Theodore Hesburgh, University president, has no regrets.

Tony Frank, administration editor for the University, expresses regret over the University's role in the Vietnam War. However, he emphasizes that the University should not be held responsible for the actions of its students.

The SLC Members have not been officially informed of the veto as of yesterday night but most of those contacted were surprised. Reactions varied as to whether the Council could agree on a rule acceptable to Hesburgh as to the veto's effect on the current debate over charges that the SLC is ineffective.

Background

For several years preceding 1973 there was no explicitly stated sex rule. Partially in response to the Lewis Hall incident in which a foreign graduate student was expelled for having a male guest staying in her dorm, the student Council included a sex rule in the revision of the regulations. The rule, which continues to apply, states the University's philosophy on human sexuality and concludes by saying "The University believes that sex should be shared within the context of marriage."

This rule was applied in the Dillon case and the suspension of the female involved was prolonged.

Opposition to the rule on the grounds that it was vague and applied with excessive strictness led to an initial revision setting expulsion from the campus community as the maximum penalty.

Hesburgh vetoed this last fall on grounds of ambiguity. Hesburgh expressed special argument that Charles Goodell, chairman until that time. This was the same lack of communication and publicity up to that time. This was the same lack of communication and publicity up to that time.

Hesburgh observed. He said the small number of cases due to the lack of communication and publicity up to that time. This was the same lack of communication and publicity up to that time.

This was the same lack of communication and publicity up to that time.
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Hesburgh discusses amnesty

(continued from page 1)

It restores a man's civil rights and allows him to obtain licenses and enter trades and profession before barred to him. But only by coming forward and applying can such individuals become self-supporting again,” Hesburgh said.

The board's limited success so far is contrasted to Truman's clemency board after World War II by the University president. "Out of 15 million men who came home in uniform, only 15 thousand cases were reviewed and only 1000 pardons granted,” he noted.

Too Time Consuming

For his own part, Hesburgh did require so much time. "On the average, we put 4 or 5 hours of review into each case," he estimated. "This amounts to twice the time I had allotted when I first accepted the position." Although he will not confirm it, Hesburgh indicated that he may have to withdraw from the board sometime during the next months. Besides university responsibilities, he also actively heads up the Overseas Development Council on world hunger.

Gold Rush '75

Mardi Gras begins tonight

by Dan Reimer
Staff Reporter

Mardi Gras, Gold Rush '75 will begin tonight, festivities tonight at 6 p.m. with activities running until 2 a.m. Twenty-four booths will be in operation, sponsored by various hall and clubs.

The Mardi Gras committee has scheduled entertainment throughout the week, including several bands, a free concert, and a karate exhibition, which Thursday night Committee Chairman Sullivan said "will present N.D.'s answer to David Carradine--The KRANG Do." Bands scheduled to play this weekend are: Wind, appearing Friday and Saturday nights, and Smoke on Sunday evening. The bands will begin playing about 9 p.m. according to Sullivan. A special concert will be given by folk artist Steve Goodman on Thursday night beginning at 6:30 p.m.

The traditional raffle did poorly this year according to Sullivan. "We received a very poor response and we were bitterly disappointed," said Sullivan. He explained that not one hall on campus had sent their quota in selling tickets.

Most of the booths will feature poker and blackjack, and the procedure which has been taken against cheating. "We will have a security force on hand at all times," warned Sullivan, "and any dealer or player caught cheating will be turned over to Dean of Students Machera. "Booths are responsible for their own conduct and will be subject to fines or suspension for any violations."

Declining to make a definite forecast about this year's Mardi Gras, Sullivan said, "We all worked hard and I certainly hope that it will be a success."

Admission prices on Fridays, Saturdays and Thursday will be one dollar. On the remaining days admission will be 75 cents.

Milano's Pizza

412 N. Hill Street
(next to Simari's Bar)
open 4 pm daily 287-2891
10 % off with this ad

Uncle Willie's

Fatal Glass of Beer
daily specials:

Mon-Thurs 12-6 pm
1 frosted mug 25¢
50¢ sandwiches:
hamburgers cheeseburgers polish sausage kosher dogs

TUESDAY & Pitcher Night
SUNDAY
pitcher $1.25
Fri. & Sat. noon-6 pm
hotdogs 10¢

These Specials Every Week
Ford extends deadline on amnesty

WASHINGTON (UP) — President Ford extended Thursday a 30,000-year-old amnesty program for evading military service during the Vietnam War.

The program had been scheduled to expire Friday, but because of the rush of applications in recent weeks, President Ford extended the deadline to March 1.

Ford said Thursday that he has received 1,200,000 applications so far, and that he expects to receive an additional 400,000 in the next few weeks.

“Evading the draft is a serious offense, and I take this matter very seriously,” President Ford said in a statement.

Owners of a used Volkswagen Repair, a new and used car dealership, said they would be happy to extend the deadline.

“The deadline extension is a welcome development,” said the dealership owner. “We have been seeing an increase in the number of people applying for the amnesty program, and we want to make sure that as many people as possible can take advantage of it.”

The deadline extension is effective immediately, and will run until midnight on Friday, Jan. 31, 1975.
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Commentary

Campus Life Commission planning Free University

by Jeff Pecore

After successfully establishing the Nazi coffeehouse last semester, the Campus Life Commission of the Student Government is instituting a second program in the interest of the Notre Dame community. The Free University will offer courses on anything and everything that a student, faculty member, and administrator like. 

The basic idea of the Free University is to provide non-graded, non-credit courses for the personal enjoyment or the student. There is no cost to anyone in the Notre Dame community. They may originate and teach a course in the Free University. The following are some courses that will be offered, to date, by the Free University:

1. Volkswagen Repair—Brady M. Stoward: This course will delve into the aesthetics of the Volkswagen engine. You need a Volkswagen.
2. Tours of Art Galleries—Fr. Conyers: Tours will include the basement art room of the student center, O’Shaughnessy Hall to tours that are not on display.
3. Beginning Guitar—Dennis Klee: For those who were interested.
4. Intermediate Guitar—Dennis Klee: For those who know how to play and want to get better.
5. Intermediate Drumming—Dennis Klee: For those who know how to play and want to get better.
6. Guitar—Mike Armstrong: Mike is the “Nazz” and knows how to play well.
7. Film as Medium—Tom McCarty: Course will include many films and deep insights.
8. Appreciation of Jazz—Ken Lowe: Course designed for all those who are new to jazz.
9. Conversational Dutch—Mike Chabanian: A course designed for those who have a limited or no background in classical music. It may also be possible to get into a class in the middle of the semester even if you haven’t registered for it, but that would be up to the teacher of the course.
10. Intermediate Guitar—Dennis Klee: For those who were interested.
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Dear Frank:

I must confess to tardiness in responding to your letter in which you indicate the Student Life Council's proposed amendments to the University of Notre Dame rules and regulations concerning human sexuality. There are several good and some bad reasons for my delay: First of all, the letter was tucked with the usual demands upon me by membership on the Presidential Clemency Board. Secondly, because an immediate instinct was to veto the proposed amendments, I was led to think about it at greater length and in an atmosphere of more reflection. It was for this reason that I wrote you before Christmas telling you that I was pondering the matter over the Christmas holidays. The veto is not my favorite style of operation and over many years I have used it most sparingly and only when absolutely necessary and even then, when obliged to do so, I tend to delay the action, as I believe all we tend to delay those things that are unpleasant.

It now comes to a point where I can delay no longer, having considered and reconsidered the matter over the Christmas vacation and the days that followed my return to the University. As I have indicated to you in the conversation on the matter, this letter has been building in my mind and finally must be written.

First of all, may I say that I appreciate the fact that the Student Life Council has spent many long and difficult hours discussing this matter. I followed the proceedings through your Minutes and it was obvious that the Student Life Council was having a very difficult time coming to any kind of a definite set of recommendations.

To be specific about the amendments that were proposed in your letter, I have no particular problem with your proposed addition, Part I, to be inserted into Paragraph No. 4 of the preamble on Page 26 of the Law. Part II which you propose to be added as No. 6 on page 27 of the Law, I must say that I believe it should not be included. My reason for this is that the proposed rule is so ambiguous and conditioned as to be impossible of application.

Any sexual misconduct that is frequent, pervasive, or repeated after a previous warning, or any sexual abuse of another person through coercion and/or violence, may result in suspension or expulsion.

If we are to have a rule of this sort, it would seem to me that it should simply reflect the standard of the University in this matter. The broadest statement of that standard that I can formulate, one which would be consistent with the practice here over past year, would simply be to say that "serious sexual misconduct incurs serious penalty."

This at least is direct, honest and a statement of the principle as it presently exists. Fortunately there has been no such case adjudicated to my knowledge since last summer, but if one were to surface, I think it would be treated according to the broad statement that I have suggested. I am not asking that you adopt what I have suggested, only saying that what I am suggesting is a more honest statement of our present standard than the one proposed by the Student Life Council.

The third amendment, Part III, which is proposed to be added to the place of No. 9 on Page 27 of the Law, is also unacceptable because it is contrary to the procedure, presently in practice, in which an inquirer sustains the enforement of a uniform standard throughout the campus. What has to be made clear about Part II is the obvious reaction to Part III.

In the best of worlds, I would hope that we would all have to have specific rules about human sexuality any more than we should have to have rules about other major precepts of Christian conduct, such as justice, integrity, honesty, or respect for life. However, I am in a state which wants everything spelled out. I only say that we should spell it out as simply and honestly as possible and not formulate rules which are ambiguous and impossible to administer.

I should add that at the present juncture, I can live with the rules as presently stated, since while they may not be the best possible, at least they have proved to be workable under the circumstances we have faced in recent years. If one must be more specific, then let it be as briefly and honestly as possible, such as I have suggested. I have the deep conviction, perhaps naive, that for the great majority of students on this campus one might assume a Christian standard of conduct that represents the kind of standard or sense of value that might be expected of the type of students who come to Notre Dame and who make this place a marvelous College community in which it is possible, such as I have suggested. I am not asking that you adopt what I have suggested, only saying that what I am suggesting is a more honest statement of our present standard than the one proposed by the Student Life Council.
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Letters to a Lonely God

the zeal of young christians

reverend robert griffin

John was among the first of the young campus zealots to hang a guilt trip on my emotional health. He would come by my room, usually at night, wearing a black armband mourning the dead; in twisted, tortured rhetoric, as though the words were being eaten in the inner fires of his private hell, he would tell me of the agony of children and peasants dying in napalmied villages. Such was John's anxiety, and such was his suffering, I feared he would leave my room some night, done himself with gasoline (as he had spoken of doing), and set his own flesh on fire, as a way of saying, "For Christ's sake, won't someone listen when I say stop the war?" For John, merely being arrested and put in jail was a small thing, as he said, compared to the terror of being maimed and murdered on an Asian rice paddie. I knew that he boldered him that I wasn't the Berrigan, Phil or Dan, it didn't matter which. Other priests were in jail, he implied. What was commitment to Christ worth if the assisted servants of the Lord were not risking the gentler forms of crucifixion as a protest against the crimes of the Pentagon? But, of course, I didn't go to jail. I didn't march on Washington, or get my head broken open by a police club in a struggle with the Chicago cops. I was never married, or involved in any of the other crises of the day. I never burned a draft file, or slowed down road block to the street traffic in Washington. I never did anything that was the least bit heroic as a protest. I was not only too scared, I couldn't even praise myself for scars I never earned the right to wear like merit badges, because there are no scars, except for a little nick on my thumb where I once hurt myself on a broken glass.

But somehow, John continues to love me. He's my closest friend throughout this thing, because in a case that demanded heroism, I was merely a comfortable Christian. Perhaps the most surprising thing about the community conscience were Tom and the rest of the University. Of their two cases so sickened by the war, the University community indifference they found toward suffering innocents, as though the deaths are too privately arranged for me to understand them. There was another Tim, Tim Hirt, who burned down a public ceremony on the steps of the Main Building, and got sent to jail for the freeness of his pacifism. There were other zealots, too, who made similar gestures of civil disobedience; but not as much of a hassle was demanded from them by the authorities. Now, of course, of the community zealots, is Al Sende. I hope Al doesn't mind my writing of him, but I see him every day from my windows. What can I say about Al. He is a gentle soul, he causes no trouble. He drives me right up the wall whenever he tries to instruct me on the statistics of world hunger. I have argued with Al for a long time now. I told him in New York last summer: "You can't do it, Al. You can't haunt the doors of the dining hall like a political agitator. You wouldn't stand for it. The University won't allow it. It's bad for your health. You should be wasting your life." Nine thousand times we have had this argument, and we'll have it up this Al. Al gives me a big smile, thanks me for the time I give him, and leaves me feeling that he has money to feed the hungry, I have the logic. Some bloody arrangement.

Finally among the zealots of the moment were personal pictures. Specialized, the psychiatric ward of any hospital, and what pictures haunt your memory. Make a mark in the crowded, apathy-filled apartment in New York City where a forty-two year old man, with five children still in school, is dying of cancer. I try to forget what his eyes look like when you anoint his hands with the oils of the sacrament of the sick. Spend a half day visiting your mother in a nursing home for the aged, and try that evening, at dinner, not remembering how old folks look when they are 82.

The radio, every hour on the hour, is filled with appeals for help to the victims of muscular dystrophy, sickle cell anemia, heart defects, and all the other diseases that cripple, retard, impair, and kill, for the alcoholics, and the mentally or emotionally disturbed as well. Always and everywhere, there are scenes and voices that try to touch our minds and hearts with appeals, sometimes there are more appeals than the one for the war.  We can no longer be numb to the guilt. Well meaningly, they hope, through appealing to our guilt, to enlist our support. In the end, I don't think guilt is going to be a factor. I think it is only love that can make this difference. Lay a guilt trip on me, and I will try to repent. I will become angry and I will argue. But teach me of my brothers and sisters. Tell me of an old lady in Teliatta who shuffled as she walks as my own mother shuffled. Tell me of the world's children when I could teach to read and write, and dance and pray. Tell me of my favorite people who die, the long, dark night of the world, and I will try to stop before them; not out of guilt-though I may try to stop before them; not out of guilt. I have been made responsible for the suffering. Blame us if you want to. I say it is the war in Vietnam, and I am with Donald, not as he is a judge. But as he is the Saviour who can help me to share cros.
Hesburgh vetoes sex rule

(continued from page 1)

As an example of a brief and straightforward argument, the observer felt that Hesburgh suggested for the SLC's consideration of the statement "serious or administrative discipline." He suggested that any administrative decisions would work out the exact application of a rule in a case by case approach.

When the observer was asked whether he considered "serious sexual misconduct" Hesburgh answered that "a number of the opposite sex in one's room all night" and other more serious activities would be included.

SLC Reaction

Student Body President Pat McLaughlin said he had expected the veto. "I talked to Fr. Hesburgh last week and he informed me of the substance of his message, though I had thought a veto was possible before that," McLaughlin said.

McLaughlin doubted that the SLC could make a rule which would satisfy Hesburgh. "I voted against the last proposal because it was too strict so I couldn't vote yes for anything stricter if that is what he wants," he said.

Disagreeing that there is a University standard on sexuality, he stated in the sense of community consensus on the matter McLaughlin pointed to the differing viewpoints in the SLC itself. "Neither is there a uniform standard of enforcement," McLaughlin argued, "there is a different rule enforced differently by different rectors in each different hall."

Hesburgh pointed to the Dean of Students as the overall judge who preserved uniformity in enforcement and said that "certain things certainly know what kind of cases should be referred to him." McLaughlin rejected the idea of punitive measures as a last resort saying "I have never believed that private morality can be legislated.

Student representative Dennis Sullivan said that he also had expressed his veto. "But I did tell him he had told us about it sooner so we would have more time before the end of the semester to reconsider it if that is what he wants," Sullivan said. Although Hesburgh did not think that agreement between Hesburgh and the SLC on a new rule is likely, saying that the matter was a question of individual values. He attacked Hesburgh's "serious sexual misconduct" suggestion as "much too vague — it puts the students right back to square one, not knowing where they stand." Brian Hegarty, also a student representative said he was disappointed that Hesburgh had rejected a compromise the Council had spent so much time working on. "It seems the only thing Hesburgh will accept is a rule imposing a blanket penalty for anything that even implies a violation." He suggested that Hesburgh's proposal would be unenforceable except for flagrant cases anyway unless the administration resorts to "educations." Dean John Macheca explained that the Council risks veto when it sent the proposal to Hesburgh. "He asked for something specific and limiting his influence and that wasn't quite what we sent him," Macheca said.

Macheca said it was possible that he would issue a directive clarifying the present rule although he was not sure that it is necessary at this time.

Dr. Robert Ackerman, director of student development and vice chairman of the Council noted that if the matter is taken up again — "I hope it won't be" — the proper procedure would be to send it to the rules committee to find a new compromise.

Ackerman said he was "disappointed that our work was rejected," however he stressed that he had great respect for Hesburgh's decision. "He took a stand on principle and made a decision as is his duty," Ackerman said.

Br. Just Paccressy, vice president of student affairs, stressed Hesburgh's stand on principle. "The SLC will have to realize the President's determination on this and it is now up to them to work out a new compromise if they choose to," Lally said.

He agreed with Hesburgh on the need for some sort of punitive measure as a last resort. "To treat the matter only pastorally would he to effectively negate the importance it publicly," he said.

Mrs. Maureen Gleason, student representative, suggested there would be little difference if the proposal had been accepted. "It would be more of a matter of principle that is set down in writing rather than the effect it would have on the way things are actually done," Gleason said. She suggested that the SLC drop the matter until next year when they may be able to bring a new perspective to the problem.

McLaughlin argued that the veto only supported his argument that the SLC was ineffective, particularly if the President can institute his own rules without SLC action. He did not think that the veto itself change would too many minds "because it has been expected for a long time," McLaughlin said. Ackerman commented on the effect of the veto on this debate. "It is possible that some people would feel their job is more useless because of the veto of something we worked on for most of the semester," he said.

You can buy a new car or a very shiny toaster or a washing machine that salutes when you turn it on, but the thing you’re going to get the most enjoyment out of is a stereo system from us.
Icemen need DU sweep to move up

by Bob Kiset

In terms of their schedule, the Notre Dame hockey team is in the thick of a "heads I win, tails you lose" situation. The two teams are right below Notre Dame's seventh place spot, Michigan 68th and Minnesota-Duluth 69th square off in Duluth.

There's one slight complication, the Irish must defeat Denver in mile-high country - something Notre Dame has never done in three years of WCHA competition.

"Though we've never won a game in Denver, we've always played excellent hockey," noted Smith. "They are only a point behind us, and if we give things will be coming much tighter." But, we better sweep, we need it badly.

Last weekend the Irish broke even with a young, determined North Dakota squad, losing 2-1 Friday night and coming back Saturday night with a 5-2 victory, on the strength of three power play goals.

"I was disappointed in getting a weekend split," erred Smith. "I mean here's a team that had only won three games in two years in Denver. Here, but they skated hard, hustled a lot, and got excellent goal tending from Puter Weselovitch."

North Dakota is past history and the challenge of the Denver Pioneers (6-5-1 in the WCHA) looms ever larger, despite the Pioneers' two lustrous from the Huskies of Michigan Tech, 11-5 and 7-0 last weekend.

Murray Armstrong, coach of the Pioneers, never fails to recruit a couple All-Americans per year. But his problem has been keeping these top-notch players at Denver. Armstrong lost seven lettermen through graduation, including the best of the wings ever to come out of Minnesota, Bobby Krieger.

The losses which destroy a team's chances are from early pro signings. Armstrong unexpectedly lost two bluelinerBruce Affleck to the St. Louis Blues. He also felt no better. During the middle of the summer the Pioneers signed with the Minnesota North Stars, leaving the Pioneers with only one欠缺 in the varsity goal tending position.

Freshmen Bob Lieg from New Jersey and Ernie Glaunz from the United Kingdom have been splitting the net duties for Armstrong.

Denver's only real strength lies in their defensive pairs. Senior co-captains Dave Tomassoni, Bob Young, Mitch Brandt, and John Pearson are all four year regulars. The threat of the intense attack is carried by wingers Chad Campbell, center Marc Thome, each with 10 goals, and winger Lindsay Thomson with 17.

"Denver is a tough squad to prepare for," noted former blueliner Bruce Affleck. "Because they are a weaker team with youth and experience going for them, they take chances out on the ice, throwing long passes which can catch you with your pants down."

"One of the areas we've been weak is in the so-called garbage goals," added Smith. "We have not been getting those second and third attempts off the initial fail. Those rebound goals are really vital here on in and into the playoffs."

Going on offense for the Irish are the lines of Brian Walsh-Alex Pirus-Clark Hamilton, Pat Conroy-Bill O'Brien - Terry Fairfield, Geoff Callier-Kevin Nugent-Allen Allen and scholastic difficulties, Leafy will use the pairs of Dan Byers-Jack Brownsholme, Les Larson-Douglas Johnson, and Dick Howe-Danny Boyle. John Peterson will form the Denver second in goal, while Lenny Moher will hopefully complete the sweep Saturday night.

These two road games begin at 8:00 pm (CST) with WNDU's Pete Weber carrying all the action live from Colorado beginning at 9-45 South Bend time.

Trackmen at UM

The Notre Dame track team will be at Michigan tomorrow to face the Wolverines and the Bowling Green Falcons in an indoor meet.

---

SUNDAY MASSES MAIN CHURCH

SAT 5:15 PM  FR. BOB GRIFFIN, CSC

SUN 9:30 AM  FR. TOM BLANTZ, CSC

SUN 10:45 AM  FR. DICK CONVERS, CSC

SUN 12:15 PM  FR. BILL TOOHEY, CSC

SUN 4:00 PM (ACC) FR. TED HESBURGH, CSC

(The priests are invited to concelebrate)

---

ASH WEDNESDAY

SUN. MASSES

---

FR. GEORGE PENISCI ALUMNI CENTER

---

FR. BILL NUGENT - ALUMNI CENTER

---

FR. MARK OLIVE - TERRY FAIRHOLM

---

FR. BRIAN WALSH - ALUMNI CENTER

---

FR. FRANCIS PELCZART - ALUMNI CENTER

---

FR. DONALD MURPHY - ALUMNI CENTER

---

FR. ROBERT KONRAD - ALUMNI CENTER

---

FR. FRANK MARTIN - ALUMNI CENTER

---

FR. MICHAEL MCNAMARA - ALUMNI CENTER

---

FR. STEPHEN ALLEN - ALUMNI CENTER

---

FR. JOSEPH WALKER - ALUMNI CENTER

---

FR. JOHN WIATR - ALUMNI CENTER
Basketball tradition at Paterno’s concern

by Bill Brink

“The myth of Notre Dame is more important than the team, but I didn’t want to hear anything about football because I was coming here to play basketball,” Bill Paterno is here, and he is in the top fifteen in the nation in scoring. Bill Paterno has a 1,000 point mark as a first player in Notre Dame history, and he still has his

“I saw Notre Dame has a chance to have a great basketball team, especially with Digger. I thought he could turn the team around, and I thought Paterno meant for the Irish basketball. The 6-5, 205 Sophomore is a starting forward for the 72-75 Irish, a member of the exciting young wing that Bill Paterno is building around. The Irish basketball. The 6-5, 205 Sophomore is a starting forward for the 72-75 Irish, a member of the exciting young wing that Bill Paterno is building around. The Irish basketball. The 6-5, 205 Sophomore is a starting forward for the 72-75 Irish, a member of the exciting young wing that Bill Paterno is building around.

So far this season Paterno is playing a pretty big part in the Irish basketball program. He is in the second in scoring and rebounding categories for the Irish, averaging 14.2 points a game while pulling down over 7 rebounds per game. He has also become an iron man in the ND lineup, accumulating great amount of playing time. While the guards and centers have been rotating regularly in the first four positions. Tim Mathewson (Cincinnati), Mike Proctor (Sheboygan, Wis.) and Mike Szadzoff (Muskogee, Okla.) have been developing at the first four positions. Tim Mathewson (Cincinnati), Mike Proctor (Sheboygan, Wis.) and Mike Szadzoff (Muskogee, Okla.) have been developing at the first four positions. Tim Mathewson (Cincinnati), Mike Proctor (Sheboygan, Wis.) and Mike Szadzoff (Muskogee, Okla.) have been developing at the first four positions. Tim Mathewson (Cincinnati), Mike Proctor (Sheboygan, Wis.) and Mike Szadzoff (Muskogee, Okla.) have been developing at the first four positions. Tim Mathewson (Cincinnati), Mike Proctor (Sheboygan, Wis.) and Mike Szadzoff (Muskogee, Okla.) have been developing at the first four positions.

It is hard to argue with him after the Irish’s stunning upset of UCLA last Saturday. “We knew we could win that game,” says Billy. “It was never the other way around.”

While Inland, UCLA, Maryland, Kentucky and Marquette shouldn’t show up again for a while, every one of ND’s next ten opponents has a .500 record or better. Maryville, the always tough South Carolina, a surprising LaSalle five and St. John’s in New York’s Madison Square Garden.

The Irish goal all season has been to make it to the tournament, and that goal hasn’t changed according to Bill. “We’re going to have some bad games and we won’t even think an eye.”

“We’ll try to make the rest of the games one by one,” says Bill. “It’s got to be that way. We’re just getting to get better from now on, we’ve never close to peaking yet. We’re going to have some bad games and we won’t even think an eye.”

Looking ahead, Paterno plans to get his degree from Notre Dame and then consider possible playing pro ball. But he does not plan to make that his sole future.

“I definitely think we have an advantage going into the NCAA’s,” he says. “We’ve been playing okay the last couple of weeks.”

“After last year we knew UCLA was human too,” Paterno con- tinued. “They’re not a comeback team and our crowd really psyched them out. All the coaches are 60 percent of the team. They did a great job in preparing for UCLA. They devised the game plan, we just had to put it into effect.”

Comparing this year’s upset of the Bruins to last year’s, Bill adds, “I guess this year was more satisfying than last year for me because I was madder last year.”
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