by Cliff Wintrode

Father Edmund Joyce, Executive Vice-President of Notre Dame, publicly confirmed at an afternoon press conference yesterday that the Fighting Irish have accepted an invitation to play in the Cotton Bowl Classic on New Year's Day. Joyce, who is the Southwest Conference commissioner, announced that Notre Dame, following the fateful fall of the University of Southern Mississippi and the University of Arkansas, would be the Southwest Conference representative in the Cotton Bowl.

Joyce explained that money was desperately needed to finance the newly formed ethnic studies program at the University. The lure of the proceeds from a bowl game to finance the program was the primary factor for change of policy. Joyce emphasized, speculation had the money created and Notre Dame's bowl record as the reason for accepting the invitation.
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ND faces Southwest winner in Cotton Bowl

Athletic Director Edward "Moose" Krause and Cotton Bowl representative Field Scovell watch in approval as Rev. Edmund Joyce announces the end of Notre Dame's 44 year bowl absence.

Father Joyce explained that the great boost it would give to the prestige and recruitment program at the University. Joyce emphasized, the additional reasons for accepting the invitation.

Parshagen, who was "delighted and pleased," added that it was "an honor" to coach at Notre Dame where the only incentive is the number one ranking. Joyce said, "many reasons was the decision to accept the Cotton Bowl.

Joyce noted that practices for the game would be held during the vacation period so there would be no harm done to the academic life of the players.

Joyce did say that "nothing is permanent" and that includes the new policy, but he added that the question "has to be and will be considered in the years ahead.

Vice-President of the Cotton Bowl and Chairman of the Selection Committee, Field Scovell, said that Notre Dame's football tradition and fine program plus the quality of the team made the Irish the number one choice of the selection committee.

He added that as soon as Notre Dame indicated that they were interested in a bowl game, the Cotton Bowl immediately had its pipeline in touch with Notre Dame.

Earlier last week Athletic Director Moose Krause and Parshagen both stressed the favor of the Irish going to a bowl. A later statement was released saying that the Board of Trustees along with Father Hesburgh's fifteen minute rule would be respected. Parshagen received notice Sunday that the Cotton Bowl was interested in inviting Notre Dame and had the team vote on the idea Sunday night. Last Tuesday night the team voted to go to a bowl and on Sunday night they voted to go to the Cotton Bowl.

Parshagen said the vote was unanimous, with some violence but the negative votes were cast because some players had already made plans for the Christmas holidays which would have to be interrupted.

Parshagen said that the idea was a "moss in touch with Notre Dame.

The crucial consideration was the urgent need of the University for funds to finance minority student academic programs and scholarships. Notre Dame's share of bowl game proceeds will be dedicated to this pressing University need," he said.

Head Coach Ara Parseghian and Athletic Director Moose Krause also cited the added incentive that a bowl appearance would give to the players and the the Southwest Conference representative.

Joe Paterno, who said the Cotton Bowl would be the second post season appearance in history for the Irish breaking a forty-four year drought dating back to the 1925 Rose Bowl against Stanford. The Irish beat the famed Four Horsemen, won that contest 27-10 to establish their unblemished bowl record.

The CPA held an open meeting last night to determine what action, if any, should be taken held today by Dow Chemical and the CIA. The main question put before the group was whether action taken by the protesters would be effective or non-disruptive. The question of violence vs. non-violence also came up and disruptive tactics were discussed.

The meeting opened with a discussion of the basic principles behind a protest, outlining the role of the CPA, and was new in determining U.S. foreign policy. The meeting then progressed in to a discussion of the various tactics available to those wishing to protest. Those who attended the meeting were divided between the two extremes of removing the representatives bodily or passing out leaflets in front of the door.

Some wanted to confront the university community with facts about the subversive activities of the CIA. Most agreed that the closed interviews would be opened up to permit free discussion, and that the CIA, a unique organization has no place in the university.

Tim MacCarry initiated a motion which would allow no re-routing to take place by any recruiter who was interviewed to be opened up to the public. The motion was passed by a vote of those present.

The discussion then turned to the actual tactics to be used in accordance with this motion. Some of those present advocated a sit-in protest, somewhat like the demonstration held last year by the Dow-CIA interviewers. Others felt that the interviews should be forcibly opened up to the public, or if they were not opened up, ended by the protesters. Also discussed was the possibility of a confrontation with Fr. Hesburgh's fifteen minute rule. CPA leaders tentatively decided that such a confrontation will not be opened up and that the administration is involved by the administration.

No definite action was decided upon, except that there would be some sort of demonstration tomorrow. The meeting adjourned until 11:30 p.m. when definite tactics were to be discussed and decided upon by CPA leaders.

The demonstration is planned for c.o.c.k.-o'-clock in front of the Placement Bureau on the second floor of the Administration building.

CPA debates course of action

by John DiCola

The CPA held an open meeting last night to determine what action, if any, should be taken held today by Dow Chemical and the CIA. The main question put before the group was whether action taken by the protesters would be effective or non-disruptive. The question of violence vs. non-violence also came up and disruptive tactics were discussed.

The meeting opened with a discussion of the basic principles behind a protest, outlining the role of the CPA, and was new in determining U.S. foreign policy. The meeting then progressed in to a discussion of the various tactics available to those wishing to protest. Those who attended the meeting were divided between the two extremes of removing the representatives bodily or passing out leaflets in front of the door.

Some wanted to confront the university community with facts about the subversive activities of the CIA. Most agreed that the closed interviews would be opened up to permit free discussion, and that the CIA, a unique organization has no place in the university.

Tim MacCarry initiated a motion which would allow no re-routing to take place by any recruiter who was interviewed to be opened up to the public. The motion was passed by a vote of those present.

The discussion then turned to the actual tactics to be used in accordance with this motion. Some of those present advocated a sit-in protest, somewhat like the demonstration held last year by the Dow-CIA interviewers. Others felt that the interviews should be forcibly opened up to the public, or if they were not opened up, ended by the protesters. Also discussed was the possibility of a confrontation with Fr. Hesburgh's fifteen minute rule. CPA leaders tentatively decided that such a confrontation will not be opened up and that the administration is involved by the administration.

No definite action was decided upon, except that there would be some sort of demonstration tomorrow. The meeting adjourned until 11:30 p.m. when definite tactics were to be discussed and decided upon by CPA leaders.

The demonstration is planned for c.o.c.k.-o'-clock in front of the Placement Bureau on the second floor of the Administration building.

Plan trip to Dallas

Since the announcement was made Monday that Notre Dame is to meet either Arkansas or Texas in the Cotton Bowl on New Year's Day, January 1, arrangements have been finalized for the Student Trip by Tim MacCarry through the Student Union.

Round trip fare from New York to Dallas back to Chicago will be $169.00. (Bus transportation will be provided and meals were paid for.)

The last time Notre Dame played was with the University.

Round trip fare from Chicago to Dallas back to Chicago will cost $169.00. All plans will depart on each Tuesday, December 30, and will return on Thursday, December 31, and will return Saturday evening, January 3.

The total cost covers airfare, via DC-8 Super Jet Charter, hotel room at the Adolphus Hotel (1322 Commerce St.), bus transportation in Dallas, and a ticket to the game.

Sufficient hotel reservations have been provided for the trip. The number of reservations open is only 500 because of the lack of hotel space and because of the inavailability of charter planes.

Reservations for the Student Trip to Dallas and return will be made on Monday evening, November 24, on a first come, first served basis in the Rathskeller of the LaFontier Student Center commencing at 6:30. A non-refundable deposit of $2.00 will be necessary to make an application for the trip. If there are any questions, call Tim Colby (585) or Jim Rios (720).
Form new group
No application received for University Forum

by Dave Fromm

Student Senate Secretary Ed Hogan said last night that he had not yet received any applications for student positions on the University Forum. He has been accepting student self-nominations in writing for the past week.

Hogan said that the Friday, November 14 deadline established by the senate would probably be extended to a later date.

A five man Senate screening committee will then interview the prospective nominees and report to the senate with a list of not more than twelve names. The committee is composed of senators Ed McCartin, John Tobin, Fred Suffrida, Dave Johnson, and Eric Andrus.

The twelve students listed will be given the opportunity to appear and speak before the Senate. Each senator will then vote for three nominees; the three students with the most votes will then be designated as student representatives to the University Forum.

The Forum will also include representatives from the administration, the faculty, the trustees, the graduate student body, and the alumni.

Student participation in the Forum was authorized by a Senate bill passed at a November 4 meeting. The bill read in part:

"The Student Senate of the University of Notre Dame shall accept the University Forum as proposed in the letter of University President Theodore M. Hesburgh of May 7, 1969. The Student Senate shall review the operation of the Forum at the end of the school year May, 1970 and shall be empowered to decide participation in the Forum for the year 1970-1971 at the end of the school year May, 1970."

The bill continued with a recommendation that the student members report to the student body after each meeting and that they prepare a written critique of the Forum in May, 1970. It mandated that SSB Phil Haley of Graduate House serve as the &quot;Senior Observer&quot; at the Forum. The bill also set up the student election procedures.

The Observer is published daily during the college semester except vacations by the students of the University of Notre Dame and St. Mary's College. Subscriptions may be purchased for $8 from The Observer, Room 11, Administration Bldg., Notre Dame, Ind., 46556. Second class postage paid, Notre Dame, Ind., 46556.

Business Review establishing new format & editorial boards

by Dennis Moody

In the last few weeks, the Business Review, a magazine published once each semester by the students of the College of Business, experienced sweeping changes in its structural organization. Citing the lack of a workable framework in the past, this year's editors have adopted a plan which will add both efficiency and continuity over a period of years.

The central point of their plan is the establishment of a Senior Editorial Board consisting of the four senior editors: Jim Burke, Phil Eglazer, Bill Goodyear, and a Junior Editorial Board of the two junior editors: Pat Bowers and Joe Wennhoff.

Student participation in the Forum was authorized by a Senate bill passed at a November 4 meeting. The bill read in part:

"The Student Senate of the University of Notre Dame shall accept the University Forum as proposed in the letter of University President Theodore M. Hesburgh of May 7, 1969. The Student Senate shall review the operation of the Forum at the end of the school year May, 1970 and shall be empowered to decide participation in the Forum for the year 1970-1971 at the end of the school year May, 1970."

The bill continued with a recommendation that the student members report to the student body after each meeting and that they prepare a written critique of the Forum in May, 1970. It mandated that SSB Phil Haley of Graduate House serve as the &quot;Senior Observer&quot; at the Forum. The bill also set up the student election procedures.

Guard attacked Sun.

by Tom Bornholdt

In addition, the editors drew up a formal set of objectives to serve as guidesposts. These objectives are: 1) To promote a student run, business-oriented magazine, 2) To improve student understanding and awareness of the current business environment, 3) To provide students with an opportunity to express their creative business interests to the rest of the community.

In past years all experience in working on the Review left with the departing Senior editors. However, in the proposed changes, the junior editors will organize the articles for review by the senior editors, who make all final decisions. The following year the Junior Editorial Board, along with two other staff members would make up the Senior Editorial Board and bring to it the necessary experience.

Another change will involve the format of the magazine. Previously, the editors decided a theme for each edition and the entire publication was geared toward this theme. In contrast, this year's editors have established a basic format to be followed in the succeeding issues. The magazine will not focus on one particular segment of the business world but rather will include articles on international, national and community happenings. There will also be various special features such as book reviews, economic forecasts, personal, editorial boards and news from other business schools.

On Wednesday, November 15th, the editors have planned a booth at the University of Notre Dame for the year 1970-1971 to set up a booth in the lobby of the Business Administration Building. The purpose of the booth was to gather student reactions and encourage students to write for the Review. At the present time, the magazine is still lacking in staff writers and the editors hope to encourage any student interested in both business and the idea of writing for a business magazine to take an active part in the Business Review. Members of the Senior and Junior Editorial Boards will be present on Wednesday to talk to interested students.
HPC explores possibilities for hall autonomy

by Jim Graft

The Hall Presidents Council last night discussed what sort of basis is should use in preparing its rationale on hall autonomy. The basic premise behind hall autonomy is that it should help to improve hall life by developing a community within each hall. The presidents thought that students might respect laws more if they are set up by the community rather than by the university.

Gerry O'Shaughnessy of Sardin felt that the judicial system becomes a shame if students don’t feel they helped to form the rules. He also pointed out that there must be understanding among the people in a hall in order for it to function well.

Tim Mahoney of Keenan brought up the question of who would help a hall which had become autonomous when it is faced with a problem that it can’t solve. The council felt that this problem could be best touched by bringing qualified rectors and assistant rectors into the halls. This would be people who are interested in, and capable of helping to form a community within a hall.

Mahoney also pointed out that hall autonomy is a new concept and that it would be necessary to make students aware of what responsibilities they would have.

In the rationale the presidents hope to explain the ideas behind hall autonomy and then list specific problems which the halls should be able to solve themselves. The main problem at the present time concerns parietal signs-in but the presidents also plan to list other more long range problems.

The idea of letting each hall apply for hall autonomy when it feels it is ready for it was brought up. This idea came about as a result of a general consensus that the various halls have different capacities for effective self-government at this time.

Student Representative Ron Maenner told the presidents that the Hall Life Board reviewed the parietal hour violation cases and had exonerated four of the seven halls being reviewed. Holy Cross, Carroll, and Alumni had not yet turned in sign sheets so their cases are still pending.

Cite need for money (Continued from page 1) players signed their votes both on whether to accept a bid and whether to accept a bid to the Cotton Bowl. He said that this was done so he could accurately determine which if any group was either solidly in favor or solidly against making the trip. He pointed out that traveling arrangements and practice schedules have not been determined, and will be left up to a committee of squad members composed from all three classes. However, he added that he would make the final decision.

The request for a change in policy was acted upon favorably by the Faculty Board in Control of Athletics, Father Henrugh, and the Executive Committee of the Notre Dame Board of Trustees. Father Joyce said Notre Dame had received no other bids for a bowl game but had received offers to see if the Irish were interested from other bowl committees. He added that Notre Dame would still have gone to the Cotton Bowl.

Joyce explained that the decision to dedicate the bowl revenue to the ethnic studies program was prompted by the Committee on Financial Aid and Scholarships’ suggestion of the idea last summer.

The revenues are expected to total about $347,000 according to Scovell but the expenses of the trip will have to come out of this sum. Joyce said final decision concerning how the money is to be disbursed have not been made.

Scovell announced that usually ten to fifteen thousand tickets are available to the visiting team but he thought ten thousand would be adequate for Notre Dame. Five hundred have been allocated for a student trip.

Student President John Corboy was prompted by the Com-
Dave Lammers
The right to choose

The ability to say one's own "yes" and one's own "no" and not merely to echo the "yes" and the "no" of state, party, corporation, army or government, and not merely to say what is necessary and fundamental needs of man. The frustration of this deep need by prejillegy, by secular and political, racial and religious, by the great and weighty operation of totalization, has made man stick in the very depths of his being. They have wounded and corrupted his freedom, they have filled man and made man, machined for his own destruction.

Thomas Merton

There is a matter before us which requires our attention and action: the selective conscientious objector amendment to the present draft law. The amendment would change the present draft law to make an exception on the grounds that a particular war is unjust, rather than by total pacifism. It is an essential protection of individual conscience, and it is essential that it pass. Thomas Jefferson included a selective conscientious objector provision in the first draft of the Bill of Rights.

This letter is not meant to deplore every person's duty to judge the war that he is called upon to fight in either just or unjust. Not to make this decision is to surrender your conscience to the legislators, for the legislators not to respect your right to make this decision is tyranny.

So far as I am concerned it is particularly important and relevant to those of us who face the draft system that does not include a selective conscientious objector provision. A man that does not face the draft has no legitimate reason to spend time and energy to protect those of us who one day may be called upon to kill Laotians, Chadians, or even Americans. It is they who will be required to prove that the war is not going to act unless strong pressures are placed upon them by the students around the country, but if the United States, theoretically at least, is based on the rights of the individual, the legislators cannot deny our request for the respect of our conscience in wartime. The fact remains, however, that it is that we who must act, and the question becomes: How will we act?

The question, then, is not the rightness or necessity of the amendment, but whether it is the students who must act, but what can be done?

First, it is obvious that the movement to support this bill must somehow get beyond The Observer and the Notre Dame community, though support from this campus is important. A list has been drawn up of the Senators, Congressmen, syndicated columnists, newspaper editors, and the regional chairman of the Businessmen Against Vietnam. A letter has been drafted and is there a need for people who have some abilities with the keyboard to type up copies somehow get beyond that list, which must be sent by registered mail in order to insure that the Congressman receive it. Girls or guys who would like to help with this should contact Dave at 8907 or stop in and see Dave in 162 Zahm.

What is being needed is for every Congressman to be confronted with the truth that if we are not going to act to prevent violence, we are raping of our deferment and forced to face the prospect of going to war, or system is one of the deepest and most fundamental needs of man. They have wounded and corrupted his freedom, they have filled man and made man, machined for his own destruction.

Lipscomb

The Observer.
by Bro. Patrick Carney

It is with great apology that I say to my typewriter in
han-so that my fellow students may have this in the
next edition of the Observer through this morning.

My type-writer in-fact has been down on tape
since the very day of its in-troduction. I am now
making a new one to take in place of it.

In this new one I have a typewriter which has
entirely re-vamped the type-writer. It is now
an in-fact wonder. It will write up to 12 lines in 1.4
seconds. I am now making a new one to take in
place of it.

In this new one I have a typewriter which has
entirely re-vamped the type-writer. It is now
an in-fact wonder. It will write up to 12 lines in 1.4
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seconds. I am now making a new one to take in
place of it.
HHH takes aim at Agnew

WASHINGTON (UPI) — Dem- ocrats led by former Vice-Pres- ident Hubert H. Humphrey de- nounced yesterday what they termed attempts by the Nixon administration to stifle free speech. They took special aim at Vice-President Spiro T. Agnew, Humphrey said recent state- ments by Agnew and Attorney General John N. Mitchell amounted to a "calculated attack on the very substance of dissent and on the news media."

The Democratic Policy Com- mittee, heading the protest, ap- pointed a task force directed by Leonard Marks, head of the U.S. information agency in the John- son administration, to "monitor attack on or threats to the con- stitutional rights of free speech and free press."

And Mrs. Jo Ann Johnson, a fed- eral communications commis- sioner, said Agnew had frightened broadcast executives and news- men "in ways that may cause serious and permanent harm to independent journalism and free speech."

Last Thursday, Agnew told a Des Moines, Iowa, audience that a "small and un- selected elite" of television news- men had abusing their power over public opinion. He said it was time the networks were held accountable for what they broadcast on the public air waves, and suggested that they themselves attempt to improve the "quality and objectivity" of the news they present.

Cleaving the temple

Today Dow Chemical Co. and the Central Intelligence Agency make a return appearance at the Placement Bureau. Students are faced with a choice this afternoon of going as usual to class, the library or whatever they normally do on Tuesday afternoon, or of participating in a demonstration to protest the presence of recruiters from these two organizations.

There's hardly enough space here to repeat in detail the criticisms of Dow and CIA, points which have been documented many times in the past and which no doubt will be repeated again. Put simply, it comes to this:

Dow, the manufacturer of napalm; burning napalm, used by the U.S. army, is highly effective in burning flesh and destroying concentrations of human beings, including Vietnamese villagers. Less well known is Dow's 'true use' in its capacity as a manufacturer of gas used in Hitler's gas chambers; the use of napalm against Guatemalan guerrillas; and Dow's extensive and profitable foreign holdings. The company's behavior exemplifies two great principles of the American corporate ethic.

(1) Big Brother knows best, or as Agnew put it, "I only followed orders." Dow executives consistently maintain they have no responsibility to decide whether the uses to which their product will be put, or to challenge the government, and no individual or company bears guilt, once Caesar has decided.

(2) Good business is good morals. Some say that profiteering off war manufacturers might be questioned; others go so far as to claim that "we don't want war profiteers." Either way, Dow is the ultimate profiteer. A former Dow executive claims Dow has been its use of labor unions and the NSA to further "Americanism," its successful coup in Guatemala ('54) and Iraq ('53), and its funding of anti-communist forces in Vietnam, etc. The CIA is part of a foreign policy through which the U.S. "defends foreign investment and profits of the corporate elite"

But, the objection goes, aren't recruiters here as part of the process of "opportunities available to you, from graduate school to work." But perhaps the rhetoric of free speech, etc., only covers a more basic reality, and will be ignored when it no longer serves to justify the University's function as a processing center for the kind of "man" who keep operations like Dow and CIA going. This Golden Dome temple is dedicated to the service of God and Truth, yet becomes a "robbers' den," for Caesar's interests. Perhaps there is a lesson here. No antagonism should be wasted on Dow or CIA recruiters. Instead, ask recruiter to open a broader campaign for the kind of "opportunities available to you, from graduate school to work," which does not discriminated against the media alike as an impotent group of effete snobs. At last the "silent majority." We, the pampered youth of the 60's, realize our task is nothing short of a crusade. It is our purpose to realize our task is nothing short of a crusade. It is our purpose to meet our adversary face to face. We
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Letters to the Editor

Swing at Sweeney
Editor
As an "incompetent leader" I read with interest (and extreme mixed emotions) Jeanne Sweeney's column on SMC Student Affairs (Nov. 12). It dealt with our recent decision to suspend student government meetings.

My purpose in writing is not to defend student government; that will only further the purposeless cycle of argumentation that is all too prevalent on our campuses. Instead, I would like to suggest that the crux of the problem lies in a lack of responsibility on all sides.

Initially, the student assembly has been unsuccessful this year because it has failed to redefine its goals and purpose for existence. As the last sentence of the Observer's article on the SMC government suspension stated, "Student Affairs concerns itself with social rules." and, of course, there are very few regulations left to change. By endorsing the Black Collegiate Women's Association and the War Moratorium and studying the situation of the maids, for instance, we were on the right track toward concerning ourselves with moral, vital issues. But we failed in our responsibility to follow these up, and other points, with concrete action.

Secondly, some members of the faculty, administration, and Board of Trustees fail in their responsibility to recognize the position of St. Mary's in an environment and era that promotes change, freedom, and individual thought and act. The classification of slacks as "beach attire", and the rejection of student government's proposal for off-campus housing by the Board of Trustees are two indications of the type of thinking blocking action by student government.

Additionally, the student body as a whole is at fault. If the SMC "leaders" are incompetent, the students shouldn't have elected them, but instead encouraged and voted for "real" leaders. And, if they are concerned with getting down to just issues, why don't they let us know? As it is now, soliciting an opinion on anything constructive or worthwhile is a major accomplishment. The number of "I don't care!" we've all received would fill a journal. Many students are involved in extremely valuable pursuits, such as tutoring. However, the majority are concerned almost exclusively with whom they're dating (although whom someone else isn't dating and why is often more intriguing), and how they look. Proof? One meal in the dining hall with ears open. Finally, a student assembly meeting. She would also feel that you can avoid misquoting me. If you do not read the quotes before you go to print, then please allow me to hope that in the future you will misquote me. If you do not feel that you can avoid misquoting me, then please allow me to represent my concern in these areas. I do not consider either the dining hall issue or the campus security issue "trite". In fact, I consider the latter one of the more important issues facing us. This particular article was not the first time that I, or a member of my cabinet, has been misquoted. I have spent much time with the Observer reporters, I have repeated sentences, clarified misconceptions, etc., to insure accurate reporting. Yet the only times that I am quoted accurately seem to be when a reporter heaves a tape recorder. I do not appreciate being misrepresented in the media. Although your sensationalism, has at times been the cause for such misrepresentation, what you choose to emphasize in your paper is your option. However, I hope that in the future you will not misquote me. If you do not feel that you can avoid misquoting me, then please allow me to represent my concern in these areas. I do not consider either the dining hall issue or the campus security issue "trite".

I mention these matters to clarify my concern in these issues of the dining halls and the campus in conjunction with their Criminology Course, I offered him any aid that student government might be able to provide.
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Secondly, some members of the faculty, administration, and Board of Trustees fail in their responsibility to recognize the position of St. Mary's in an environment and era that promotes change, freedom, and individual thought and act. The classification of slacks as "beach attire", and the rejection of student government's proposal for off-campus housing by the Board of Trustees are two indications of the type of thinking blocking action by student government.

Additionally, the student body as a whole is at fault. If the SMC "leaders" are incompetent, the students shouldn't have elected them, but instead encouraged and voted for "real" leaders. And, if they are concerned with getting down to just issues, why don't they let us know? As it is now, soliciting an opinion on anything constructive or worthwhile is a major accomplishment. The number of "I don't care!" we've all received would fill a journal. Many students are involved in extremely valuable pursuits, such as tutoring. However, the majority are concerned almost exclusively with whom they're dating (although whom someone else isn't dating and why is often more intriguing), and how they look. Proof? One meal in the dining hall with ears open. Finally, a student assembly meeting. She would also feel that you can avoid misquoting me. If you do not read the quotes before you go to print, then please allow me to hope that in the future you will misquote me. If you do not feel that you can avoid misquoting me, then please allow me to represent my concern in these areas. I do not consider either the dining hall issue or the campus security issue "trite". In fact, I consider the latter one of the more important issues facing us. This particular article was not the first time that I, or a member of my cabinet, has been misquoted. I have spent much time with the Observer reporters, I have repeated sentences, clarified misconceptions, etc., to insure accurate reporting. Yet the only times that I am quoted accurately seem to be when a reporter heaves a tape recorder. I do not appreciate being misrepresented in the media. Although your sensationalism, has at times been the cause for such misrepresentation, what you choose to emphasize in your paper is your option. However, I hope that in the future you will not misquote me. If you do not feel that you can avoid misquoting me, then please allow me to represent my concern in these areas. I do not consider either the dining hall issue or the campus security issue "trite".
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The Notre Dame department of Interhall Athletics will hold round two of its annual Intramural Week this Wednesday at 4:00 p.m. It will be held in the Boxing Room at McCarty Hall and all the games will be run by Stu Co.