurt at Kentucky where a recruit knows Adolph Rupp won't be there next year, or at Butler where they know Tony Hinkle won't be there next year. A high school boy can never be sure of the man who recruits him is the man who will coach him," Dee said.

Dee emphasized that his decision, which has been known to his players for some time, is irrevocable. "I guess I've been thinking subconsciously about it for a couple years," he noted. Dee was hired in March, 1964. Since then he has posted won-loss records of 15-12, 5-21, 14-14, 21-9 and 20-7.

SMC legislation passes

Davis recommendation

Last night the St. Mary's Legislation passed a recommendation submitted by Sally Davis, the present Academic Commissioner, concerning the reorganization of the Academic Commission.

It was recommended that the Academic Commission be restructured to include an Activities Chairman and an Academic Affairs Chairman.

The Academic Activities Chairman would direct all activities such as Free University, Co-ops, Speakers and Galifants. She would be elected by the Student Body as their co-ordinator of student representatives to all committees.

There would also be an Academic Affairs Chairman of the Academic Committees and the advisory board of the Teacher-Course Evaluation Program. The chairman of this assembly would be the Academic Affairs Chairman.

Also discussed at the meeting was the recent Student Activities Committee report. At this meeting the recommendation of the legislature on smoking in Mater Dei was accepted.
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For non-violent studies

Gulf Oil grants $100,000

The Gulf Oil Corporation, Pittsburgh, Pa., yesterday announced a $100,000 unrestricted grant to the University of Notre Dame, which in part will be used to support a student-proposed academic program in the non-violent resolution of human conflict.

Dr. Alex Lewis, Jr., a senior vice president of Gulf and Gulf’s gift was indicative of its concern for the preservation of freedom and innovation as values basic to the life of the university and as an expression of encouragement to Notre Dame’s student Government. In the leadership they have displayed in their efforts to resolve campus conflict without campus violence.

The proposed Department for the Study and Practice of the Resolution of Human Conflict through Non-violence was originated by Charles McCarthy, instructor in the Collegiate Seminar, and 38 campus leaders, last week. Dr. Lewis of Gulf said, “We at Gulf are particularly attracted to this project because it was initiated by students.”

The implementation of the program will be through the curriculum reform currently going on. Father Hesburgh said, “I am convinced of the academic viability of what our students have proposed; the study of non-violence should be a dimension of any contemporary education which is genuinely interested in preparing students for constructive roles in society.”

President Nixon announced from the White House yesterday that Vice President Spiro T. Agnew would take over as chairman of the U.S. Civil Rights Commission. Nixon, who has been on the commission since its inception in 1957, replaces Dr. John Hannah, president of Michigan State University.

“I had planned to retire from the commission this year,”Father Hesburgh said. “However, President Nixon has expressed interest in having the commission continue strongly all its going programs and possibly inaugurate some new ones. More than 5% of the recommendations of the Commission to the President and the Congress have been enacted into Federal Law, and the President and the Congress have been on the commission this year," Father Hesburgh said.

“I am grateful to the President for this opportunity of serving our country and him in an area that has always been of great concern to me personally: equal opportunity for all Americans to enjoy life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness; equal rights for all and equal dignity, too.”
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“I had planned to retire from the commission this year,”Father Hesburgh said. “However, President Nixon has expressed interest in having the commission continue strongly all its going programs and possibly inaugurate some new ones. More than 5% of the recommendations of the Commission to the President and the Congress have been enacted into Federal Law, and the President and the Congress have been on the commission this year," Father Hesburgh said.

“I am grateful to the President for this opportunity of serving our country and him in an area that has always been of great concern to me personally: equal opportunity for all Americans to enjoy life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness; equal rights for all and equal dignity, too.”

Vice-President, Academic Affairs

President, Student Body

Plan announced for nominations for elected positions on St. Mary’s student Government.

The positions to be filled by this election are Student Body President, Student Body Vice-President, Academic Affairs Commissioner, and the Student Representative on the Student Affairs Council.

On Friday, boxes for nominations will be placed in the main hall of the Main Building. After nominations have closed, a list of nominees will be required to write a platform for their intended positions.

These platforms will be placed in the dining hall. If more than two girls seek the same position, primaries will be held to eliminate all but the top two contenders.

An open forum will be held after the primaries. At this time all nominees will be permitted to address the student body.

The final election will be held March 28, and the new government will take over May 1.
The Marrieds: A question of “maturity”

By Ted Price

This is the fourth of a five-part series on the married student at Notre Dame and St. Mary's.

The tangible problems of marriage before graduation, money, and housing and so forth, are the usual arguments against it. But these can usually be worked out by a couple who are determined enough, and rapidly assume a peripheral status to the more basic problem of making the marriage itself work.

Most of the couples interviewed for this series agreed that there was a high degree of maturity required for a younger marriage. However, they did not feel that marriage before graduation was entirely out of the question, or automatically doomed to end in court.

"The first thing you have to take into account," commented a Senior, "is the fact that couples like us have heard all the advice against getting married early, and have still decided to give it a try. We were fully aware of all the rhetoric which had been brought up, and we were not blind to it. But Kathy and I felt that we could make this thing work and were willing to take the chance."

Then it is that because of the point of view of the culture, because of all the panaceas to remain single, that those couples who are getting married know what they are getting into and are therefore better prepared to combat the problems? It would appear so. We should also point out that we are dealing here with a select group of young marrieds—those who are better educated, and presumably better equipped to make their marriages work, than the national average.

But there is still a second factor operating, according to several students. This is that as much as their outlooks changed after they had entered college, marriage provided a still more basic difference in their philosophies.

"I think it all boils down to the question that we've all heard about—that of adjustment," said a first-year grad who was married during his junior year. "Marrying and starting a family is quite a jolt to a person, no matter how much he or she may have prepared for it.

For me, it came shortly after I returned to school with my wife. I'd leave a class with a group of friends and listen to them shoot the breeze, and I began to feel somehow different from them. Yet these were the same people that I had known since I started school. It was me changing, not them."

Another student put it this way: "My friends seem to be much less concerned with things, to that are now so important—I am thinking about raising my daughter and providing a good home for her and my wife. These are problems that they haven't thought about yet. Their biggest problems are where the party is over the weekend."

Married students seem to be much more introspective than others. They are also more honest than one might expect. They refuse to deny that it is over for you. If you want this to be a fifty or sixty year marriage, you can't go along just assuming that it will run itself."

A Senior added, "The most important part of a marriage is the concept of partnership. This is what makes the marriage succeed or fail. Sex is nice, it's there, it's enjoyable, but it all means nothing if the two persons aren't partners, if they can't share each other's problems, and strive for the other's happiness."

Throughout our conversations with the marrieds, the word "maturity" kept popping up as if it were spring-loaded. The couples emphasized maturity rather than chronological age as the key factor in a marriage.

"You have to look at the maturity of the couple, not of the two individuals," said another grad. In other words, the whole is not equal to the sum of the parts. Some couples can marry well at age sixteen. Others who marry at middle age, end up being divorced."

Tomorrow: An interview with Prof. Donald Barrett of the Sociology Department for a look at the socio-cultural problems which confront young marrieds.

ARE YOU THE WINNER OF THIS BEAUTY?

Of course we mean the Polaroid Swinger that was given away Monday, March 10. The Winning Number is 304056

You can claim your prize by presenting the matching stub at the Bookstore any day of the week.

Also, take a chance on another Polaroid to be given away March 31.

VOTE!

SBP RUN-OFF ELECTION

TOMORROW IN THE HALLS
11:30 - 1:00
5:30 - 7:00
OFF CAMPUS OFFICE
BASEMENT LA FORTUNE
9am - 7pm

REMINDER: SENIORS ARE ELIGIBLE TO VOTE