Forget Me Not.

Accept this little blue-eyed flower,
Preserve it, since it comes from me;
And may its magic name have power
To keep me in your memory.
For I shall always be your friend;
And when the flickering, dying flame
Of others’ love has found an end,
My love will ever be the same.

Dickens and Thackeray.

Standing together as the two popular novelists of their day, it is interesting for those who know both, to compare the works of Dickens and Thackeray, seeing how each, though worthy of remembrance, yet differed from the other. Mr. Dickens was comparatively uneducated, and his earlier associations had been those which gave him an acquaintance with London and the poorer classes of the great metropolis; Thackeray was a University man, and, though subject to misfortune, never gathered that knowledge of the very poor his rival so often displayed. We find, then, that Thackeray described polite society, while Dickens wrote of the well-to-do, or the very poor. Both, as novelists, excel in different ways, and it would be no easy matter to finally rank one higher than the other.

In the department of humor, Dickens is by far the greater. Thackeray, though often very droll, seldom makes us laugh. Capt. Costigan, for example, is probably his best hit; we smile at poor old Co., and remember him; but he can never rank beside Micawber. Foker amuses us; but not like Toots. We admit that Costigan and Foker are more probable characters than Micawber and Toots, but they cannot provoke the same hearty laughter. Thackeray, moreover, wrote amusing descriptions, but had one book contained all the humor of Thackeray it would not have equaled the Pickwick Papers, and the Pickwick Papers do not contain half the fun of Dickens.

From the "Artful Dodger," in "Oliver Twist," to Thomas Sapsea, in "Edwin Drood," amusing characters meet us on every page. Again, Dickens had an inimitable way of giving the most ordinary statement a comical turn, as in "Nicholas Nickleby" we read: "Mr. Squeers had but one eye, and the popular prejudice runs in favor of two." Or, again, in "Martin Chuzzlewit," when Mr. Pecksniff had had too much strong punch, "they carried him upstairs and crushed the youngest gentleman at every step. His bed-room was at the top of the house, and they got him there in course of time. He asked them frequently on the way for something to drink. It seemed an idiosyncrasy. The youngest gentleman in the company suggested a glass of water; Mr. Pecksniff called him opprobrious names for the suggestion."

In the matter of pathos, both possessed wondrous power. The death of Paul Dombey is one of the most pathetic things ever written, but fully equalled, if not excelled, by the death of Col. Newcome. But Dickens too often seems to strive to move us; Thackeray, with rare good sense, knew pathos, above all things, to depend upon simplicity. To those who have followed the career of Col. Newcome, how beautiful, how touching is the description of his death, ending thus: "At the usual evening hour the chapel bell began to toll, and Thomas Newcome’s hands, outside the bed, feebly beat time. And just as the last bell struck, a peculiar sweet smile shone over his face and he lifted up his head a little and said ‘Adsum!’ and fell back. It was the word we used to say at school when names were called, and lo! he whose heart was that of a little child, had answered to his name and stood in the presence of the Master."

With regard to the many characters they both drew, it may be said that Thackeray excelled in a certain delicacy of drawing and in fidelity to life; Dickens, in humor and originality. Let us consider a few from the works of both our authors.

The first character we take from Mr. T.’s collection is his own well-beloved Pendennis. Pen is a boy spoiled by a fond widowed mother. He falls in love, at the age of eighteen, with an Irish actress, some fifteen years his senior: he goes to Oxford, is ‘plucked,’ and runs heavily into debt; he goes to London and, under the tutelage of the scheming old Major, is about to make a mercenary marriage; but finally marries his lovely cousin Laura, and becomes a very good boy, indeed. Now, while Pen is performing all these pranks, though his conduct is often weak, though he is often quite a fraud, still he is never base. Exasperating as he may be, he still has a manly heart;
and who will not give one like him a hearty "God-

speed?" And Laura Pendennis, how beautiful
and good she is! As a little girl, full of awe for
Arthur; as the young woman, exhorting him on
to the battle of life; as the tender friend and nurse
of Helen; as the virtuous wife and mother, we
love her more and more. Then we have Dobbin;
awkward old fellow though he be, how his love,
his honor and his faith make him dear to all!
And Col. Newcome, what artist ever drew a pic-
ture like to him? Finally, let us consider Barnes
Newcome; who can describe him? The sneak-

ing boy-seducer; the lying friend; the treacherous
foe; the wife-beater. What a complete rascal he
is! There is not a false line in the picture; he is
no more improved by misfortunes than a hyena
by hunger; he calmly pursues his course, and will
die the respected banker, Sir Barnes Newcome,
Bart. M. P.

When we turn to the characters of Dickens, we
are struck by their almost countless number.
There are first the amusing characters, whether
odd or witty, among whom we remember Sam
Weller, Mr. Jingle, Dick Swiveller, John Willett,
the Rev. Chadband, Silas Wegg, "Sairey" Gamp,
and many others, including our old friends
the Pickwickians, and "that honest tradesman,
Mr. Jerry Cruncher, who described his occupation
of grave-robbing as an "agricultural pursuit."

But, save these, there are few who have sufficient
personality. Who can think of Nicholas Nickleby
apart from his adventures? of Oliver Twist? or,
deeded, of David Copperfield? Still, there are
some characters Dickens has drawn uncommonly
well. Passing over Pecksniff and Tom Pinch,
who are hardly more than hypocrisy and simplicitv
dressed up like men, we will consider Pip and the
blacksmith Joe, Lizzie Hexam, Sydney Carton, and
Jonas Chuzzlewit.

Pip is a contemptible fellow, who has at first
mean ambition, and when that is satisfied, mean
pride; but his brother-in-law Joe, though he
may be ranked among the amusing characters of
our author, yet, in many respects, is one of the best
he ever drew. The character is well sustained
throughout, representing delicately and well, an
honest, loving, sturdy man. His simplicity is amus-
ing, his tenderness touching, while his sense of
propriety and of justice demands our admiration
and respect. The next character, Lizzie Hexam,
is probably the most admired of all the women of
Dickens. Her humility, her love, her purity shine
out, whatever her surroundings; and, certainly, we
see her doing and suffering many things. Now
she is on the river with her father; now, striving
to give her brother the means of lifting himself
above the river and its dark surroundings; now an
orphan, struggling with terrible temptation; now
fleeing from her danger; now saving poor Wray-
burn; and, finally, married to him in the end.

Through all her many trials, she has our sympathy
and love. Then there is Mr. Sydney Carton, the
poor fellow wrecked by dissipation, who, for the
pure love recently-born in his heart, and in the hope
of redeeming a disgraceful past, laid down his life
for his friend. A man, with a mind naturally
bent to virtue and refinement, were he to become
a slave to any degrading appetite, could not, in our
opinion, be better represented than by the portrait of
Sydney Carton. Finally, there is Jonas Chuzzle-

wit;' naturally coarse and narrow-minded, his edu-
cation is thus described: "The education of Mr.
Jonas had been conducted from his cradle on the
strictest principles of the "main chance." The
very first word he learned to spell was 'gain,' and
the second (when he got into two syllables),
money." As we will have occasion to mention
this worthy again, we will merely say, in passing,
that the character seems inconsistent. A man as
shrewd as Jonas is at first represented to be,
would neither have attempted to poison his father
nor have allowed himself to be entrapped in the
"Anglo Bengalee."

Let us now compare, a few characters from our
two authors and notice the difference between them.
Thackeray seemed to go into the nearest respecta-
hable house and single out a person to describe his
character, who, like most of us, was often without
either striking characteristics or adventures. We
therefore come to know his men and women as
we know those about us. Dickens, however,
generally had something peculiar about the char-
acters he drew; and we, distracted by improbable
accidents from a calm survey of them, rarely feel
that they are flesh and blood. Compare, for ex-
ample, the character of Arthur Pendennis with that
of David Copperfield. How much better is the
former drawn! Yet there is no improbability in the
adventures of Pen as there are in those of David.
Or again, compare Barnes Newcome and Jonas
Chuzzlewit. Both are intended as most contempt-
ible men, yet how differently are they situated!
Barnes is a rich banker, an M. P., an educated
man moving in the best circles; Jonas is rich, but igno-

rant and mean. Barnes marries for blood, and beats
his wife, though with considerable cause; Jonas
marries for money, and beats his wife without
cause; Barnes never committed a crime that would
send him to jail, and consequently lives and dies
highly respected; Jonas tries to poison his father,
and thinks he has succeeded; loses his money by
an idiotic investment; commits a second murder
to conceal the first, and finally cheats the gallows
by taking poison.

Again, let us consider the different styles of the
two authors. Through all the works of Thack-

ery his personality is prominent. He is a pleas-

ant, hearty old fellow, telling you the history of
some people he has known; here and there he
stops to give you some reflections on subjects far
enough away from the story, and illustrates his re-
marks by humorous examples; he seldom allows
you to lose yourself in the story, as, for example,
in "The Newcomes," instead of ending the book
with the beautiful description of Col. Newcome's
death, he closes by reminding us that all the New-
comes are a far-off in fairyland. He rarely forgets
the position he has chosen, but retains his conver-
sational tone throughout. We quote a few lines
from a chapter in "The Virginians".
"When we drive up to our friends' houses, nowadays, in our coaches and six; when John carries up our noble names; when, finally, we enter the drawing-room, with our best hat and our best Sunday smile foremost, does it ever happen that we interrupt a family row? . . . That, in the interval between the hall-door and the drawing-room. Mr., Mrs., and Misses Jones have grouped themselves in a family tableau; this girl artlessly arranging flowers in a vase, let us say; that one reclining over an illuminated work of devotion; mamma on the sofa, with the butcher's and grocer's books pushed under the cushions, and some elegant work in her hand. . . . O let us be thankful, not only for faces, but for masks; not only for honest welcome, but for hypocrisy which hides unpleasant things from us!"

The style of Mr. Dickens is much more varied, and enriched with freely exercised descriptive and dramatic powers. As a rule, he writes very melodious prose, and several long passages can be scanned throughout. We quote here some lines from the description of a murder:

"As the sunlight died away and evening fell upon the wood, he entered it. Moving here and there a bramble or a drooping bough which stretched across his path, he slowly disappeared. At intervals, a narrow opening showed him passing on, or the sharp cracking of some tender branch denoted where he went; then he was seen or heard no more. Never more beheld by mortal eye or heard by mortal ear, one man except. That man, parting the leaves and branches on the one side where the path emerged again, came leaping out soon afterwards. What had he left within the wood that he sprang out of it as though it were a hell? The body of a murdered man. In one thick, solitary place it lay among the last year's leaves of oak, and beach, just as it had fallen headlong down. Sopping and soaking in among the leaves that formed its pillow; oozing down into the boggy ground as if to cover itself from human sight: forcing its way between and through the curling leaves as if these things rejected and foreswore it, and were coiled up in abhorrence, a vent a dark, dark stain that died the whole summer night from earth to heaven."

There is, finally, to be commended in both authors the singular purity of their writings and the spirit of charity so often manifested. Thackeray denounced constantly the artificial and the base in polite society. Dickens not only preached in a general way good-will to men, but pointed out many national abuses for correction.

"And now," some one may ask, "after all these remarks, which of these authors is the greater?" Who can say? Who, in the first place, can, with authority, define the aim and the scope of the novel? Who, moreover, could decide between two such wonderful masters? Many there be, we know, who take very determined stands in favor of either author; but the claims of neither Dickens nor Thackeray to superiority can be decided sine pulsere. Were a person to assert that one was greater than the other, he would find pretty well-armed adversaries in the field against him. For our part, we would rather close this essay, not with any decision we might find...hard to defend, but rather by again giving a thought to the good both have accomplished. By the many dull hours they have gladdened, by the many cold hearts they have softened, by the many evils to be corrected and the many dangers to be avoided they have pointed out, by the charity they taught in this age pre-eminent for charity, by their love of little children, by the wonderful purity of their works, by all these things we are attracted to them; and by these things we mean the good that they have done. Is it too much to say, that wherever English is spoken their names are as household words; that, together they are not only admired as authors of wondrous talent, but loved as men who have done their share towards making happier and better the lives of many men?"

T. Ewing Steele. '84.

Flying Machines.

III.

The great defect in the parachute is its inability to rise except in a fair wind. If now we apply the screw propeller of Mr. Starr, it will move a surface of 3,000 square feet, at the rate of 21 miles an hour; that is, it will overcome a horizontal resistance of 720 pounds, and furnish an upward pressure of 2,700 pounds. Allowing a weight of 1,000 pounds for the whole apparatus, we have 1,700 pounds for passengers, equal to ten or twelve men. But this is not a very handsome apparatus, nor one to be contained in an ordinary building. The principal advantage it possesses is in the amount of wing surface that can be employed, and its convenience of application. It could not, however, be made to start suddenly, to dodge and to whirl about. In fact, it is plain that we shall never be able to use such machines for catching insects or small birds; but, by means of a different type, we may be able to seize upon the clumsy crane, or even the wild goose. It would be royal sport to surprise that impudent and indelicate flock on St. Joseph's Farm! To attain such perfection is an immense and masterly work; it affords numerous and difficult questions to the Physicist, Mechanic and Chemist. There are three important considerations in the theory of flight: 1st, the power of the motor; 2d, the weight of the whole apparatus; 3d, the resistance of the atmosphere. To determine whether a given power can sustain a given weight by means of wings, is quite the same as to determine whether the same power can lift the same weight from the ground, except that the air, when serving for a basis, yields more or less. The more it yields, the greater is the power required; of course; hence, the resistance should be a maximum; also the power should be a maximum and the weight a minimum.

The resistance depends upon the form, size, velocity and trajectory of the moving body. It varies almost directly as the extent of surface and as the square of the velocity. But what do we know about the form? We know that a concave surface meets nearly twice as much resistance as a plane of the same extent, and that a convex surface meets only about three-fourths as much. Also, in the movement of inclined planes, the "ratio of the lift to the thrust greatly increases as the inclination diminishes." For instance, when the pressure is one pound for an angle of 15°, it is 1/6 pound for an angle 45°. If the planes be made
somewhat concave, the resistance would be greater, of course. On this plan the bird’s wing and screw propeller are constructed. Both meet a great pressure, but in the screw it is partly turned to a disadvantage. There may be some other shape, even more convenient and effective than these.

But this is speaking only of the relative resistance of different forms. What is their real resistance for different sizes and velocities? Ah! that is a question which science, with all its achievements, is scarcely able to answer. It is greatly to be regretted that men have neglected so easy and important a subject till now. It is certainly impossible to do more than guess at the possibility of flying without knowing what the air will support. But even by guessing we shall not go far astray.

We know, from everyday experience, that the air affords a pretty firm basis, if properly acted upon. Meteors are raised to a white heat by striking a very rare atmosphere. For dynamite it is so impossible that the most solid rocks must fly asunder.

We know the power of hurricanes and cyclones; the energetic pull of a kite gives us a still better idea. Then we are acquainted with the action of sailing stones; the curve of smooth, heavy stones hurled from a sling, and the astonishing curve of the boomerang. We have often seen birds sail an extremely long distance with no other force than a slight plunge on the start, and a very gradual descent afterwards. With a descent of a few yards, a bird acquires a velocity sufficient to ascend nearly to its original height—a splendid proof that the air scarcely yields while an object sails over it.

But the best experiment for obtaining an idea of the readiness and ease with which a body can rise in the air is that of the toy flying machine. A small piece of India rubber has been made to carry an apparatus up to a height of 50 feet, and hover about for several seconds. One good pull gives an apparatus up to a height of 50 feet, and hover about for several seconds. One good pull of the arm is sufficient to send still higher another toy, consisting of a piece of sheet-iron, bent to the form of a screw propeller. It would be interesting to determine the power of the rubber spring, and that exerted by the arm in spinning the screw.

The great problem is to determine how much power is required to support a given weight with a given amount of air surface of the best possible form. After learning this, we may proceed to apply our power, and easily determine the result. The experiments that have been made for this purpose are few, indeed. "A vacuum exists in our common libraries on this much-neglected but important subject." From the experiments made by the Aeronautical Society of Great Britain we learn that, a plane of one square foot, inclined at an angle of 15 degrees from the horizontal, meets, at a velocity of 25 miles an hour, a resistance of 0.33 pounds and a lifting pressure of 1.5 pound; also, for a speed of 20 miles an hour, the lifting pressure is one pound per square foot, and if the proportion remain the same, the resistance is 0.22 pounds. But the force spent equals the effort multiplied by the space traversed; and a speed of 20 miles an hour equals about 1200 feet a minute, which, multiplied by the resistance 0.22 pounds, gives 262 foot pounds. One horse-power equals 33,000 foot pounds per minute, which, divided by 262 equals 126 pounds. Hence, one horse-power can support 126 pounds with 126 square feet of plane surface. If the surface were concave, it would support an ordinary man. There is also a great advantage in having the whole extend in one plane instead of being divided into several.

It is not yet determined at what rate the ratio of the resistance per square foot increases with the extent of surface; but we may form an approximate idea from the following considerations: Conceive a plane circle, 2 feet in diameter, moving at right angles to the wind. Now, the air, one inch in front, of the centre of the circle, cannot move one foot sideways to allow the circle to pass while it moves one inch; hence, the air must move along in front of the circle. So also for the air, two inches in front of the centre, and probably for 4 or 5 inches. A similar thing takes place with the air in front of other parts of the plane. There is thus an accumulation of air before the circle, somewhat in the form of a cone. If the extent of surface be increased, the base of the accumulation is proportionally increased, and it also becomes higher. A similar action takes place before planes, inclined at a large angle. For this reason, it would probably be better for the stroke of the wing to be sudden, short, and intermittent, thus moving a column of air a considerable distance farther than the wing itself. All insects and birds act on this principle. They also teach us that, in sailing, a small angle is best. According to M. de Louvrié, an angle 6° 30’, would be most favorable. With this small inclination the bird must move very rapidly to receive sufficient lifting pressure, and if the resistance were great, the force required would be very great. But the resistance is only one tenth of the lift. All a bird has to do then, in sustaining a flight of 30 or 35 miles an hour, is to overcome a resistance of one tenth its weight. Great speed has three advantages: 1st, the angle may be very small; 2d, the object is continually encountering undisturbed air; 3d, a rapid means of transportation. The second advantage is derived from the sudden and short action of the plane, which passes so quickly that the air has scarcely time to be disturbed. This principle is well illustrated by falling pieces of paper, sailing stones, etc.

There is another argument to prove that the power exerted by birds is not so enormous, viz.: the amount and quality of their food. We can calculate, with perfect exactness, how much force there is in the food given to the bird, and we know, with all the certainty of fixed scientific principles, that the bird cannot develop more energy than it receives from its food. Hence we have an infallible and determinable limit, beyond which we cannot wander in our calculations. It would be interesting to make some experiments of this kind—but not with our greedy canaries, by any means.

Experiment has also shown that the power of a bird's muscle compared to man's is only as 6 to 5.
If man could apply his strength, he might easily sustain the weight of a wild turkey, or other large bird. In fact, a certain person has, by muscular effort alone, succeeded in raising 26½ pounds with a screw propeller. This accords in a manner with calculations made above, and is indeed encouraging.

(TO BE CONTINUED.)

Books and Periodicals.

—Der Sendbote des Göttlichen Herzens Jesu,—Monatschrift des Gleichnisslied-Apostolates,—published by the Franciscan Fathers at Cincinnati, Ohio, is very neatly printed and ably edited magazine. The October number deals chiefly with the 7th centenary of the great Apostle of Poverty, St. Francis of Assisi. The contributions, both in prose and poetry on this subject, in the Sendbote, are excellent reading. The December number, just received (we have not seen the one for November), contains besides other poetical pieces, a gem of verse, "Und die Gottesmutter bei des Krippes," and another, "Auf dem Dreihundert-jährige Jubiläum der Seraphischen Jungfrau Theresia von Jesu," by the Rev. P. Lucas, M. O. S. B. The serial article, "Gott in der Geschichte," by the Rev. Chas. Brischar, S. J., is an able production, and very instructive. With the graver articles are also found entertaining legends, etc., in prose and verse. The price of the Sendbote is $2 year, with a premium if paid in advance. Now is the time to subscribe for the coming volume of this edifying magazine. Address Sendbote, 593 Vine Street, Cincinnati, Ohio.

—The St. Nicholas for December (Christmas number), comes with its usual fertility of mirth and good cheer. We must say, however, in due deference to our Minims, that Santa Claus is unusually good-natured. Just imagine Santa Claus sitting before a fire, with a pair of No. 12's,—one of the Minims said 10½,—resting on a fender, and quietly receiving missives from the young folks. In our young days, days of hanging up stockings, etc., we never heard of such a thing; and the youths here protest against such an innovation. Perhaps there has been progress in this respect. We hope not. The number is very interesting, and presents such features as, first, the bright, colored frontispiece, by R. B. Birch,—a snow scene, with children, pony, and dogs, and an unmistakable Christmas flavor. Then there is a graphic account of a long dogsled journey on Lake Winnipeg, capitally illustrated by Farny; a Christmas poem by Nora Perry; and a clever, short story, "The Christmas Fairies," that is a true story, as well. The beginning of "The Story of the Field of the Cloth of Gold," a charming tale, weaving in, most delightfully, the principal incidents of the famous meeting of the Kings in the Golden Valley. "Mary and her Garden," a six-page poem, written by Eva L. Ogden, engrossed, and beautifully illustrated by Alfred Brennan. An amusing "Alphabet of Children," with a jingle and a picture for each letter. A quaint and highly original fairy tale, by Frank R. Stockton, who also contributes another installment of "The Story of Viteau," J. T. Trowbridge's serial, "The Tinkham Brothers' Tidemill," is also continued, with three chapters of accumulating interest. An article on whale-hunting in Japan, by William Elliot Griffis, and "The Discovery of the Mammoth," by C. F. Holder, with a startling picture by James C. Beard. The entire number, including the "Departments," is copiously and handsomely illustrated by the cleverest designers for children.

—The Century Magazine for December is a suitable number wherewith to close the year and arouse bright anticipations of the pleasure to be derived from the coming volume. A splendid portrait of Chief Justice Marshall, from a phototype by Gutckunst of Inman's portrait, is the frontispiece of this number. The opening article, "The Supreme Court of the United States," by E. V. Smallley, is illustrated (1) with a bust of Chief Justice John Jay, a distinguished lawyer and patriot, and no less distinguished as a narrow-minded bigot; (2) Chief Justice Roger B. Taney, a devout Catholic, homely in person, and, outside of the law, as large-hearted and liberal as Jay was narrow; (3) a half-length portrait of Chief Justice Chase, whom to know was to esteem and love. There is a splendid picture of the Supreme Court in session, miniature portraits of the present Justices, etc. Besides the three serials mentioned in our notice of the November number, there are several new articles. (1) "My Adventures in Zuni," by Frank H. Cushing; (2) "The Corean Origin of Japanese Art;" (3) "The Taxidermal Art," by Franklin H. North, a very interesting and instructive article; (4) "Rab's Friend," an illustrated sketch of Dr. John Brown, of Scotland. The poetry of the number is by Henry A. Beers, Sidney Lanier, Andrew B. Saxton, and L. F. Tooker. Among other good articles, one on "The Problem of Spelling Reform," by T. R. Lounsbury, will attract universal attention. We cannot agree with the sensible and enthusiastic editor of the New York Home Journal that Prof. Lounsbury's article "is behind time—several years behind." It is, in one sense; but in another and broader sense it is both timely and practical. The great mistake of the spelling reformers, both in England and this country, was in introducing a radical reform before the public mind was fully prepared to accept it. It would have been far better, we think, to have shown up the glaring inconsistencies, incongruities, and entire lack of practicalness in our present systemless system of spelling, and kept it before the public until they had become thoroughly disgusted with it, and ready to accept a reform that is both consistent and practical. Prof. Lounsbury's article will undoubtedly give material aid to the reformers, and, therefore, should be welcomed by them. The departments of "Topics of the Time," "Literature," "Home and Society," "The World's Work," and "Bric-a-brac," in this number of the Century, are full of information.
College Gossip.

—The University of Nebraska subsidizes the students' publication, the Student.

—Since the Yale college football team adopted Rugby, in 1876, its record shows 82 goals to 1.—Ex.

—Harvard received bequests amounting to $400,000 during the past year.—Cornell Daily Sun.

—Harvard has formed a temperance society on the total abstinance basis, with a large membership.

—Jay Gould has given $5,150 towards the endowment of Rutgers College.—The widow's mite?—Harvard Herald.

—Senator Lamar, of Mississippi, is said to be desirous of an appointment to a chair in the University of Georgia.—College Cabinet.

—There is one college in the State where the students are arrayed too much like lilies; (with pistols).—Blackburnian (Illinois).

—Five freshmen, of the University of Vermont, are under suspension for ten days for hazing a sophomore.—Harvard Daily Herald.

—The ladies in attendance at the Harvard Annex are reported to be even more opposed to co-education than the male students.—Varsity.

—The Bronnian, the Dartmouth and the Vassar Miscellany, have lately given in their adhesion to the plan for an Intercollegiate Press Association.

—A game of football was recently played in Canada between the Faculties of Art and of Medicine, in Queen's College.—Harvard Daily Herald.

—The English schools have largely introduced the military drill as a means of exercise. It is taught to all the boys in 1,172 schools.—Cornell Sun.

—The Harvard Herald states that Prof. J. P. Cooke has procured a dynamo-electrical machine, and that it is his intention to light Boylston Hall with it.

—The first letter sent by a gushing Freshman home: "Pater, canika vese memore stampe sentto me? Ego spenthic last cent. Tuns studious heres Johannes?"—Concordian.

—About one-third of the applicants for admission to Yale are let out.—Blackburnian.

Too bad! We wonder how much is paid for their services?

—The question of the establishment of professorships of Pedagogy at our universities was discussed at the recent Convention of New England college, presidents, at Williamstown.—Harvard Herald.

—There is talk of forming class foot-ball elevens at Yale, to contend for the class championship, as the class ball nines do now. In this way it is hoped to develop much good material for the university team.—Harvard Herald.

—A Dartmouth correspondent of the Harvard Daily Herald writes: "Athletics are more prosperous than usual. After due consideration, an extra hour daily has been granted by the Faculty to the students for the purpose of exercising."

—President Woolsey is reported as having said: "When I was president of Yale College, I was asked if I would be willing to admit women students there. I replied that I would, if Vassar College would admit young men. That ended the discussion."—Boston Advertiser.

—Laval University, having got over the troubles in connection with the establishment of a branch in Montreal, has purchased an eligible site, and will at once begin the erection of buildings—but this they will do slowly—proceeding as their means allow.—Queen's College Journal.

—The circulation of some of the leading college papers is stated to be as follows: Harvard Herald; Yale Convent, 800; Yale Record, 600; Yale Literary, 550; Harvard Crimson, 500; Princetonian, 1,000; The Dartmouth, 1,050; The Argus, 500; The Chronicle, 1,000; Notre Dame Scholastic, 960.

—George H. Corliss, of Providence, R. I., has given 1,200 for the purchase of Professor Ward's college series of casts of extinct specimens of Natural History for the new museum of Iowa College, at Grinnell, and Prof. Ward has himself so added to the gift that the entire collection has been secured.—College Cabinet.

—Mr. H. S. Butcher, who is to succeed Professor Blackie as Professor of Greek, at Edinburgh University, is a Fellow and Lecturer of University College, Oxford, and formerly occupied the same position in his Alma Mater, Trinity College, Cambridge. In conjunction with Mr. Lang, he has published a prose translation of the Odyssey.—Ex.

—The two-hour session in German optional produces the following:—with the exercise of a little patience:

"Zwanzig lieb-krank Mäedchen wir,
Lieb-krank alle ganz ungen.
Zwanzig Yahre, werden wir
Zwanzig lieb-krank Mäedchen sein."

—Yale Record.

—The suspension of five freshmen, in the University of Vermont, for hazing a sophomore, has resulted in a general strike by the freshman class. It appears that a sophomore was seized and beaten by five young fellows, wearing masks, but the freshman class vote their approval of the performance and are cutting all recitations. It is hoped that the sophomore will give his assailants a taste of the law when they return.—Harvard Daily Herald.

—Four hundred Harvard professors and students formed a co-operative society nine months ago, and opened a store where books, sporting articles, stationery, fuel, pictures, and clothing were either kept in stock or sold by sample. The price for everything was 5 per cent. above wholesale cost, and members only could buy, but on no other terms than cash down. They also pay $2 a year. The experiment has thus far been highly satisfactory, and no reason for failure in the future is apparent. There is no capital at risk, the five per cent. and the yearly dues cover the expenses, and the members, now increased to 536, get their sup.
plies at an average of 20 per cent. less than they would have to pay elsewhere. A novel branch of the business is the sale of second-hand books and furniture, by which students get pay for their discarded things, instead of throwing them away.—New York Sun.

Exchanges.

—The Chaddock College Monthly has a genius who, it seems, can roll out puns by the yard, fathom or mile, as the demand may be. Some of the college papers would do well to engage part of their stock in trade to enliven their sheets. We don’t know how the Chaddock fellow manages to keep whale bones at the Illinois institution; if he were at Notre Dame he would have to be careful with his fire-crackers. According him due merit, we would suggest, however, that he seems rather loose about the “whist.”

—The Northwestern of November the 30th has an excellent article on “Longfellow’s Rank and Influence as a Poet.” It is replete with original thought, and this upon a subject that for the past year has been a common theme. The writer places in the first rank of English poets Chaucer, Spenser, Milton, Shakespeare, and Wordsworth,—these, and these only. About Chaucer, Shakespeare and Milton there can be no question; but how about Spenser and Wordsworth, with Dryden and Pope left out altogether?

—For a week or more we have had a copy of The Michigan Argonaut on our table. As the Argonaut will undoubtedly be a valuable exchange, we regret our inability to have given it an earlier notice. The number before us is but the fourth issued, but even thus early in its career the Argonaut leaves little room for doubt as to the character of the paper or the position it is to occupy. From the start it takes its place in the front rank of college papers. Current feeling at the University is cleverly brought out and discussed in brief editorials, under the general heading of “The Fortnight”; a department entitled “Miscellany” includes editorial matter of a graver nature, essays, etc., after which comes the editorial department proper, dealing chiefly with matters pertaining to the University and education in general. The Argonaut leaves little room for doubt as to the character of the paper or the position it is to occupy. From the start it takes its place in the front rank of college papers. Current feeling at the University is cleverly brought out and discussed in brief editorials, under the general heading of “The Fortnight”; a department entitled “Miscellany” includes editorial matter of a graver nature, essays, etc., after which comes the editorial department proper, dealing chiefly with matters pertaining to the University and education in general. The “College World” department needs not defining, with the exception only that besides college news it also includes exchange notes and criticisms. Then come “Alumni Notes,” “Literature,” “The Campus,” and departments special to the various branches of the University, Literary, Law, Medical, Pharmic, Dental, etc. From the above it will be seen that The Michigan Argonaut is an outstanding college paper of the first class. We regret that the exchange notes have not a department to themselves, as we believe these come nearer to carrying out the programme of the proposed Intercollegiate College Press Association than some of its Association, and, if organized, carried into effect. The Argonaut contains 22 pages of reading-matter, and is bound in a neat cover of the aesthetic pattern, specially designed and engraved for the paper.

—The Portfolio, from the Wesleyan Ladies’ College, Hamilton, Canada, is a regular visitor, and a most welcome one. A ladylike refinement pervades the pages of this handsome paper, and it always brings with it associations of spring and flowers. The poetry in the number before us is not up to the Portfolio’s usual standard, as far a verse-making is concerned, but the sentiment is good and ennobling. Lorelle’s “Schooldays in France” is a well-written sketch. The fact that it is probably written by one who is not of the household of the Faith which we love and cherish in our heart of hearts, makes it the more attractive and refreshing to us from its difference to most of the sketches of Catholic institutions written by non-Catholics—and those, too, who feign good breeding—who even amid their praises occasionally half disclose, half hide a sneering remark on things they evidently do not understand, and which we hold sacred. “Lorelle” shows ladylike refinement and that delicacy of feeling without which true Christianity cannot exist; and she deserves credit for it. “X’s” letter, describing scenes of travel, is charmingly written, but the printer was evidently out of tobacco when he put it in type. It has been said that a printer puts in a comma every time he spits, a semicolon when he turns his quid, and makes a full stop or a paragraph when he has to renew his supply of the “deadly narcotic.” A few more semicolons and full stops would improve “X’s” letter. The exchange editor of The Portfolio is almost too good-natured, but that is a charming fault, if it be a fault, in the present instance. Her criticisms are somewhat like Macaulay’s faultless and beautifully-turned periods—one would almost wish for a little harshness or imperfection to break the monotony. If the criticism of the Message were in the middle, it might have served this purpose. We reproduce the following paragraph, for the benefit of the exchange editor of the George College Journal, who thinks “a little boy with a little book of quotations and a little work on rhetoric could write an article like ‘The Picturesque in Art’,”—which shows how little he knows about such things:

“The Notre Dame Scholastic is one of the best, if not the best, exchanges we have received this year. It has one advantage over some of the other college papers, being published every two weeks; but this is not any too often, we are always pleased to see Notre Dame, it always contains so much reading, and pays so much attention to art. The first number for October is particularly interesting, as it gives us quite an account of the famous Italian artist, Signor Luigi Gregori, and of some of his principal pictures. T. W. C. may well ask in his poem, ‘When will two such other suns arise?’ as Longfellow and Emerson. The second number opens with a short history of ‘Sir Humphrey Davy,’ in which an amusing account of his discovery of ‘Laughing Gas’ is given and the effect it had on him. The essay on ‘The Picturesque in Art’ contains some very beautiful thoughts, which are well arranged.”

The exchange editor of The Portfolio mistakes the fact in saying that the Scholastic is published every two weeks. It is published weekly.
NOTRE DAME SCHOLASTIC.

Notre Dame, December 9, 1882.

The attention of the Alumni of the University of Notre Dame and others, is called to the fact that the NOTRE DAME SCHOLASTIC has now entered upon the sixteenth year of its existence, and presents itself anew as a candidate for the favor and support of the many old friends that have hitherto lent it a helping hand.

THE NOTRE DAME SCHOLASTIC Contains:

Choice Poetry, Essays, and the current Art, Musical Literary and Scientific Gossip of the day.

Editorials on questions of the day, as well as on subjects connected with the University of Notre Dame.

Personal gossip concerning the whereabouts and the success of former students.

All the weekly local news of the University, including the names of those who have distinguished themselves during the week by their excellence in class and by their general good conduct.

Students should take it; parents should take it; and, above all.

OLD STUDENTS SHOULD TAKE IT.

Terms, $1.50 per annum. Postpaid.

Address EDITOR NOTRE DAME SCHOLASTIC, Notre Dame, Indiana.

The Euglossians.

The Euglossians gave their closing winter exercises in the Rotunda of the College, on last Tuesday evening. It is not too much to say that this proved to be the most pleasing and interesting of all the entertainments thus far given. Though some of the selections were too long, yet so varied and free from monotony was the programme, that no tediousness was felt. The opening piece, Traité, by the Orchestra, was singularly beautiful, and well rendered. An interested auditor remarked to us: „The orchestra brings us back to music’s palmiest days at Notre Dame—the days of Father Lilly, Prof’s. Girac and Corby and —”; the speaker mentioned another, but we would never be forgiven, were we to give his name here. The overture was immediately followed by the Couronne d’Or—no doubt, in deference to our remarks on a previous occasion, that the Orchestra should play more than once. We hope that we were not understood as meaning that they should exhaust their repertoire at one sitting. Mr. Elmer Otis declaimed the “Sleeping Sentinel” with great power, of expression and appropriate gesture. “The Carthaghenian General” was well rendered by Mr. F. Browne, though, at times, he failed to enter into the spirit of the piece—a not uncommon fault. Master Devine sang his song — “Sweet Mother, Pray for Me”—in good style; he possesses a naturally fine voice, which soon, with the training to which he is subject, will be well developed. “Death’s Defiance,” better known as “The Baron’s Last Banquet,” was ably presented by Mr. Conway; while Mr. Cleary held his own in the personation of “The Frenchman and the Flea Powder.” H. Metz spoke up for “Independence Day.” We would suggest to Master Metz that, in justice to his subject, he should have displayed a little more courage; however, he came off with credit to himself. A pleasing variation was then furnished by the Brass Quartette, who played a grand “march” in a creditable manner; but the beauty was marred at times by the indistinctness of the melody. We hope to see this Quartette hold its regular place in the programme of all our future exhibitions. Mr. J. P. O’Neill presented his “Characteristic Poem,” alias “Fontenoy,” which was rendered in such style as to merit an encore; he was followed by Mr. A. P. Coll, in a masterly declamation. Mr. Magoffin sang the “Warrior Bold,” in a pleasing manner, though, in some passages he was a little false; cultivation will remedy all such defects. Mr. W. J. McCarthy then told “The Legend of Blessed Egidius”; he is a pleasing and correct speaker, and his selection received, as it well deserved, great applause.

The Duo, by Prof. Paul (violin) and W. Schott (piano), was the pièce de résistance of the evening. The selection was De Beriot’s Sixième Air Varié, a violin solo with piano accompaniment. To say that Prof. Paul played the violin solo is sufficient. The ease and correctness with which the difficult variations were played, the exquisite skill in phrasing, the power and technique displayed in the staccato passages—in a word, the perfect command shown of the instrument was marvellous. So entranced were the auditors that long and repeated calls were made for an encore, to which the Prof. gracefully responded. No little credit is due to Master Schott, who rendered the piano accompaniment. He exhibits wonderful talent for one so young, and plays with all the aplomb of an old musician.

Mr. T. W. Coakley delivered a “Temperance Speech” (in verse); another name for which is “Roger and I.” Mr. Coakley gives evidence of good-eloquentary training. He spoke with good voice, and used expressive motion and gesture. We must say, however, that, in our opinion, it would be advisable for a declaimer to commit his lines to memory, especially when unprovided with a reading desk. The vocal duet, “Make me no Gaudy Chaplet,” was well rendered by Masters F. Johnson and W. Schott. We hope that these young gentlemen will often favor us with such choice morceaux.

Mr. Chas. A. Tinley was on the programme for an “Oration on Longfellow”; but he came forward, and in a neat little speech informed the audience that, “owing to the lateness of the hour, the oration was deferred;” instead, he would give a short declamation. His selection was “The Gladiator,” which was given in a manner befitting the speaker’s reputation as the “Star” of the Euglossians. Rev. President Walsh made the “Closing Remarks,” in which he complimented all who took part in the exercises.
De Venere in Sole Spectata.

MATER SÆVA CUPIDINUM.—Horace.

Be careful, saucy Cupid, how you fritter.
And keep your garments on, and give no tip, or
Your ma'll perform a transit on your disc,
By frequent applications of the slipper.

—Ourselves.

The eventful 6th of December, 1882, has come
and has passed away. If the solar parallax has
not been ascertained, the probabilities are that the
present generation will never ascertain it.

In the Bureau of Astronomy, business com-
enced at an early hour. The Board was in ses-
sion at half-past seven.

Some distinguished members of the Law Class
were the first to make their appearance. They
wanted to know if a “Stoppage in Transitu”
could not be effected. The Secretary, however,
promptly gave them to understand that all at-
ttempts at intimidation, legal or otherwise, would
be severely frowned down. The exhibition at
which they were preparing to assist was regulated
by a “higher law.”

The Committee on Local Time were active in
effectuating to communicate with Washington by
telegraph, so as to secure the necessary corrobora-
tion to the testimony of their own chronometer.
But the electrician was, unfortunately, absent, and
the amateurs who volunteered to supply his place
did not seem to “catch on,” as it were. At length,
they concluded that the apparatus must be out of
order.

The fateful moment was now approaching.
Enthusiasm, in some cases rising to frenzy, was
manifested by the crowd surrounding the telescope.
Yet the heavenly bodies were veiled by slowly
dissipating clouds.

At nine (9) a.m. the Committee on Atmos-
pheric Disturbances reported indications of a
squall arising in the Sow-Sow-West, and a little
more Sow. They hoped that this would clear the
sky. On examination, however, the squall proved
to be of a domestic rather than of a meteorological
character, being the natural result of spanking a
refractory child on Lowell Heights.

It was then proposed to ring the big bell, so as
to break up the clouds. Some members of the
committee were of opinion that this would break
them down rather than up. During the debate,
the clouds became sufficiently attenuated to allow
furtive glimpses of the sun to be obtained, and the
planet was already performing her transit. At a
quarter past ten (10:15) everything was serene.

Venus was looking extremely well, considering
her age. Not a wrinkle appeared to mar the
charming contour of her exquisite contour.
How much of this is natural, of course, we will
not pretend to say. She never once seemed to
lose her self-possession, in spite of the numberless
telescopes levelled at her. Mrs. Langtry has here
a formidable rival, where perhaps it was least ex-
pected.

We are happy to say that the old scandals once
associated with the name of Venus are now re-
garded as mere mythological fables. She has
completely regained her social position, and moves
in the highest circles. The decorum with which
both she and the Sun conducted themselves on this
trying occasion cannot be too much admired.
There was nothing in the performance which
could raise a blush to the cheek of the most fastid-
ious.

We must not conclude this article without giv-
ing due praise to the various committees for the
manner in which the affair was conducted. The
Committee on Parallax will report as soon as they
hear from the Cape of Good Hope, or some point
in South America.

ASTEROID.

—H. D. Rodman, ’67, is a leading lawyer in
the Blue Grass region of Kentucky.
—C. V. Gallagher, of ’68, is General Freight
Agent of the U. P. R. R., at Omaha.
—Eugene Benoit (Com’l), of ’67, conducts a
flourishing real estate business in St. Louis.
—W. Delahey, of ’70, is in the service of the
Denver & Rio Grande R. R., at Colorado Springs,
Col., and is doing well.
—S. Roland, of ’69, is one of the prominent
merchants of Denver, Col. He always has a
good word for his Alma Mater.
—Mr. T. McNamara, C. S. C., in days of yore
as editor of the SCHOLASTIC, is now the efficient
Vice-President of St. Isidore’s Institute, New Or-
leans.
—Nathan Mooney, ’77, is pursuing his theologi-
cal studies at the Propaganda in Rome. We are
glad to learn that he has already distinguished
himself by his marked proficiency.
—We learn from The Michigan Argonaut that
W. F. Hake, M. D., of ’70, is practising in Grand
Rapids, Mich., and has been appointed Assistant
Surgeon to the Second Michigan Regiment.
—Charles and William H. Drapier, both of ’49,
visited their old Alma Mater last week, and were
cordially greeted by the venerable Father Sorin,
their onetime President, and many old friends.
—Among the welcome visitors during the past
week were, Dr. and Mrs. M. V. B. Stevens, of
Akron, Ohio; Lieut. L. M. Brett, Fort Maginnis,
Montana; and Mr. and Mrs. Stumm, of Milwaukee.
—James E. Hagerty, of ’77, writes from St.
Louis, Mo., where, in his own expressive words,
he is “doing a rushing business as Commission
Merchant.” He often thinks of his friends at
Notre Dame, and sends good advice to a younger
brother entered here.
—Rev. P. J. Moran, C. S. C., left here on last
Monday, to enter upon a new sphere of action in
the College of Côte-des-Neiges, near Montreal.
Though we regret his departure, we cannot but congratulate the Faculty of Côte-des-Neiges on the presence of Father Moran.

Local Items.

—Cold!
—Snow!
—Wintry?—Yes.
—Christmas is coming.
—Who stole the turkey?
—Did you see the transit?
—Oh, dem golden slippers!
—Was it in transit or stailith?
—Weather-prophets are at a discount.
—An absolutely adequate appreciation.
—Have you seen the novelty shoe-shop?
—The burros are getting fat and frisky.
—Navigation has closed on the upper lake.
—The most handsome young man is a Soph.
—The Medics held a private séance, last week.
—"He comes down-stairs like a baby elephant."
—Cement walk—"Though so near, yet so far."
—"I have volunteered!" "Please, don't do so any more."
—Our phrenologist "had his hands full," last Wednesday.
—Our weather-prophet says this will be a most terrible winter.
—Ou dit that some of the boats have been put in the ice-house.
—There was a slight misunderstanding at Vespers, last Sunday.
—The audience in the first gallery should not shower their favors:
—"Thil" will henceforth make the habits of burros a special study.
—The Classical Grads. are fitting up a private parlor for their own use.
—"Who stole our boat?" is the wall of the new Boating Association.
—New and improved storm-doors have been placed in front of the church.
—By special privilege, the holidays will begin on the 21st instead of the 22d.
—The Sorins had a grand "spread," after their exhibition, on Wednesday last.
—The Minims were treated to a first-class banquet on Wednesday afternoon.
—"Where are the nimrods?" is heard on all sides, and echo answers, "Where?"
—The Grads return thanks to Father Stoffel for favors received on Thanksgiving Day.
—The Juniors say: "It will be a cold day when the Seniors get ahead of our reading-room."
—A grand ratification-meeting was held on the election of the Secretary of the Conference.

—A correspondent inquires: "Who has charge of Vocal Music—the Professor or M——?"
—B. Alfred has fitted up, in grand style, the room in which the electric machines are placed.
—One of our Juniors said he spent his time, last Wednesday, in observing the transit of Denis.
—"This is our rec' day," said the little boy, when he was asked to lift one end of the bench.
—All agree in saying that the playing of the Orchestra, on last Tuesday evening, was unusually fine.
—He was unable to count the many stars which flitted before his eyes, as he struck the Minims' skating rink.
—Prof. Ackermann has surrounded the painting of St. Thomas in the Library with beautiful framings in basso-riticco.
—The Professor of Greek has received some valuable hints on costuming, which he will embody in his new play.
—The members of the Sorin Association tender a vote of thanks to B. Charles for services rendered at the exhibition.
—The Colorado students are under obligations to Mr. Percival Leavell, General Pass. Agt. C. B. Q. R. R., for favors received.
—Those "galoots" who took their "constitutional" down-stairs during the progress of the entertainment should be impeached.
—The Professor of Astronomy stopped the earth on the 6th, by means of his new instrument. (That's the way the item came to us.)
—A critic thus sums up the report of the Euglossians: "Finley was artistic; McCarthy, aesthetic; O'Neill, martial; and Cookley patriotic."
—The thanks of the Euglossians are tendered to Bros. Leander, Lawrence, Paul and Emmanucl for favors received at their last entertainment.
—The Minims may well be proud of their German classes. Many of the little linguists are able to compete favorably with their larger brothers in the Junior department.
—The Senior Law Class is studying the subject of iortis, the text-book used being Judge Coolcy's. The Junior Class is still upon Blackstone, studying elementary law.
—The Lemonnier Library Association return thanks to the "United Polish National Benevolent Society" of Chicago, for a beautiful copy of the "Poets and Poetry of Poland."
—Valuable manuscripts, historical and scientific, left by the late B. Peter, have been lost. Any information concerning them will be thankfully received by the Director of the Library.
—The new departure, as regards Bulletin making, is not quite a success. The Director of Studies has to wrestle with the final results, and we can certify that, apparently, he was not in good humor.
—Our friend John says the "New Arts" have made every one's eyes so sharp that he fears to walk a step; and as to its hour, he will never try to make one until he can do as well as "Prof. Wright."
In one of the preceding items we inadvertently used the word golden, in reference to a pair of slippers. We must state here they are not golden,—though mighty near it—they are rubber.

A grand Gold Medal will be awarded at the end of the year for proficiency in "The Philosophy of History." The generous founder of this medal is the Rev. A. B. Oechtering, of Mishawaka, Ind.

The painters are busy at work in the Seniors' Reception-room. In a few days it will be perfectly fitted up, and then we hope that the lessons of "New Arts" will be carried out, as they should be, to the letter.

B. Liborius has the thanks of the Library Association for the fine work done in their hall. The shelves, wainscoting, cases of walnut and ash, and other articles, are all finished specimens of workmanship.

Busts of Raphael, Michael Angelo, Schiller, Goethe, Mozart, Beethoven, Hippocrates, Washington, Franklin, Henry Clay and Lincoln, have been placed in the Lemonnier Library; also fine casts from the antiquae of Apollo and Diana.

The Curator of the Museum is greatly indebted to Rev. A. M. Kirsch, C. S. C., for a beautiful collection of minerals and fossils. The collection was made in the Grand-Duchy of Luxembourg, and brought to this country by a brother of the Rev. donor.

Two of the "old-timers" paid a visit, last week, to their Professor. They were glad to see him still looking youthful, and were gratified to learn that he was as popular with the present generation as he was with those whom he ruled way back in the 40's.

Owing to the great pressure of correspondence, our friend John has applied for a franco with the nearest office as soon as possible as they are much needed.

At a special meeting of St. Thomas Aquinas' Academy, held two weeks ago, a Constitution and By-Laws were adopted. The 2d regular meeting was held on Wednesday evening, November 29th. The business of the evening consisted of a debate on the subject: "Is Syllogism in its Inductive and Deductive Form most useful in all Sciences?" Affirmative, M. Donahue; negative, Messrs. Anderson and Bailey. The question, although a very
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difficult one was well discussed, both pro and con. After the debate, the Rev. Director, Father Fitte, entertained the Society with an able discourse on "The syllogism, the best instrument in philosophy, the queen of sciences." Alluding to the challenge proposed by Archimedes, "Give me a lever and a fulcrum, and I will move the world," he said, that "despite the jealous critics and petty sophists, Aristotle, by laying down rules for syllogism, had realized a different problem in which the syllogism was the lever, and the human mind, furnished with evident principles, was the fulcrum. Aristotle employed the syllogism for philosophy; but after him the great St. Thomas used the syllogism under the guidance of the Church, and established the imperishable monument called the 'Sum of Theology.' I compare this grand work to the magnificent Cathedrals built up in Europe by the Catholic faith." Further on he alluded to the Blessed Trinity as the most perfect syllogism, and closed by complimenting the disputants on their well-deserved success.

Prof. McScweeney, in a neat little speech, gave some very valuable points relative to the debate. Messrs. Burke and Irman were elected honorary members. The next meeting will be held shortly before the Christmas holidays; the subject of the next debate is, "Resolved that a True Miracle is an Infallible Test of Divine Revelation." Affirmative, A. J. Zahm; Negative, Messrs. Arnold and Molloy.

Roll of Honor.

The following list includes the names of those students whose conduct during the past week has given entire satisfaction to the Faculty.

SENIOR DEPARTMENT.


MINOR DEPARTMENT.


List of Excellence.

For the Dome.

Some Children of Mary $1665
St. Joseph's Asylum, Washington, D. C. 100
Parish School, Alton, Ill. 500
Joseph Dyer, Cheyenne, Wyoming $1200
Donations from Various Sources 600
Sacred Silence.

There is silence in the desert,
Where no human foot hath trod,
But a terror fills the spirit
As we walk the barren sod.

There is silence in the city,
When the midnight chime hath ceased.
Ere the promise of the morning
Gilds the portals of the east.

But the gloom, the doubt, the sadness
Of this silence with the dead,
Chills the heart, and calls up phantoms
Full of mysteries dark and dread.

But there is a sacred silence
Elsewhere, to the world unknown,
Hovering like the wings of angels,
Where God makes His earthly throne.

Round the Tabernacle holy,
Throbbing, like a tranquil heart,
'Mid the blessed light, this silence
Seems of our dear Lord a part.

And the golden ostensorium,
With its awful mystery crowned,
Seems to breathe this sacred silence
Like rich odors all around.

Softly, softly burn the tapers;
Mute, white clouds of incense pour,
Lilies motionless breathe fragrance,
Silent worshippers adore.
A novel is an exciting narration of imaginary events. The characters sometimes represent the better side of human nature, but more often the base and unpri ncipled. Again, improbable and unreal representations frequently tend to obscure the dividing line between good and evil, and the reader is left to infer whatever he may please respecting the merit or demerit of actions. Some insist that novel-reading is a pleasant and even a necessary recreation and that when one has a leisure hour at his disposal, no injury can be done the mind by employing that hour in novel-reading. This opinion, however, should not be taken on trust, for experience often proves that if one takes a leisure hour, and begins to read a novel, when that hour has passed away, he has, very likely, already become so much interested that he continues, regardless of the fact that he infringes upon the hours which should be devoted to study, or to other equally important duties.

Time passes on, and a listless habit of indiscriminate reading is acquired, which, to use the mildest terms, is detrimental to the vigorous growth of the intellectual powers.

But by far the greatest harm done by novel-reading is, that a taste for solid reading is weakened, if not entirely destroyed. The young, especially, delight in fiction, not for the sake of the perfection of style, the beauty of thought, or delicacy of sentiment which may be presented, but rather for the plot and denouement of the narrative; the mind, becoming absorbed, they cannot live without the excitement such reading imparts; thus, when really good, instructive works are placed before them they find themselves incapable of appreciating them, and such authors are thrown aside, and styled dry. Therefore, until the mind has acquired a decided taste for standard literature, and a correct judgment of meritorious authors, novel-reading should be entirely avoided.

A. R.

---

**Roll of Honor.**

**FOR POLITE N ESS, NEATNESS, ORDER, AMIABLE N ESS, CORRECT DEPARTMENT, AND OBSERVANCE OF RULES.**

**SENIOR DEPARTMENT.**


**GRADUATING CLASS**—Misses A. Dillon, Wiley, Feehan-Clarke, A. Ryan, Fox.

**2d SENIOR CLASS**—Misses Johnson, A. A. Ryan, L. Fendrich, Shickey, Donnelly, Walsh, Todd.

**3d SENIOR CLASS**—Misses Barlow, Ceanan, Call, Semmes, Lancaster, J. Duffield, Dunn, Gove, Mohl, J. Reilly, E. Satterly, Crawford, A. Rulison, O'Connor, Ramsey, M. Heneberry, B. King, Spangler.

**4th SENIOR CLASS**—Misses Hutt, L. Wallace, Heckard, Pick, Clifford, Anderson, Daily, O'Brien, Madole, Black, Fenlon, Dignon, Dickson, L. English, Cox, Danforth, Taylor, Stackerl, O'Connell, M. H. Ryan, Murphy, Duffield.

**GRADUATING CLASS**—Misses Chirhart, Coogan, Retting, McCauley, Foster, Hughes, Comerford, Nicks, Hicks, Harris.

**2d PREP. CLASS**—Misses Richardson, Kirkham, Moehler, Ewing, Hiben, Schmauss, Snowhook, Eldridge, Shull, Gallagher, Van Horn, Drorer, Dolan, Rodgers, Schmidt, Mooney, Spotwood.

**JÚNIOR PREP. CLASS**—Misses Coyne, Mary O'tis, Best, Chaves, B. Hanev, B. Hanev, Brown, Robinson.

**1st JÚNIOR CLASS**—Misses Barr, J. English, Schmauss, 2d JÚNIOR CLASS—Misses Spencer, McKennon, G. Wallace, Westfall.

**FRENCH.**

**1st CLASS**—Misses C. Lancaster, M. Feehan.

**2d DIV.**—Misses J. Reilly, Morgan, A. Castanedo.

**2d CLASS**—Misses Fox, Barlow, M. Clarke, A. Leydon, Semmes.

**3d CLASS**—Misses Call, Sullivan, C. Donnelly, Beal, Shickey, Walsh, Taylor, Owens, L. Wallace, A. Ryan, Pease, Maibaum.


**2d DIV.**—Misses Barthick, Laffer, Donahue, Adderly, Chaves, Barry, Brown.

**5th CLASS**—Misses Campau, Sawyer, Robinson, Paul.

**GERMAN.**

**1st CLASS**—Misses A. Dillon, E. Mohl.

**2d CLASS**—Misses Van Patten, Todd, Keenan, M. Chirhart, Pick, Unger, Hintz, Peur, Grist.

**3d CLASS**—Misses L. Wallace, Considine, H. Hicks, C. Ducey, Drorer, M. Ryan, Coogan, Spangler, McGrath, Eldridge.

More people have read The Sun during the year just now passing than ever before since it was first printed. No other newspaper published on this side of the earth has been bought and read in any year by so many men and women.

We are credibly informed that people buy, read, and like The Sun for the following reasons, among others:

1. Because its news columns present, in attractive form and with the greatest possible accuracy, whatever has interest for humankind; the events, the deeds, and misdeeds, the wisdom, the philosophy, the notable folly, the solid sense, the improving nonsense—all the news of the busiest world at present revolving in space.

2. Because people have learned that in its remarks concerning persons and affairs The Sun makes a practice of telling them the exact truth to the best of its ability three hundred and sixty-five days in the year, before election as well as after, about the whales as well as about the small fish, in the face of dissent as plainly and fearlessly as when supported by general approval. The Sun has absolutely no purposes to serve, save the information of its readers and the furtherance of the common good.

3. Because it is everybody's newspaper. No man is so humble that the Sun is indifferent to his welfare and his rights. No man is so rich that it can allow injustice to be done him. No man, no association of men, is powerful enough to be exempt from the strict application of its principles of right and wrong.

4. Because in politics it has fought for a dozen years without intermission and sometimes almost alone among newspapers, the fight that has resulted in the recent overwhelming popular verdict against Robesonism and for honest government. No matter what party is in power, The Sun stands and will continue to stand like a rock for the interests of the people against the ambition of bosses, the encroachments of monopolists and the dishonest schemes of public robbers.

We are credibly informed that people buy, read, and like The Sun for the following reasons, among others:

1. Because its Christianit y is undiluted. No man is so rich that it can allow injustice to be done him. No man, no association of men, is powerful enough to be exempt from the strict application of its principles of right and wrong.

2. Because in politics it has fought for a dozen years without intermission and sometimes almost alone among newspapers, the fight that has resulted in the recent overwhelming popular verdict against Robesonism and for honest government. No matter what party is in power, The Sun stands and will continue to stand like a rock for the interests of the people against the ambition of bosses, the encroachments of monopolists and the dishonest schemes of public robbers.

3. Because it is everybody's newspaper. No man is so humble that The Sun is indifferent to his welfare and his rights. No man is so rich that it can allow injustice to be done him. No man, no association of men, is powerful enough to be exempt from the strict application of its principles of right and wrong.

4. Because in politics it has fought for a dozen years without intermission and sometimes almost alone among newspapers, the fight that has resulted in the recent overwhelming popular verdict against Robesonism and for honest government. No matter what party is in power, The Sun stands and will continue to stand like a rock for the interests of the people against the ambition of bosses, the encroachments of monopolists and the dishonest schemes of public robbers.

5. All this is what we are told almost daily by our friends. One man holds that The Sun is the best religious newspaper ever published, because its Christianity is undiluted with cant. Another holds that it is the best Republican newspaper printed, because it has already whipped half of the rascals out of that party, and is proceeding against the other half with undiminished vigor. A third believes it to be the best magazine of general literature in existence, because the Sun makes a practice of telling them the exact truth to the best of its ability three hundred and sixty-five days in the year, before election as well as after, about the whales as well as about the small fish, in the face of dissent as plainly and fearlessly as when supported by general approval. The Sun has absolutely no purposes to serve, save the information of its readers and the furtherance of the common good.

If you already know The Sun, you will observe that in 1883 it is a little better than ever before. If you do not already know The Sun, you will find it to be a mirror of all human activity, a storehouse of the choicest products of common sense and imagination, a ministry for the cause of honest government, a sentinel, for genuine Jeffersonian Democracy, a scourge for wickedness of every species, and an uncommonly good investment for the coming year.

Terms to Mail Subscribers.

The several editions of The Sun are sent by mail postpaid, as follows:

DAILY—55 cents a month; $6.50 a year; with Sunday edition, $7.70.

SUNDAY—Eight pages, $1.20 a year.

WEEKLY—$1 a year. Eight pages of the best matter of the daily issues; an Agricultural Department of unequalled merit, market reports, and literary, scientific, and domestic intelligence make The Weekly Sun the newspaper for the farmer's household. To clubs of ten with $10, an extra copy free.

Address I. W. ENGLAND, Publisher, THE SUN, N. Y. City.
THE UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME, IND.

The University affords every facility for acquiring a thorough knowledge of
CLASSICS, LAW,
MATHEMATICS, MEDICINE,
SCIENCE, MUSIC.

To such as wish to devote themselves to Commercial pursuits, Notre Dame gives a more thorough business training than can be obtained in any purely Commercial College.

THE COMMERCIAL COURSE

has always received the most careful attention on the part of the officers and Commercial Faculty of the Institution. In all the courses the best systems of teaching are adopted and the best authors for each branch selected.

New Students will be received at any time, their term beginning with date of entrance.

CATALOGUES, giving full particulars, will be sent free on application to the President.

For further particulars, or Catalogue, address
Rev. T. E. WALSH, C. S. C.,
Notre Dame P. O., Indiana.

L. S. & M. S Railway.

On and after Sunday, Nov. 7, 1881, trains will leave South Bend, as follows:

GOING EAST:

2:33 a.m., Chicago and St. Louis Express, over Main Line, arrives at Toledo, 9:50 a.m.; Cleveland, 2:33 p.m.; Buffalo, 8:05 p.m.
11:23 a.m. Mail, over Main Line, arrives at Toledo, 5:10 p.m.; Cleveland, 10:10 p.m.; Buffalo, 4 a.m.
9:27 a.m. Atlantic Express, over Air Line. Arrives at Toledo, 2:45 a.m.; Cleveland, 7:05 a.m.; Buffalo, 1:10 p.m.
12:25 a.m., Special New York Express, over Air Line, arrives at Toledo, 5:40 p.m.; Cleveland, 10:10 p.m.; Buffalo, 4 a.m.
6:25 p.m., Limited Express. Arrives at Toledo, 10:35 p.m.; Cleveland, 1:45 a.m.; Buffalo, 7:25 a.m.

GOING WEST:

2:32 a.m., Toledo Express. Arrives at Laporte, 3:25 a.m.; Chicago, 6:10 a.m.
4:45 a.m. Pacific Express. Arrives at Laporte, 5:45 a.m.; Chicago, 9:00 a.m.
7:40 a.m., Accommodation. Arrives at Laporte, 8:44 a.m.; Chesterton, 9:40 a.m.; Chicago, 11:30 a.m.
1:17 p.m., Special Michigan Express. Arrives at Laporte, 2:15 p.m.; Chesterton, 3:10 p.m.; Chicago, 5:00 p.m.
4:26 p.m. Special Chicago Express. Arrives at Laporte, 5:18; Chesterton, 6:07 p.m.; Chicago, 8 p.m.

F. C. RAPF, Ticket Act, South Bend.
J. W. CARY, Gen'l Ticket Act, Cleveland.
P. F. WRIGHT, Gen'l Sup't, Cleveland.
JOHN NEWELL, Gen'l Manager, Cleveland.