Hoover dead at 77...

Primary Results...

a good administrator

Hesburgh rebuts letter charges

by Mike Baum

Assembled members of the faculty and administration last night heard University President Fr. Theodore M. Hesburgh announce that he was entering a period of serious crisis, endemic to the total cause of American higher education.

Speaking at the annual President’s Dinner, Fr. Hesburgh addressed himself to issues raised in two recent faculty letters concerning the administration of the University.

Stating that as president of the University, “there are two things I must do,” Fr. Hesburgh said he wanted “to assure all of you that we have come even to the point where contentious advice is not welcome or can be given only in fear.”

Secondly, Fr. Hesburgh said, “If I must be against anything it is that which polarizes this community.” Citing the “period of serious crisis” in higher education in this country, Fr. Hesburgh said, “The worst thing that can happen to us during this crisis is to become polarized. The moment we become disillusioned - at that moment we are finished.”

Reading from his general letter to faculty members, which will be received this week, Fr. Hesburgh stated that he was not “at this time joining issue” with either the first letter, or the reply to it. He noted the current revision of the Faculty Manual, at present in committee. The manual will be discussed extensively by the full Academic Council in the fall, said Fr. Hesburgh, and he felt this “the appropriate time and place for this discussion.”

Carnegie Report

Enclosed with the letter, will be a copy of the Carnegie Commission report on the state of higher education, dealing with a university’s “most efficent use of resources.” In this report, according to Fr. Hesburgh such recent steps as the guidelines on tenuring faculty, and the regulations on teaching hours are “minimal proposals for the survival of any institution.” The report is being given research to the faculty members, and has not yet been released for publication.

“The fate of higher education is pretty dismal unless the universities are able to discipline themselves,” Fr. Hesburgh said, adding, “only with flexibility, strength and humanity can we survive.”

Remarking that he wished to “put things in better perspective”, Fr. Hesburgh noted that recent attacks centered about the Provost, Fr. James T. Burtchaell. “May we discuss principles, not people, and facts, not impressions,” he said.

Reading from his general letter to faculty members, and remarked, “The trouble with this job is that you do all the nasty things publicly, and all the nice things quietly.”

“Good administrator should be the major initiator of policy,” Fr. Hesburgh said, “Policy should be put before a body to be ‘tested and tempered.’”

Most Chauvinistic

Commenting on other events, Fr. Hesburgh said of the merge attempt, “We thought it was a good thing to try to do, we all approached it in good will - it somehow didn’t work out.” On coeducation, he commented, “I think it is a marvelous thing to be happening here. This is by all odds one of the most most chauvinist institutues in the world. It is not going to be easy to turn it around. I appeal all of you to let behind this and make it work.”

Fr. Hesburgh also announced an upcoming report of the University of several honorary degrees, the most familiar name on the list being, perhaps, Vice-President for Special Projects Sr. Alma Peter.

Fr. Hesburgh cited Sr. Alma as a woman of “great courage and great integrity, somewhat maligned by the media here at the University...a person perhaps hurt more by our failure (at merger) than anybody else.”

The President’s address was preceded by a brief talk in praise of the faculty by Edmund Stephan, Chairman of the Board of Trustees, and by the announcement of faculty promotions for 1972 by the Provost.
**Coeducation report coming**

The report of the Advisory Committee on Coeducation, designed to cover everying from renovations in Badin and Walsh Halls to "role models for women," is due for release later this week or early next week, according to committee chairman Sr. Elena Malita, C.S.C.

The report, originally scheduled for May 1, but according to Sr. Elena, "as the committee got more and more into its work, it found its scope broadening, and the report became more than what we had originally envisioned."

The committee was appointed by University Provost Rev. James T. Burtchaell, C.S.C. shortly before Easter vacation. Since then, it has worked in three major areas affected by coeducation. These areas correspond to the three subcommittees established by the committee, and include orientation, counseling and personnel, and residence hall renovation.

The committee will report to Fr. Burtchaell "hopefully this Friday," according to Sr. Elena. Publication of the report will probably not come until next Monday, although the committee will meet with Alumni representatives at the annual Alumni Senate meeting this Friday.

Student members of the committee include Bob Higgins, Hall 1 Life Commissioner under Former Student Body President John Barkett, Barkett himself and his vice-president Orlando Rodriguez, Saint Mary's Student Affairs Commissioner Barbara Kieneman, Mrida Ene, a Notre Dame Law student, and Ed Ellis, Research and Development Commissioner under Barkett and now Observer Associate Editor.

Administrators include Dr. Robert Ackerman, assistant dean of students, and Brother Kieran Ryan, C.S.C., assistant vice-president for business affairs.

Mrs. Walter Roberts and Sister Leon Bernard are areas women interested incoeducation who serve in a consultative capacity, and the Notre Dame Faculty member in the group is Dr. Ellen Ryan, assistant professor of Psychology at Notre Dame.

According to Higgins, "we have been put under terrific time limitation, being given six weeks to do what should have started six months ago." Higgins said that, given the circumstances, the report will be "pretty good."

Sr. Elena commented that "no committee has tried to reach a consensus in all its recommendations. We think we've been successful."

The subcommittees dealt with both specific problems and general philosophy of coeducation. Their reports have been correlated over the past week and are currently being prepared for Fr. Burtchaell.

Regarding the report as a whole, Ellis said, "A lot of work on the part of a lot of people went into this report, and I think we've been able to analyze both the problems and the potentials as well as anyone could, give the time limitations we're under. I hope the Provost sees fit to implement our suggestions."
Hoover died naturally; ruled FBI for 48 years

Washington, May 3 - J. Edgar Hoover, who directed the Federal Bureau of Investigation for 48 years and became a symbol of government and controversial force in American law enforcement, died last night from the effects of high blood pressure.

Hoover, who at 77 years of age still held the F.B.I. firmly within his control, died in his bedroom at the Capitol Rotunda - an honor accorded to only 20 persons in the past.

There was no dates for public viewing or for funeral services have been set. His flattened nose was the result of a hard-hit baseball although he brought twinges ever after.

For this reason, there was speculation today that President Nixon may not name a successor until after the November election, or at least until the current Senate stands over. Congress and Kleinidstein's nomination has ended.

In 1968, Congress made the directorship of the F.B.I. subject to Senate confirmation. The F.B.I. has not offered the Senate judiciary committee an opportunity to delve into such things as the F.B.I.'s secret dossier on individuals, its surveillance of civil rights groups, or its new computerized criminal record data bank, when the committee holds hearings on the nominee.

Hoover's body will be taken to the administration building, and will lie in state until Thursday. Arrangements for the funeral will be completed today, but it was learned that President Nixon will deliver the eulogy at 11 a.m. Thursday at the national cemetery near Anacostia, where the body was cremated.

It was learned today that an acting director will be named. Hoover's replacement has been closely identified with the F.B.I. director.

The appointment is made by the President and requires confirmation by the Senate. The acting director will assume command of the F.B.I. today as its second-ranking official, the acting director until the Attorney General orders otherwise.

John Edgar Hoover was born in Washington on New Year's Day in 1902, the youngest of three children of Dickerson N. Hoover, an easy-going federal official, and the first Consul General in America. Mrs. Hoover, who has been described as "old-world strict," instilled in her son an intense moral code. By all accounts, she was the dominant influence on his character.

His flattened nose was the result of a hard-hit baseball although he brought twinges ever after.

In his disappointment, young Hoover turned all the more into the school's military drill team, of which he became captain, and al talk and in the official agitation that would win re-election to a second term. If the Democrats should win in 1972, it had been considered that Hoover would be replaced.

Among those close to Nixon who have often been mentioned as potential successors to Hoover in the Justice Department is Attorney General William D. Ruckelshaus, a former F.B.I. agent.

Although the University of Virginia offered him a Liberal Arts scholarship, Hoover feared his living expenses would be a burden on his father. Instead, he took a $30-a-month clerk's job at the Library of Congress, where he was able to win his law degree in three years.

His flattened nose was the result of a hard-hit baseball although he brought twinges ever after.

The appointment is made by the President and requires confirmation by the Senate. The acting director will assume command of the F.B.I. today as its second-ranking official, the acting director until the Attorney General orders otherwise.

The appointment is made by the President and requires confirmation by the Senate. The acting director will assume command of the F.B.I. today as its second-ranking official, the acting director until the Attorney General orders otherwise.

Wilson mentioned as possible successor to Hoover is Justice Byron R. White, who is now a Supreme Court Justice. Others mentioned in recent occasions about the battle against crime in Washington that both have characterized as successful.

Chairman John C. Doolittle, former assistant director of the FBI and now an executive of the Pepsi-Cola Corporation.

Terry, 77, whose tenure as chief of the Selective Service System has apparently cooled some of the draft-opposition that surrounded his predecessor, Gen. Lewis Hershey.

Woods is the brother of Rosemary Woods, Nixon's long-time private secretary. He stepped down as Cook County (Chicago) sheriff to become a county commissioner.

Fletcher, 60, a conservative who is sheriff of Los Angeles County and a former FBI agent.

Other than those men mentioned at one time or another as possible successors to Hoover - Wilson, Nixon, the White House on several occasions to discuss the situation with other law enforcement officials, including the FBI, Justice and the Treasury Department. Hoover's successor has been closely identified with the F.B.I.

President Appointments

President Nixon has named the acting director until the Attorney General orders otherwise.

President and his predecessors have been identified as possible successors to Hoover in the Justice Department. None of those mentioned as possible successors to Hoover has been closely identified with the F.B.I.

President Nixon has named the acting director until the Attorney General orders otherwise.

President and his predecessors have been identified as possible successors to Hoover in the Justice Department. None of those mentioned as possible successors to Hoover has been closely identified with the F.B.I.

President Nixon has named the acting director until the Attorney General orders otherwise.

President and his predecessors have been identified as possible successors to Hoover in the Justice Department. None of those mentioned as possible successors to Hoover has been closely identified with the F.B.I.
McGovern Humphrey locked in Ohio race

by Douglas E. Kneeland

c. 1972 New York Times

Columbus, Ohio - Senators Hubert H. Humphrey of Minnesota and George McGovern of South Dakota were locked in a tight race late tonight in a presidential primary contest that was marred by serious delays and confusion.

But as of late tonight in a presidential primary contest that was marred by serious delays and confusion.

The count of the lengthy paper ballots, on which potential delegates to the National Convention in Miami Beach would be listed under the names of the candidates to whom they are pledged, was proceeding slowly.

Hours after most of the polls had closed and as late as two weeks, some votes from small towns and rural areas were still being counted.

When 93 percent of the state's 4,799 precincts had reported, Humphrey was leading McGovern by 242,860 votes, or 12 percent.

Humphrey won a slight, narrow victory in populous Cuyahoga County, which contains most of the Democratic vote.

McGovern and Humphrey were running neck-and-neck.

The mix-ups at the polling places were fueling some fears of a repeat of the troubles wrought by the machines.

With 3,037 of Ohio's 12,648 precincts reporting, the tally on all large was Humphrey - 916,233, McGovern - 832,356, Jackson - 78,036, and McCarthy - 5843.

The Minnesota Senator tonight was leading in seven districts having 30 delegates and Humphrey in five with 24 delegates.

But a few areas, such as Toledo and Cincinnati, McGovern delegates continued to press for the election of the candidates at large and district slates, though the Governor ceased campaigning.

Humphrey for assertedly having failed to make his stand on the issues clear.

Both candidates were running neck-and-neck in most of the state's 11 Congressional districts, with whom they are pledged.

McGovern, who originally had not planned to report all his numbers, has devoted all his time since the Massachusetts primary to campaigning in neighboring Ohio.

Humphrey, who was confronting Gov. George C. Wallace of Alabama in Indiana today, split his time between Ohio and the neighboring Hoosier state, but will manage a strong effort here.

With more than a million labor votes and more than a million blacks in Ohio both candidates have directed most of their energies to union members and a million traditionally Democratic blocs.

For McGovern this represented an attempt to cut deeply into what has generally been considered Humphrey strongholds.

It was apparent early in the Ohio race that the shape of the Democratic Presidential contest here would not be discernible until after the crucial primaries in Massachusetts and Pennsylvania, which took place in late May.

Apathy was widespread, and by the accounts of all camps the undecided vote was extremely high.

After Humphrey withdrew from active campaigning following decisive defeat in Massachusetts and Humphrey Pennsylvania, the confusion multiplied.

What had been expected to be a three-man race suddenly became essentially a two-way contest. Left stranded without an active candidate were Gov. John J. Gilligan and most of the other prominent Democrats in the state, who had been on Humphrey's delegate lists.

Humphrey defeated Wallace in Indiana

by Seth S. King

c. 1972 New York Times

Indianapolis - Sen. Hubert H. Humphrey won a slight, narrow victory over Governor George C. Wallace in the Indiana Presidential Primary last night.

The Senator, who is running for the third time, was winning at least 49 of Indiana's 74 districts on the first ballot. Wallace was leading six of the 11 Congressional districts, which would give him 27 delegates.

With 79 percent of the state's 4,400 precincts reporting, Humphrey had 223,091, or 48 percent; Wallace had 212,079, or 47 percent; Muskie had 69,008, or 12 percent.

The Alabama Governor's percentage of the total vote was the highest he has held anywhere in the state and was as large as he won in Florida.

From the trend developing with more than half the ballots counted, Humphrey appeared to be running well in greater Indianapolis, though Wallace carried a slightly larger number in some Indiana suburbs, where the possibility of busing school children has been a disturbing problem.

Wallace, as was expected, was running well in the Lake County area. But as of late tonight, with its large black population, the vote had not been counted yet and Mr. Humphrey should draw very well from that area.

The mix-ups at the polling places were fueling some fears of a repeat of the troubles wrought by the machines.

McGovern's withdrawal last week from active campaigning left many regular Democrats only with the incentive of uniting behind Humphrey to block a Wallace victory.

Despite the distraction of campaigning in neighboring Ohio, which also held its presidential primary today, Humphrey has managed to cover about as much of Indiana as Wallace has in the last two weeks.

Although busing of school children is a highly emotional issue in Indianapolis and Evansville, and local taxes are as burdensome in Indiana as anywhere else in the country, these questions have dominated the campaign.

Humphrey sought to capitalize on the nation's inability to solve the war in Vietnam to what he termed decisively.

All students interested in working for Saga Food Service next fall:

We are taking applications. Please bring your new class schedule to the office at St. Mary's Dining Hall.
Alumni Hall president Butch Ward has announced his intention to release to the University his hall’s proposed plan for coeducational living. Ward said that the report has been in the works since last October, when he, Hon. Poe, past president of the hall, and Dr. Forrest F. Dennis Madden began work on what would eventually become a definitive page document.

The proposal was originally meant to be an experiment to be conducted this semester, but became increasingly limited and also because of the fact that the sponsors of the plan felt that they should “sound out” some members of the Administration and faculty. Consequently, the proposal is instead being suggested for next year. Concerning the sounding out, Ward said that the primary purpose was to get new opinions and ideas on the plan. He further said that he and his co-workers were not out to shake the University; rather, they want it to be considered seriously. The president said, “We’re not out to make noise; we wanted to present the Administration and the Board of Trustees with a definitive plan which they could take seriously.”

Ward also said that perhaps one of the reasons that the University might oppose coeducational living is that they might feel it is coming too soon, that it is going straight from incorporating women to living with them. Of this, Ward said that the hall has already taken steps to insure a type of “middle steps” which would entail the establishment of orientation committees to begin to acculturate some women to the prospect of living with men. “By working with the Notre Dame counseling department, we could put women on our social and academic committees and hold symposiums to show women just exactly what it is to live with men. And vice versa — it would also give Alumni Hall men an opportunity to see what coeducational living entails,” Ward added.

The “salt and pepper” arrangement includes room-by-room changes that would have to be made in the event that coeducational living comes about. Reasons for this arrangement include the possibility of grades dropping, the possibility of promiscuity that could develop, selfishly use each other, but rather to grow and learn form each other. We want to share our university community...” It also cites other reasons of coeducational living where promiscuity did not develop; instead, a type of “family” arrangement “breather-state relationships developed instead of sexual ones.

As for the matter of the possibility of grading dropping, the report cites cases where the students’ grade-point averages went up throughout the entire coed hall.

Ward expressed the hope that this report has brought the University “perhaps four years closer to coeducational living than it was.”

WHAT’S HAPPENING THIS WEEKEND

If there’s one time you need a friend...

...It’s when you seek employment. That’s our business. When you are seeking employment or a step-up from your present job, visit us. You’ll benefit. Because withal 100 people understand your problem. And can do something about it. That’s the way. Do something about it. Look us up in the White Pages. Now. We’re certain you’ll make a friend. And so will we.

Sneilling-Sneilling

World’s Largest Professional Employment Service

Call 674-8384

Notre Dame Poets READING readings by students:

Rick Fitzgerald, Dan O’Donnell, Cathy Wolfe, Mary Pat Quinlan, John Coury, Gary Robinson, Jim Wilson, and Eileen Dugan
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LIBRARY AUD.,

LIQUORS
BEER
FOOD
WINE
DANCING
TAKE-OUT & PIZZA

403 N: 2nd St.
Niles, Mich.
Phone 683-9783

GRAND OPENING
All ND & SMC Students Welcomed
Have the SLC vote in September

The upcoming SLC elections have been called off. The obviously (and painfully) apparent reason is that only two of the new election districts have an actual race. Districts V and VI of the six divisions are the races contested.

It would be a mockery of the entire election process to ballot when four people (out of the eight of which the commission will be composed) are running uncontested.

Better to hold the elections three weeks after the next term begins. This will not only give more time for the possible candidates to consider issues and plans, but it will facilitate in better representation in that it will allow incoming freshmen and the women who will be living here next year to take an active role in the elections for the Student Life Commission.

In addition, since Bob Kersten has proposed a plan which will greatly limit the number of people who take part in the running of Student Government, this election takes on tremendous importance. The eight people elected assume effective control of Student Government. They should be elected at a time when the entire student body can take an effective part in their election.

Since March 1, games have been played with, and at the expense of student government. A point must be reached where the people playing those games come to the realization that they are playing with real people and situations, not chess pieces on a board. To have the elections for commissioners now would only prolong the game. Hopefully, some type of effective reality in the running of student government can be reached by next year.

John Abowd
Don Hopfer

John Abowd
Editor-in-chief
Don Ruane
Executive Editor
Dan Thorlton
Business Manager
Ann Druceker
Advertising Manager

Editorials printed in The Observer reflect the opinion of the writer, on behalf of the editorial board. Columns reflect the opinion of the individual writer; they are not to be taken as editorial comment.
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The voice of the Observer is heard across the Atlantic!

While the signs of the letter entitled "The Future of Notre Dame" (which appeared in your issue of March number) include many words, I consider Notre Dame's finest faculty members and several of my friends. I am still compelled to register my complete disagreement with the thesis of that letter.

This thesis is a number that Notre Dame is converging toward a Fourth Reich whose Hitler will be the Rev. James T. Hesburgh, C.S.C. (now merely Provost!)-although none of us is familiar with the academic tradition of obliqueness Burt-who it is that will be the Rev. James T. Provost's recent decree of the "new thesis by the nearly anonymous writer include many whom I consider Notre Dame-Saint Mary's community, who would be willing to assist if called upon. Utilizing such assistance would be one concrete step forward.

I'm sure that everyone here at Notre Dame faculty and students will have something to offer and something to gain during this transitional period. We can face this new opportunity, as we have faced so many others, as a community. We'll never quite be the same again, but hopefully, better—live a difference.

(3) The introduction by the Provost of the mandatory course evaluation system which will allegedly "corrupt good teaching" the Gestapo system. I fail to see how the information obtained from these student evaluations can be anything but beneficial and I have much more faith in the ability of students to render a fair and accurate judgment. In fact, I cannot imagine how if my faculty colleagues could sign a letter which alleged that "One's preparation for class or one's knowledge of his scholarship in his field can be easily faked," a claim which is entirely contrary to all my experience.

(4) The alleged adoption by subordinate administrators of the "The Observer's" spirit of 'the Observer' as super-man.' If as the

Continued on page 12)
Hoover checked radicals, KKK

(Continued from page 3)

Hoover took charge of architecting a complex "typewriter" of radicals, and built his first informer network. He was determined to rebuild the Bureau after the image of Scotland Yard. But, as his director, a man experienced in police work that he used with brutality are more to be relied upon than skill and special training."

Stone held the appointive power, offered him the job. But Hoover, who was then only 29 years old, did not leap at what was unmistakably the chance of a lifetime.

With confidence and cunning that were very much in character, Hoover reportedly said that he would accept the assignment only if Hoover's extraordinary record of innovation and modernization in law enforcement - most of it in the first and modernization in law enforcement - most of it in the first...
The observer

24 Policemen indicted in New York City

by Morris Kaplan

(c) 1972 New York Times

New York - Three police sergeants, 29 patrolmen and one
patrolwoman were arrested and suspended from the police
department today after they were
indicted and accused of taking a
quarter of a million dollars in
payoffs to protect gamblers linked
to the Public Morals Squad.

The arrests under what was
called the largest single in­
dictment ever handed up here
against members of the police
force followed by a day the suicide
of a police lieutenant under in­
dictment ever handed up here.

Billings P. McCarthy in an­
dicting and accused of taking a
$15,000 and $20,000 a year.

The promotions in the case were
indicted and accused of taking a
which involved 40
jailors and bookmakers.

The lieutenants, Fletcher
Hueston, would have been in
charge of the Public Morals
Squad of the 13th division in
Brooklyn, the unit to which each of
the individuals named in the in­
dictment had been assigned during
some portion of the past 18 months.

King County District Attorney
Eugene Gold, who was joined by
Deputy Police Commissioner
William F. McGarry and Patrolmen
George F. Savin and Jim Jendryk.

Eugene Gold said that each of the
patrolmen allegedly implicated in
the operation that involved 40
jailors and bookmakers had profited
by about $10,000 a year,

Fernandos voted Pan Am head

The Pan American club elected
Juan Carlos Femando president
at its final meeting this year.

Juan Carlos Femando was honored for 25 years of
service at Notre Dame.

Eight faculty members
granted emeritus status

The promotion to emeritus (retired) status of eight faculty members at the University of Notre Dame who have a combined
total of 232 years of service to the
University was announced yesterday by Rev. Theodore
Heinburg, C.S.C., President.

Also honored at the annual
President's dinner in the North
dining hall were the promotion of
six members of the teaching and
research faculty to the rank of
professor, 11 to the rank of associate
professor, one to assistant professor, and two
promotions on the library faculty.

In addition, nine faculty members were honored for 25 years of
service.

Named to emeritus status were
Dr. Andrew J. Boyles, dean of Freshman Year of Studies and professor of chemistry; Dr. A. J. Harmon, C.S.C.,
professor of history and law; Bernard B. Finnin, associate professor of accounting; Louis L. Haidy, professor of English;
Rev. Edmund J. Murray, C.S.C., assistant professor of history; Dr. Gerhard Himmen, professor of government and international
studies, Mr. Louis Sobolinski, professor of philosophy, and Dr. R. C. Talacock, associate professor of mathematics.

Fernando voted on behalf of

The club created the post of
committee coordinator to direct
the activities of the regular
committee.

"'Hello, Brutus? I
won't be back in town for
the senate meeting today.'

When you're delayed, let the folks at home know with a long
distance call. Who knows . . . the call could change the course of
your life. It costs so little when you dial direct. For example,
$1.00 . . .  and you can dial the same
call direct for less than $1.00.
Eric Kinkopf

Good night Mrs. Calabash...

[Continues with a series of unrelated text fragments, likely from different sources or topics, such as sports, literature, and more, not fully legible due to the nature of the content extracted.]
Provost not faultless

(Continued from page 8)

securing a chair, the dean of Faculties, and his dean are aping the style of the Provost, and if that style is dictatorial, and his voice of the Notre Dame faculty is in their positions of authority, be removed as speedily as possible from their positions of authority.

But one fault which I absolutely insist on, that of his "friends" who "dissuaded" him (from risking, on today's cant, an open disclosure of (his) views." Lest any

The Provost not being set aside, I affirm my agreement with the underlying line of reasoning, namely that the voice of the Notre Dame faculty is in sufficiently strong in matters of University policy to justify the faculty's view that the Provost's regrettfully the benefit the faculty itself. For instance, the Academic Council which under the provisions of the Faculty Manual is the prime instrument for regulating the academic life of the University is a moribund body simply because the lack of the faculty members of this body do no homework in preparation for its meetings. For several years, I served on the Steering Committee of the Academic Council. During this period, all save one of the legislative items proposed by the Steering Committee were accepted to be speedily and unreasonably. And when a few members of the Steering Committee had necessarily done a good deal of work while preparing the legislation and were able quite easily to account for objections of students and the faculty.

The above fantasies being set aside, I now shall state that his (a) brash, (b) overbearing, (c) dictatorial manner is the latter characteristic is the one I believe has had such a detrimental effect within the faculty. While I think the Provost not faultless, I believe that he can be more faultless since his superpower status is assured.

The observer