The Notre Dame Chapter of the American Association of University Professors (AAUP) announced today that its "card campaign" for support of petitioning for collective bargaining election is well under way.

Professor James Robinson, president of the Chapter, reported that half of every group of more than one hundred faculty members have signed cards. Now letters with cards have been sent to all eligible faculty.

Collection of signed "designa­tion cards", as they are called, is a method to gather evidence for the National Labor Relations Board (NLRB) that there is adequate interest in collective bargaining to justify an election. The card campaign then is just one step in a possible move toward a collective bargaining election.

Under NLRB guidelines, the AUP Chapter would need to sustain this effort. By using a signed card is from 30 percent of the faculty to become the faculty organization eligible to vote.

The AUP Chapter presented its case for collective bargaining in a pamphlet distributed to the Faculty in an early February. Robinson noted that the arguments for a collective bargaining system at Notre Dame are not limited to two issues: improvement of the faculty role in the academic governance of the University, and faculty cooperation with the administration in the allocation of available funds for the best possible teaching-learning-research situation.

Although faculty cooperation is concern, this issue is subordi­

ate to the two central issues.

In Feb. 1975, a Faculty Senate questionnaire revealed an interest by a significant number of faculty in collective bargaining. The Notre Dame Chapter then joined the Senate in sponsoring a series of guest speakers and discussions. And in checking the number of cards... Then an AUPU questionnaire of 132 faculty members determined that 59.9 percent of those responding (61 percent returned the question­naire) wanted Notre Dame AAUP to imitate procedures that would allow the Faculty to choose whether or not to submit collective bargaining.

The AUPU Chapter proceeds with the "card campaign." Members of the Library Faculty, the Special Professional Faculty, the Special Research Faculty, and the Special Professional Faculty are eligible to sign cards, except those with administrative positions above the level of chairman, and some other categories such as visiting faculty and others with temporary appointments for this year.

Robinson requests that faculty members to whom did not receive a card, but who feel they are eligible to receive a card should call him or send a note through the English Department office.

Signed cards should be sent to the office of the Chairperson of the Notre Dame AUPU Chapter and 10th Radiation Research Building.

The first item Cawley covered in learning more about collective bargaining. The second issue is subordinated to the real world, "the way the world works," and by using a signed card is to "get everybody's opinion on the issues." Cawley then mentioned that a card campaign was "a way to do things," Cawley said. "We're trying to try realism and idealism. It is the duty of the student government to provide a voice for the real world," said Deborah Melve. Cawley believed that the Valarie Hardy Committee would explain the Valerie Hardy Committee on page 8).
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INFORMATION SCHEDULED FOR USES OF MARCH 2

Information for students and prospective students. Sign up for interviews. Most employers will accept the resume of any student. Information sessions will be held on the main building.

The Observer will be published Monday through Friday and weekly during the summer sessions. The Observer is published by the students of the University of Notre Dame and the American Cancer Society.

The Observer is a member of the Associated Press. All reproduction rights are reserved.

All we can tell you is that men who don't smoke live about 6 years longer than men who do smoke.

If you want someone to help you stop smoking cigarettes, contact your American Cancer Society.

The first fact taken from a research study is based on the smoker who at age 25 | who at age 25
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Luchini, Finnegans unopposed in SLC elections

by Greg Bangs

One candidate each is running for the open North Quad and Off-Campus Student Life positions.

The South Quad position is not up for grabs, however, as Peter Hasty, its current representative, decided to exercise an option allowing him to hold office for two additional years. In the race for a position on the SLC, that person can choose to remain in office two years, if a sophomore runs for a position.

"I figured if I tried hard, I could get something accomplished," the Stanford sophomore said yesterday in an interview with the Observer.

"I found out she (Smith) was running unopposed, which is never a good deal, so I decided to run," he said.

He sees the role of the SLC as one that should relay student opinion to the faculty and administration. He also thought there should be a greater emphasis on intermediate and short range problems, instead of long range issues.

"I'm in favor of studying such long range issues as patrods and co-ed housing, but I can't see spending ninety percent of the SLC's time on issues that won't affect the average student," he said.

Luchini would like to increase student input into the SLC. He would do this by keeping in touch with students, either on the campus, hall or individual level. "The SLC representatives are not in touch with their constituents and that's why they're limited to dealing with just long range issues—they really don't know what the students want," he continued.

He would also like to see the SLC consider a new party policy, regardless of whether it's an Indiana 19-year-old drinking law passes or not. Luchini thinks the University should officially recognize a major. "If you do all the hard work, you get to decide if it," he stated.

Finnegan runs for O-C post

Terri Finnegan, the only candidate for the off-campus position, feels integration is lacking between off-campus students and the campus.

"There are certain advantages to living off-campus, most of which are pretty obvious," Finnegans said in an interview last week. "But there is no reason to suffer the disadvantages that off-campus students presently do," he added.

I would like to increase communication and integration to the degree that people want. The fact that they moved off-campus shows they don't want that much, but I would like to work on areas where they'd like some action," he explained.

Finnegan said he was more interested in long range plans, but would take action on a short range issue if one came up. "The way it looks now, the off-campus position of the SLC will be the only elected position held by an off-campus resident," he said. "I would like to integrate this post to the concerns, where the long range or short range, of the off-campus students."

Peter Hasty, the South Quad representative, feels the SLC has evolved into a long range conceptual role. "Student Union, Student Government, and the Hall President's Council are all concerned with resolutions on short and intermediate range problems," he said last night. "The SLC takes an overall view on student life, as they are doing on sexuality right now. It's attacking from the core. This type of view must be taken to institute any specific practical plans."

Haley thinks the Observer has done a "great injustice to the SLC." "Right now the SLC is directly infiltrated, but the paper shouldn't berate it," he said. "If the paper would give credit where credit is owed, the decline of the SLC would be pointed where it should be. When the provost of the University said it should die because it serves no purpose, it's clear the cause of the decline should be thrown at the administration, not at us," he said.

Haley thinks the body can make contributions. "We're the only group with both the faculty and administration included in our structure. There are a lot of concerned people on the council and the council is dying to have issues," he continued.

Looking ahead to his next term, Haley commented, "I think the SLC is making a good attempt. Instead of dealing with short range plans, I'd rather get on a general, overall level and make decisions on overall student life."
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**FREE**"
Lew-Dunneg

It has been really unfortunate that I have had to spend the last week telling people that I do have a government and am running to make this election a farce. My opponent for President of student government, Kerri Ricci, is a very fine person who has proved substantially that she can get on people's backs. She has been instrumental in setting up the forums, the debates, and all the other things that people talk about in the media and the other candidates.

In response to anyone who has asked me what I would say that it is most of the other candidates, I will tell them to run an election as a joke. The chief reason why students are disenfranchised with the student government is that the people who run for office are not fulfilling their obligations upon student government, leaving it crippled and impenetrable, unable to act effectively.

The only reason for a government is to provide a benefit or service that students believe the government should provide. If a government becomes illegitimate, any talk of "working for the students only..." is like spitting into the air. "Our communication" is nothing more than cliches to the very people who are on top of the student government. The whole idea of its weakness, then all their campaign promises are cracked and just like line and calculated deceptions.

The candidates this year have gone out of their ways to mislead and deceive the student body. While running for office, they promise to do things just to win votes and give the students a chance to respond intelligently. I am not trying to help in the deplorability of making vague promises to save the campus. I just want to let you know that the very people who are on top of the student government have been the very people who are on top of the student government.

If the student body is alienated and disenfranchised with student government, it is because either the students have no interest in government, or the government that is in government has alienated them. The university is built on the burden of proof on the professional politicians here, to justify their past and present actions. The university takes a private position on the debate. It is very clear to me that the university does not treat our student government seriously. There can be no doubt as to the deplorability of making those elections upon the real power and efficiency of student government.

We are the students of the University of Notre Dame, and we have candidates, with small exceptions, who run for office if they were an APEC election. Big organizations, with a large delega- tion, have spent across this campus like so many cancers, in a frenzied attempt to hustle votes from normally disenfranchised students. They are people knocking at your door or calling you, sent from some campaign to sell their ideas for all the students. Issues are presented to us in a manner that we have never seen in football seasons. In the meantime, government at Notre Dame is incredible, but not intelligent, and is ever said. Every effort is expended upon how to get your vote, almost your involvement. If a big organization supposed to fill those jobs in a farce is beyond the knowledge of the organizations? Will students who were students' President consider being included in government?

To rebad the same old issues and try again to get empty promises and play politics with the student body is incredible and arro- ganly insulting and downright arro- gan. Any candidate who cooly de- claredly expects you to vote for him because you are a Notre Dame poohbah. To the stu- dents should restructure or termin- ate this system that we have now. It will not be my personal ideas that I present in this election. It will be the students themselves. The solution to apathy and alienation is very complex and difficult to solve. All we have to do is take this election today and clear the mistakes of the past. We have to change the status quo, we have to change ourselves. Otherwise, the Administration will pay no heed to our ideas, and we will continue to insult our intelli- gence.

Contrary to the Observer's com- mentary, the race is far from over and isn't even seen yet. It is because you haven't seen it all yet. It is because you have not put the ideas as set forth in our distinct platform into practice. All candidates offer you experience, but it is not just student govern- ment, and more social space. We do not offer you experience in running for office, but if such experience is necessary for the student government positions, something is wrong with our system. The person in intelligent and dedicated should be able to serve as student body president of vice president. We do have elements of social space, but not enough. We offer a platform, but these are not the most important in the new and new purpose, value and direction in student life. Most of us are concerned with little more than studying and partying to winning from studying. Both of these are understoodly top priorities, but they should not be our only priorities. We fail when our institutions do not challenge our priorities, and our institutions become ends in themselves, rather than means to an end.

Student government should be the first organization to have an obligation to direct students' pur- suits, and provide as well as us an immediate inter- diction. Just as student govern- ments in other universities have planned on boycotts of products from companies that work in America and throughout the world, I would like to see a set of laws concerning petitions, it should conduct studies of the bad work environment in companies in which the university invests, and not just the stock portfolio of the university. At that point, student lobbyist for a lower drinking age, it should send students to lobby for pension reform and improved health care, mental care and education for power hungry poohbahs.

Russell-Geppert
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students, rectors, and the administration. A feasible campus-wide question, in agreement, would be to arrive at some middle-of-the-road solution in which everyone can make some compromises and can help make these activities a success.

Miranda-Clinton

In an open letter to the students, Ralph and I would like to explain some of our plans that we would carry out if elected to office. We would also like to explain how we organized our campaign, and to share some of our personal feelings about how it was to run for office.

The student government should be an organization that is open, available and helpful to the students whom it is representing. It is neither a club nor a service organization whose activities and members are restricted to a few. A direct phone line to the president could potentially help students explain what the student government has accomplished, and hall visits are but a few of the ideas that could be implemented. Communication is a very important aspect of a well-functioning government. Though better communication with the students, hall governments and the administration many problems and conflicts could be avoided. Scheduling conflicts among corps, parties, and dances could be avoided. A more even distribution of activities throughout the semester is important. It is especially important that over weekends many students had nothing to do, while on other weekends they were over-burdened with many activities of various sorts.

The first step in establishment of a gathering place on campus is to determine what the student government should do for the school and the students. An informal type atmosphere has been especially effective, one where interaction between students, faculty, and parents could be improved. If the drinking age were lowered to 19, beer could be served on the premises. Once in office we would evaluate the possibilities of such a meeting place and accomplish this goal.

We consider a re-evaluation of hall government programs as one part of our platform. Through discussions with some of the student rectors, students, and the administration, we can determine what group wants and what is feasible. A campus-wide questionnaire to all rectors, students, and the results reviewed as to arrive at some working solution in which everyone would be in agreement.

We consider these to be the most important points of our platform. We arrived at these few ideas by the process of obtaining the opinions of a number of people. We have been presented with many others, but it has been necessary to start somewhere, and we would like to make some proposals.

The area in which Student Government has unique structure and content of its own independent student government. Nothing depends only upon the opinion and the will of administration and the Student Body.

With this concept of efficiency, carefully consider the possibilities and promises of all the candidates.

**Bender-Soma**

The biggest question of this election finally seems to be: what is the difference between the candidates, or what does it matter who is elected, since all seem to be the same. There are many candidates, but all nine candidates are not the same. We will make a difference. It is our job to show you a difference who you choose to elect to Student Government.

Tom Soma and I differ from the other eight candidates in a few different ways. The two main points that we think best qualify us to serve you as President and Vice-President are:

1) We have investigated the primary source material available by the various student and university organizations (i.e. Student Government Constitution, Student Senate, Students United tickets sales, cable television, aspects of coeducation, and social life for students.

2) Our only proposal is to constitutionally change our government to a President-Vice-Presidential format. We believe this is necessary for more effective government and to aid the students in every way.

Our reasons for supporting this proposal is that a President-Vice-Presidential format would provide a division of labor, whereby the lower term candidates could handle the detail of running the Student Senate, and the President-Vice-President could run for the Presidency. We believe that the current single-person administration would have the ability to work with a greater disparity between the two roles than is the case now. We have run a clean, honest campaign and therefore believe it would be very easy for us to run the same type of government, one that is honest and open to the students.

Tom Soma and I would like to explain how we arrived at these few major proposals that could be implemented. Communication could be avoided. Scheduling conflicts among corps, parties, and dances could be avoided. A direct phone line to the president could potentially help students explain what the student government has accomplished, and hall visits are but a few of the ideas that could be implemented. Communication is a very important aspect of a well-functioning government. Though better communication with the students, hall governments and the administration many problems and conflicts could be avoided. Scheduling conflicts among corps, parties, and dances could be avoided. A more even distribution of activities throughout the semester is important. It is especially important that over weekends many students had nothing to do, while on other weekends they were over-burdened with many activities of various sorts.

The first step in establishment of a gathering place on campus is to determine what the student government should do for the school and the students. An informal type atmosphere has been especially effective, one where interaction between students, faculty, and parents could be improved. If the drinking age were lowered to 19, beer could be served on the premises. Once in office we would evaluate the possibilities of such a meeting place and accomplish this goal.

We consider a re-evaluation of hall government programs as one part of our platform. Through discussions with some of the student rectors, students, and the administration, we can determine what group wants and what is feasible. A campus-wide questionnaire to all rectors, students, and the results reviewed as to arrive at some working solution in which everyone would be in agreement.
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In September, the Saint Mary's student body finally came alive when a large, enthusiastic crowd attended a meeting supporting extended visitation hours.

On the results of the meeting and on a Student Government survey showing the eighty percent of Saint Mary's students that currently exist between lawyers from racial, ethnic, and cultural minorities. It is not enough, less than thirty percent of the student body tooted. The surveys with little or no extra help. As if this were not enough, less than thirty percent of the student body bothered to return the surveys (which only take 15 minutes to fill out) to their R.A.s. Even worse, a larger percentage of parents and alumnae responded than did the students. If you are not responsible enough to hand in your surveys, are you responsible enough to handle extended visitation hours in the future? What happened to all the enthusiasm?

The committee has worked diligently and consistently since the meeting, sending out surveys to parents, alumnae, faculty, administration, and students. It did so gladly because you, the students promised you would work for a change. Throughout the year, however, the committee sent out and compiled the surveys with little or no extra help. As if this were not enough, less than thirty percent of the student body bothered to return the surveys (which only take 15 minutes to fill out) to their R.A.s. Even worse, a larger percentage of parents and alumnae responded than did the students. If you are not responsible enough to hand in your surveys, are you responsible enough to handle extended visitation hours in the future?

How can you be so apathetic about an issue you were so excited about a few months ago? Have you changed your mind or decided you don't need or want the parietals rule after all? If not, you should get moving. Attending one meeting will not get things done but only give them a start. Following through is just as important as taking the initial step.

You still have a chance to receive extended parietals. The surveys returned from alumnae, faculty, and administration indicate that they will stand by the decision of the students. Sixty percent of the student body must turn in its surveys by Friday to the R.A.s or Diane Smith, parietals committee chairperson. Otherwise, the committee will consider the issue closed. If the parietals question is dropped because of student apathy, Student Government can only assume that you don't care about anything. If you care at all, come through with your surveys by this Friday.

Attention: Minority Students Interested in Law School

The IT/Chicago College of Law is located in the heart of one of the major legal centers in the United States. Along with a full time day division, the College offers classes in the evenings to students who must work during the day. Freshmen may apply for either the fall or spring 1977. Minority students are encouraged to apply. It is through a substantial increase in the number of such applications that the legal profession can change the imbalance that currently exists between lawyers from both racial, ethnic, and cultural minorities, and those from majority groups.

Any interested applicants are encouraged to contact the Minority Recruitment Committee of the Student Bar Association of the College of Law. This committee is composed of Minority Recruitment Committee IIT/Chicago College of Law 77 South Upper Blvd. Chicago, Ill. 60616. Applications may also be obtained by writing to the admissions office at the above address.

VISTA & PEACE CORPS

NEED YOUR SKILL!!

REPRESENTATIVES

WILL BE ON CAMPUS-

MARCH 2-4 AT THE LIBRARY CONCOURSE OR THE ADMINISTRATION BUR- EAU- 9-4-30
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Eight SBP candidates hold second meeting

[continued from page 1] Merlott protested. "We ought to be lobbying for other concerns as we are for the drinking bill." Hardy stated. "It is time to take a look at our priorities."

Talbot-Hughes "We are deferring our campaign toward developing good working relations," John Talbot explained. "This is very elementary, and it is not done. In doing so, Talbot outlined four proposals which have been sent into the Judicial board system, improving the social space by "integrating better the current board and club level," going out to people more aware of the problems. Talbot plans to still ball representatives and the student government to coordinate the activities on campus.

In response to questions, Talbot said he sees the role of the SBP as having a more representative of the student body with the administration and within the student body."

Additional forums SBP candidates will again meet with students on this campus and forums on the night. The first will be at 6:30 p.m. in the Fordham chapel and is sponsored by Keenan Hall. The secondwill be at 8 p.m in Grace. The SBP candidate also emphasized the need for a more minority-oriented student government. "All minorities here are ignored, not only the blacks," she said. "We must admit there are minority problems here and deal with them."

In answer to questions, Hardy explained her platform does not rely on "idealism," but also with which the same problems the other candidates concerned themselves. "At North Shore, it is imperative to work within the framework of Christianity. All these institutions are vehicles of love. The Student Body President should raise the consciousness of students with the issues. We should stop talking about what we want and talk about what is needed."

Lew-Dungan Opening up on the other candidates' platforms, Jim Lew stated he felt the SBP student government "elitist." "Every year the candidates drag up and kick around issues and try to cover all the bases, but the level is childish," he accused. "Nothing intelligent is being said. This is fanfare but on issues the candidates are the weakest. They make incredible promises that can't be kept," he said.

Lew sees the role of the SBP as a "second line of Body Secretary of State, not as a 'big power wielder.'" Lew stated he would arrive for democracy in the student government, "probably the President of the student board's Council (IPC), the biggest potential for power."

Emphasizing his experience, SBP official urged students to stress three goals for his administration - revitalizing student government, activities-oriented social life, and making the student leaders more visible. Russell also urged students to examine the nine-point platform, "if more expansive than the other candidate's platform," he said. "Our distinguishing characteristic," Russell noted, "is our ability to do this. We have proven ourselves able to deal with problems. We would not be only implementing our own ideas, but could be one of the other candidates that are important as well."

Talbot explained the role of the SBP is "unclear," in response to questions. "We are not down in writing what specific responsibilities of the SBP are."
Bengal Bouts kick off with full slate

by Ray O'Brien

The 46th annual Bengal Bouts opened yesterday with 40 matches that offered every conference weight class with a combination of 3,979 vociferous spectators on hand at the ACC.

The first bouts of the day were in the 125 lb. weight division. Terry Ehardt left to 125 lb. class for three rounds with a unanimous decision. Chuck Grotegut all survived the first round and came back for round two, winning a unanimous decision. Both contests set up the semi-finals. None of those bouts were graded as technical knockouts as both the fighters and crowd had just begun to warm up.

Greg Ganzetem brought the crowd alive for the first bout as he came charging out of his corner and landed his first punch, a bruising combination to the head but Talbot dropped down for the count.
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